

EMPLOYMENT APPEALS BOARD DECISION
2025-EAB-0764

Late Applications for Review Dismissed

PROCEDURAL HISTORY: On February 14, 2025, the Oregon Employment Department (the Department) served notice of a wage and potential benefits report concluding that claimant's weekly benefit amount was \$196 and her maximum benefit amount was \$4,653 (decision # L0009289476). On February 24, 2025, decision # L0009289476 became final without claimant having filed a request for hearing. Also on February 24, 2025, the Department served notice of an administrative decision concluding that claimant was denied benefits for the week of February 9 through 15, 2025 because she was not looking for work that week (decision # L0009407715). On March 17, 2025, decision # L0009407715 became final without claimant having filed a request for hearing. On April 4, 2025 the Department served notice of an administrative decision concluding that claimant was denied benefits for the week of March 16 through 22, 2025 because she was not available for work that week (decision # L0010097209). On April 24, 2025, decision # L0010097209 became final without claimant having filed a request for hearing.

On March 7, 2025, claimant filed a late request for hearing on decision # L0009289476. ALJ Kangas considered claimant's request, and on April 28, 2025 issued Order No. 25-UI-290730, dismissing the request as late, subject to claimant's right to renew the request by responding to an appellate questionnaire by May 12, 2025. On March 21, 2025, claimant filed a late request for hearing on decision # L0009407715. ALJ Kangas considered claimant's request, and on April 4, 2025 issued Order No. 25-UI-288498, dismissing the request as late, subject to claimant's right to renew the request by responding to an appellant questionnaire by April 18, 2025. On June 27, 2025, claimant filed a late request for hearing on decision # L0010097209. ALJ Kangas considered claimant's request, and on July 3, 2025 issued Order No. 25-UI-296697, dismissing the request as late, subject to claimant's right to renew the request by responding to an appellant questionnaire.

On July 16, 2025, claimant filed a response the appellant questionnaire attached to Order No. 25-UI-296697 that OAH also treated as a late response to the appellant questionnaire attached to Order No. 25-UI-288498. On October 3, 2025, claimant filed a document that was treated by the Office of Administrative Hearings (OAH) as a late response to the appellant questionnaire attached to Order No. 25-UI-290730. On November 6, 2025, ALJ Kangas issued Order No. 25-UI-309759, re-dismissing claimant's late request for hearing on decision # L0009289476 because claimant's October 3, 2025

response to the appellant questionnaire was late, and would not be considered.¹ On November 6, 2025, ALJ Kangas also issued Order No. 25-UI-309762, re-dismissing claimant's late request for hearing on decision # L0009407715 because the July 16, 2025 appellant questionnaire response OAH treated as a response to the appellant questionnaire attached to Order No. 25-UI-288498 was late, and would not be considered. ALJ reviewed claimant's July 16, 2025 response the appellant questionnaire attached to Order No. 25-UI-296697, and on November 6, 2025 issued Order No. 25-UI-309755, re-dismissing claimant's late request for hearing on decision # L0010097209 as late without good cause.

On November 26, 2025, Orders No. 25-UI-309759, 25-UI-309762, and 25-UI-309755 became final without claimant having filed an application for review with the Employment Appeals Board (EAB). On December 8, 2025, claimant filed a late application for review of 25-UI-309755 that EAB also treated as late applications for review of Orders No. 25-UI-309759 and 25-UI-309762. EAB combined its review of Orders No. 25-UI-309759, 25-UI-309762, and 25-UI-309755 under OAR 471-041-0095 (October 29, 2006). For case-tracking purposes, this decision is being issued in triplicate (EAB Decisions 2025-EAB-0765, 2025-EAB-0764, and 2025-EAB-0766).

EVIDENTIARY MATTER: EAB has considered additional evidence when reaching this decision under OAR 471-041-0090(1) (May 13, 2019). The additional evidence the written statement claimant included with her application for review, has been marked as EAB Exhibit 1, and provided to the parties with this decision. Any party that objects to EAB taking notice of this information must send their objection to EAB in writing, stating why they object, within ten days of EAB mailing this decision. OAR 471-041-0090(2). Unless EAB receives and agrees with the objection, the exhibit will remain in the record.

FINDING OF FACT: Orders No. 25-UI-309759, 25-UI-309762, and 25-UI-309755, mailed to claimant on November 6, 2025, stated, "You may appeal this decision by filing the attached form Application for Review with the Employment Appeals Board within 20 days of the date that this decision is mailed." Orders No. 25-UI-309759 at 1, 25-UI-309762 at 2, and 25-UI-309755 at 3. Orders No. 25-UI-309759, 25-UI-309762, and 25-UI-309755 also stated on their Certificates of Mailing, "Any appeal from this Order must be filed on or before November 26, 2025 to be timely."

