EO: Intrastate BYE: 15-Feb-2025

# State of Oregon **Employment Appeals Board** 875 Union St. N.E. Salem, OR 97311

369 DS 005.00

# EMPLOYMENT APPEALS BOARD DECISION 2025-EAB-0121

# Affirmed No Disqualification

**PROCEDURAL HISTORY:** On August 7, 2024, the Oregon Employment Department (the Department) served notice of an administrative decision concluding that the employer discharged claimant, but not for misconduct, and claimant therefore was not disqualified from receiving unemployment insurance benefits based on the work separation (decision # L0005621732). The employer filed a timely request for hearing. On February 3, 2025, ALJ Parnell conducted a hearing at which claimant failed to appear, and on February 5, 2025, issued Order No. 25-UI-282076, affirming decision # L0005621732. On February 24, 2025, the employer filed an application for review of Order No. 25-UI-282076 with the Employment Appeals Board (EAB).

**FINDINGS OF FACT:** (1) Lowe's Home Centers LLC employed claimant, most recently as a supervisor in the flooring and home décor department, from January 20, 2021, through February 24, 2024.

(2) The employer expected claimant to complete a weekly report documenting "loss prevention and cycle counting" in the flooring and home décor department of the store at which claimant worked. Audio Record at 10:18.

(3) Beginning in late January 2024, claimant regularly did not complete the weekly loss prevention reports on time. The specialty assistant store manager of the store would periodically "walk" claimant's department with him. Audio Record at 11:57. On these occasions, the manager expressed concerns about the timeliness of the loss prevention reports and other job performance matters, such as claimant not setting expectations for the associates in his department. When the manager raised these matters, claimant informed her that he did not have enough time to complete the tasks by their due date and did not notice that expectations for his associates were inadequately set.

(4) On February 24, 2024, after claimant had failed to complete the weekly loss prevention report on time for several weeks in a row, the specialty assistant store manager met with claimant. The manager decided that claimant's failure to complete the report on time for the preceding week warranted giving

claimant a final disciplinary write-up. Claimant's other, previous disciplinary write-ups were for alleged violations of the employer's attendance policy. The employer decided that the final disciplinary write-up justified discharging claimant, and they discharged claimant that day.

(5) Claimant did not timely complete the loss prevention report for the week preceding February 24, 2024, because he did not have enough time to do so.

CONCLUSIONS AND REASONS: The employer discharged claimant, but not for misconduct.

ORS 657.176(2)(a) requires a disqualification from unemployment insurance benefits if the employer discharged claimant for misconduct connected with work. "As used in ORS 657.176(2)(a) . . . a willful or wantonly negligent violation of the standards of behavior which an employer has the right to expect of an employee is misconduct. An act or series of actions that amount to a willful or wantonly negligent disregard of an employer's interest is misconduct." OAR 471-030-0038(3)(a) (September 22, 2020). ""[W]antonly negligent' means indifference to the consequences of an act or series of actions, or a failure to act or a series of failures to act, where the individual acting or failing to act is conscious of his or her conduct and knew or should have known that his or her conduct would probably result in a violation of the standards of behavior which an employer has the right to expect of an employee." OAR 471-030-0038(1)(c). In a discharge case, the employer has the burden to prove misconduct by a preponderance of evidence. *Babcock v. Employment Division*, 25 Or App 661, 550 P2d 1233 (1976). Mere inefficiency resulting from lack of job skills or experience is not misconduct. OAR 471-030-0038 (3)(b).

The employer discharged claimant because he did not timely complete the weekly loss prevention report for the week preceding February 24, 2024. Claimant did not timely complete the report because he did not have enough time to complete the task by its due date. The record does not show that the employer discharged claimant because he had engaged in conduct constituting a willful or wantonly negligent violation of the standards of behavior the employer had the right to expect of him or a disregard of the employer's interests. The employer did not prove by a preponderance of the evidence that claimant intentionally violated the employer's expectation to complete the report on time. Nor did the employer show by a preponderance of the evidence that claimant's failure to complete the report on time was wantonly negligent. Claimant did not timely complete the report because he did not have enough time to complete the task by its due date. Not having enough time to complete a task is not a willful or wantonly negligent disregard by claimant of the employer's expectations. Therefore, the employer did not show that claimant's violation was the result of indifference to the consequences of his actions, a showing that is needed to establish a wantonly negligent violation.