CONCLUSIONS AND REASONS: Claimant's late application for review of Orders No. 25-UI-309759, 25-UI-309762, and 25-UI-309755 are dismissed.

An application for review is timely if it is filed within 20 days of the date that the Office of Administrative Hearings (OAH) mailed the order for which review is sought. ORS 657.270(6); OAR 471-041-0070(1) (May 13, 2019). The 20-day filing period may be extended a "reasonable time" upon a showing of "good cause." ORS 657.875; OAR 471-041-0070(2). "Good cause" means that factors or circumstances beyond the applicant's reasonable control prevented timely filing. OAR 471-041-0070(2)(a). A "reasonable time" is seven days after the circumstances that prevented the timely filing ended. OAR 471-041-0070(2)(b). A late application for review will be dismissed unless it includes a written statement describing the circumstances that prevented a timely filing. OAR 471-041-0070(3).

¹ Order No. 25-UI-309759 noted that even if claimant's July 16, 2025 response to the appellant questionnaire attached to Order No. 25-UI-296697 had been treated as a response to the appellant questionnaire attached to Order No. 25-UI-290730, claimant's late request for hearing on decision # L0009289476 still would be re-dismissed because the July 16, 2025 response still would be late, and not considered.

The applications for review of Orders No. 25-UI-309759, 25-UI-309762, and 25-UI-309755 were due November 26, 2025. Because claimant did not file her applications for review until December 8, 2025, the applications for review were late. Claimant included a written statement with the applications for review. EAB Exhibit 1. However, claimant's written statement did not explain why the applications for review were late. EAB Exhibit 1. Accordingly, claimant did not show good cause for the late applications for review, and her late applications for review are dismissed.

DECISION: The applications for review filed December 8, 2025 are dismissed. Orders No. 25-UI-309759, 25-UI-309762, and 25-UI-309755 remain undisturbed.

D. Hettle and A. Steger-Bentz;
S. Serres, not participating.

DATE of Service: December 22, 2025

NOTE: You may appeal this decision by filing a Petition for Judicial Review with the Oregon Court of Appeals **within 30 days of the date of service stated above**. See ORS 657.282. For forms and information, visit <https://www.courts.oregon.gov/courts/appellate/forms/Pages/appeal.aspx> and choose the appropriate form under "File a Petition for Judicial Review." You may also contact the Court of Appeals by telephone at (503) 986-5555, by fax at (503) 986-5560, or by mail at 1163 State Street, Salem, Oregon 97301.

Please help us improve our service by completing an online customer service survey. To complete the survey, please go to <https://www.surveygizmo.com/s3/5552642/EAB-Customer-Service-Survey>. If you are unable to complete the survey online and wish to have a paper copy of the survey, please contact our office.



Understanding Your Employment Appeals Board Decision

English

Attention – This decision affects your unemployment benefits. If you do not understand this decision, contact the Employment Appeals Board immediately. If you do not agree with this decision, you may file a Petition for Judicial Review with the Oregon Court of Appeals following the instructions written at the end of the decision.

Simplified Chinese

注意 – 本判決會影響您的失業救濟金。如果您不明白本判決，請立即聯繫就業上訴委員會。如果您不同意此判決，您可以按照該判決結尾所寫的說明，向俄勒岡州上訴法院提出司法複審申請。

Traditional Chinese

注意 – 本判決會影響您的失業救濟金。如果您不明白本判決，請立即聯繫就業上訴委員會。如果您不同意此判決，您可以按照該判決結尾所寫的說明，向俄勒岡州上訴法院提出司法複審申請。

Tagalog

Paalala – Nakakaapekto ang desisyong ito sa iyong mga benepisyo sa pagkawala ng trabaho. Kung hindi mo naiintindihan ang desisyong ito, makipag-ugnayan kaagad sa Lupon ng mga Apela sa Trabaho (Employment Appeals Board). Kung hindi ka sumasang-ayon sa desisyong ito, maaari kang maghain ng isang Petisyon sa Pagsusuri ng Hukuman (Petition for Judicial Review) sa Hukuman sa Paghahabol (Court of Appeals) ng Oregon na sinusunod ang mga tagubilin na nakasulat sa dulo ng desisyon.

Vietnamese

Chú ý - Quyết định này ảnh hưởng đến trợ cấp thất nghiệp của quý vị. Nếu quý vị không hiểu quyết định này, hãy liên lạc với Ban Kháng Cáo Việc Làm ngay lập tức. Nếu quý vị không đồng ý với quyết định này, quý vị có thể nộp Đơn Xin Tái Xét Tư Pháp với Tòa Kháng Cáo Oregon theo các hướng dẫn được viết ra ở cuối quyết định này.