Additionally, under OAR 471-030-0038(3)(b), mere inefficiency resulting from lack of job skills or experience is not misconduct. Therefore, to the extent that claimant's inability to complete the report on time was due to inefficiency due to a lack of training or job skills, the discharge was not for misconduct.

For these reasons, the employer discharged claimant, but not for misconduct. Claimant is not disqualified from receiving benefits based on the work separation.

DECISION: Order No. 25-UI-282076 is affirmed.

S. Serres and D. Hettle;

A. Steger-Bentz, not participating.

## DATE of Service: March 25, 2025

**NOTE:** You may appeal this decision by filing a Petition for Judicial Review with the Oregon Court of Appeals **within 30 days of the date of service stated above**. *See* ORS 657.282. For forms and information, visit <u>https://www.courts.oregon.gov/courts/appellate/forms/Pages/appeal.aspx</u> and choose the appropriate form under "File a Petition for Judicial Review." You may also contact the Court of Appeals by telephone at (503) 986-5555, by fax at (503) 986-5560, or by mail at 1163 State Street, Salem, Oregon 97301.

<u>Please help us improve our service by completing an online customer service survey</u>. To complete the survey, please go to <u>https://www.surveygizmo.com/s3/5552642/EAB-Customer-Service-Survey</u>. If you are unable to complete the survey online and wish to have a paper copy of the survey, please contact our office.



# **Understanding Your Employment Appeals Board Decision**

## English

Attention – This decision affects your unemployment benefits. If you do not understand this decision, contact the Employment Appeals Board immediately. If you do not agree with this decision, you may file a Petition for Judicial Review with the Oregon Court of Appeals following the instructions written at the end of the decision.

#### **Simplified Chinese**

注意 – 本判决会影响您的失业救济金。如果您不明白本判决, 请立即联系就业上诉委员会。如果您不同意此判决, 您可以按照该判决结尾所写的说明, 向俄勒冈州上诉法院提出司法复审申请。

#### Traditional Chinese

注意 – 本判決會影響您的失業救濟金。如果您不明白本判決, 請立即聯繫就業上訴委員會。如果您不同意此判決, 您可以按照該判決結尾所寫的說明, 向俄勒岡州上訴法院提出司法複審申請。

#### Tagalog

Paalala – Nakakaapekto ang desisyong ito sa iyong mga benepisyo sa pagkawala ng trabaho. Kung hindi mo naiintindihan ang desisyong ito, makipag-ugnayan kaagad sa Lupon ng mga Apela sa Trabaho (Employment Appeals Board). Kung hindi ka sumasang-ayon sa desisyong ito, maaari kang maghain ng isang Petisyon sa Pagsusuri ng Hukuman (Petition for Judicial Review) sa Hukuman sa Paghahabol (Court of Appeals) ng Oregon na sinusunod ang mga tagubilin na nakasulat sa dulo ng desisyon.

#### Vietnamese

Chú ý - Quyết định này ảnh hưởng đến trợ cấp thất nghiệp của quý vị. Nếu quý vị không hiểu quyết định này, hãy liên lạc với Ban Kháng Cáo Việc Làm ngay lập tức. Nếu quý vị không đồng ý với quyết định này, quý vị có thể nộp Đơn Xin Tái Xét Tư Pháp với Tòa Kháng Cáo Oregon theo các hướng dẫn được viết ra ở cuối quyết định này.

#### Spanish

Atención – Esta decisión afecta sus beneficios de desempleo. Si no entiende esta decisión, comuníquese inmediatamente con la Junta de Apelaciones de Empleo. Si no está de acuerdo con esta decisión, puede presentar una Aplicación de Revisión Judicial ante el Tribunal de Apelaciones de Oregon siguiendo las instrucciones escritas al final de la decisión.