Spanish

Atención – Esta decisión afecta sus beneficios de desempleo. Si no entiende esta decisión, comuníquese inmediatamente con la Junta de Apelaciones de Empleo. Si no está de acuerdo con esta decisión, puede presentar una Aplicación de Revisión Judicial ante el Tribunal de Apelaciones de Oregon siguiendo las instrucciones escritas al final de la decisión.

Russian

Внимание – Данное решение влияет на ваше пособие по безработице. Если решение Вам непонятно – немедленно обратитесь в Апелляционный Комитет по Трудоустройству. Если Вы не согласны с принятым решением, вы можете подать Ходатайство о Пересмотре Судебного Решения в Апелляционный Суд штата Орегон, следуя инструкциям, описанным в конце решения.

Khmer

ចំណុចសំខាន់ – សេចក្តីសម្រេចនេះមានផលប៉ះពាល់ដល់អត្ថប្រយោជន៍គ្មានការងារធ្វើរបស់លោកអ្នក។ ប្រសិនបើលោកអ្នកមិនយល់អំពីសេចក្តីសម្រេចនេះ សូមទាក់ទងគណៈកម្មការឧទ្ធរណ៍ការងារភ្លាមៗ។ ប្រសិនបើលោកអ្នកមិនយល់ស្របចំពោះសេចក្តីសម្រេចនេះទេ លោកអ្នកអាចដាក់ពាក្យប្តឹងសុំឲ្យមានការពិនិត្យរឿងក្តីឡើងវិញជាមួយតុលាការឧទ្ធរណ៍រដ្ឋ Oregon ដោយអនុវត្តតាមសេចក្តីណែនាំដែលសរសេរនៅខាងចុងបញ្ចប់នៃសេចក្តីសម្រេចនេះ។

Laotian

ເອົາໃຈໃສ່ – ຄໍາຕັດສິນນີ້ມີຜົນກະທົບຕໍ່ກັບເງິນຊ່ວຍເຫຼືອການຫວ່າງງານຂອງທ່ານ. ຖ້າທ່ານບໍ່ເຂົ້າໃຈຄໍາຕັດສິນນີ້, ກະລຸນາຕິດຕໍ່ຫາຄະນະກຳມະການອຸທອນການຈ້າງງານໃນທັນທີ. ຖ້າທ່ານບໍ່ເຫັນດີນໍາຄໍາຕັດສິນນີ້, ທ່ານສາມາດຍື່ນຄໍາຮ້ອງຂໍການທົບທວນຄໍາຕັດສິນນໍາສານອຸທອນລັດ Oregon ໄດ້ໂດຍປະຕິບັດຕາມຄໍາແນະນໍາທີ່ບອກໄວ້ຢູ່ຕອນທ້າຍຂອງຄໍາຕັດສິນນີ້.

Arabic

هذا القرار قد يؤثر على منحة البطالة الخاصة بك، إذا لم تفهم هذا القرار، إتصل بمجلس منازعات العمل فوراً، و إذا كنت لا توافق على هذا القرار، يمكنك رفع شكوى للمراجعة القانونية بمحكمة الاستئناف بأوريغون و ذلك بإتباع الإرشادات المدرجة أسفل القرار.

Farsi

توجه - این حکم بر مزایای بیکاری شما تاثیر می گذارد. اگر با این تصمیم موافق نیستید، بلافاصله با هیأت فرجام خواهی استخدام تماس بگیرید. اگر از این حکم رضایت ندارید، می‌توانید با استفاده از دستور العمل موجود در پایان آن، از دادگاه تجدید نظر اورگان درخواست تجدید نظر کنید.

Employment Appeals Board - 875 Union Street NE | Salem, OR 97311

Phone: (503) 378-2077 | 1-800-734-6949 | Fax: (503) 378-2129 | TDD: 711

Email: appealsboard@employ.oregon.gov

Website: www.Oregon.gov/employ/pages/employment-appeals-board.aspx

The Oregon Employment Department is an equal opportunity employer/program. Auxiliary aids and services are available upon request to individuals with disabilities. Language assistance is available to persons with limited English proficiency at no cost.

El Departamento de Empleo de Oregon es un programa que respeta la igualdad de oportunidades. Disponemos de servicios o ayudas auxiliares, formatos alternos y asistencia de idiomas para personas con discapacidades o conocimiento limitado del inglés, a pedido y sin costo.