#### Russian

Внимание – Данное решение влияет на ваше пособие по безработице. Если решение Вам непонятно – немедленно обратитесь в Апелляционный Комитет по Трудоустройству. Если Вы не согласны с принятым решением, вы можете подать Ходатайство о Пересмотре Судебного Решения в Апелляционный Суд штата Орегон, следуя инструкциям, описанным в конце решения.

Oregon Employment Department • www.Employment.Oregon.gov • FORM 200 (1124) • Page 1 of 2

# Khmer

ចំណុចសំខាន់ – សេចក្តីសម្រេចនេះមានផលប៉ះពាល់ដល់អត្ថប្រយោជន៍គ្មានការងារធ្វើរបស់លោកអ្នក។ ប្រសិនបើលោកអ្នកមិន យល់អំពីសេចក្តីសម្រេចនេះ សូមទាក់ទងគណៈកម្មការឧទ្ធរណ៍ការងារភ្លាមៗ។ ប្រសិនបើលោកអ្នកមិនយល់ស្របចំពោះសេចក្តី សម្រេចនេះទេ លោកអ្នកអាចដាក់ពាក្យប្តឹងសុំឲ្យមានការពិនិត្យរឿងក្តីឡើងវិញជាមួយតុលារឧទ្ធរណ៍រដ្ឋ Oregon ដោយអនុវត្តតាម សេចក្តីណែនាំដែលសរសេរនៅខាងចុងបញ្ចប់នៃសេចក្តីសម្រេចនេះ។

# Laotian

ເອົາໃຈໃສ່ – ຄຳຕັດສິນນີ້ມີຜືນກະທົບຕໍ່ກັບເງິນຊ່ວຍເຫຼືອການຫວ່າງງານຂອງທ່ານ. ຖ້າທ່ານບໍ່ເຂົ້າໃຈຄຳຕັດສິນນີ້, ກະລຸນາຕິດຕໍ່ຫາຄະນະກຳມະການ ອຸທອນການຈ້າງງານໃນທັນທີ. ຖ້າທ່ານບໍ່ເຫັນດີນຳຄຳຕັດສິນນີ້, ທ່ານສາມາດຍື່ນຄຳຮ້ອງຂໍການທົບທວນຄຳຕັດສິນນຳສານອຸທອນລັດ Oregon ໄດ້ ໂດຍປະຕິບັດຕາມຄຳແນະນຳທີ່ບອກໄວ້ຢູ່ຕອນທ້າຍຂອງຄຳຕັດສິນນີ້.

# Arabic

هذا القرار قد يؤثر على منحة البطالة الخاصة بك، إذا لم تفهم هذا القرار، إتصل بمجلس مناز عات العمل فورا، و إذا كنت لا توافق على هذا القرار، يمكنك رفع شكوى للمراجعة القانونية بمحكمة الإستئناف بأوريغون و ذلك بإتباع الإرشادات المدرجة أسفل القرار.

# Farsi

توجه - این حکم بر مزایای بیکاری شما تاثیر می گذارد. اگر با این تصمیم موافق نیستید، بلافاصله با هیأت فرجام خواهی استخدام تماس بگیرید. اگر از این حکم رضایت ندارید، میتوانید با استفاده از دستور العمل موجود در پایان آن، از دادگاه تجدید نظر اورگان درخواست تجدید نظر کنید.

**Employment Appeals Board -** 875 Union Street NE | Salem, OR 97311 Phone: (503) 378-2077 | 1-800-734-6949 | Fax: (503) 378-2129 | TDD: 711 Email: *appealsboard@employ.oregon.gov* Website: *www.Oregon.gov/employ/pages/employment-appeals-board.aspx* 

The Oregon Employment Department is an equal opportunity employer/program. Auxiliary aids and services are available upon request to individuals with disabilities. Language assistance is available to persons with limited English proficiency at no cost.

El Departamento de Empleo de Oregon es un programa que respeta la igualdad de oportunidades. Disponemos de servicios o ayudas auxiliares, formatos alternos y asistencia de idiomas para personas con discapacidades o conocimiento limitado del inglés, a pedido y sin costo.

Oregon Employment Department • www.Employment.Oregon.gov • FORM 200 (1124) • Page 2 of 2