EO: Intrastate BYE: 15-Feb-2025

# State of Oregon **Employment Appeals Board**

213 VQ 005.00

875 Union St. N.E. Salem, OR 97311

# EMPLOYMENT APPEALS BOARD DECISION 2024-EAB-0532

Affirmed Disqualification

**PROCEDURAL HISTORY:** On April 25, 2024, the Oregon Employment Department (the Department) served notice of an administrative decision concluding that claimant was discharged by the employer, but not for misconduct, and not disqualified from receiving benefits based on the work separation (decision # L0003761509). The employer filed a timely request for hearing. On June 20, 2024, ALJ Strauch conducted a hearing, and on June 27, 2024, issued Order No. 24-UI-257539, reversing decision # L0003761509 by concluding that claimant quit working for the employer without good cause and was disqualified from receiving benefits effective February 18, 2024. On July 9, 2024, claimant filed an application for review with the Employment Appeals Board (EAB).

WRITTEN ARGUMENT: Claimant did not declare that he provided a copy of his argument to the opposing party or parties as required by OAR 471-041-0080(2)(a) (May 13, 2019). The argument also contained information that was not part of the hearing record, and did not show that factors or circumstances beyond claimant's reasonable control prevented him from offering the information during the hearing as required by OAR 471-041-0090 (May 13, 2019). EAB considered only information received into evidence at the hearing when reaching this decision. *See* ORS 657.275(2).

**FINDINGS OF FACT:** (1) All American Building Services, LLC employed claimant as a site supervisor for their commercial janitorial business from October 2023 until February 18, 2024.

- (2) During his tenure with the employer, claimant repeatedly complained to the general manager that the employer had been giving claimant too much work, and that claimant was unable to complete all of the work assigned to him.
- (3) On February 18, 2024, the general manager and the night manager both met with claimant at claimant's worksite. In an effort to help claimant more efficiently complete his duties, the managers walked claimant through his workload and made suggestions about how to improve his productivity. In the course of this meeting, claimant became agitated, and was argumentative with the managers. Eventually, claimant "became very loud and boisterous," was "swinging his arms around," and, in doing so, almost unintentionally hit the night manager. Transcript at 9. The general manager asked claimant to

calm down, and claimant responded by handing the general manager his keys and telling him that he quit. Claimant did not offer the employer a reason for his decision to quit. The managers walked claimant to the lunchroom to retrieve his personal belongings, and then claimant left the premises. Claimant did not work for the employer again.

(4) The employer had no intention of discharging claimant. Had claimant not quit, the employer would have permitted him to continue working for them.

**CONCLUSIONS AND REASONS:** Claimant quit work without good cause.

**Nature of the work separation.** If the employee could have continued to work for the same employer for an additional period of time, the work separation is a voluntary leaving. OAR 471-030-0038(2)(a) (September 22, 2020). If the employee is willing to continue to work for the same employer for an additional period of time but is not allowed to do so by the employer, the separation is a discharge. OAR 471-030-0038(2)(b).

At hearing, the parties disagreed on the nature of the work separation. The employer presented as witnesses their general manager and night manager, both of whom were present for the February 18, 2024, meeting with claimant that led to the separation. Both of these witnesses testified that they witnessed claimant telling the general manager that he quit. Transcript at 8, 38. Claimant disputed this, asserting that the employer discharged him. Transcript at 24. Both parties' witnesses testified credibly and from first-hand knowledge. Therefore, the combined testimony of the employer's witnesses outweighs claimant's single account, and the preponderance of the evidence supports a finding that claimant voluntarily quit. The facts have been found accordingly.

**Voluntary quit.** A claimant who leaves work voluntarily is disqualified from the receipt of benefits unless they prove, by a preponderance of the evidence, that they had good cause for leaving work when they did. ORS 657.176(2)(c); *Young v. Employment Department*, 170 Or App 752, 13 P3d 1027 (2000). "Good cause . . . is such that a reasonable and prudent person of normal sensitivity, exercising ordinary common sense, would leave work." OAR 471-030-0038(4) (September 22, 2020). "[T]he reason must be of such gravity that the individual has no reasonable alternative but to leave work." OAR 471-030-0038(4). The standard is objective. *McDowell v. Employment Department*, 348 Or 605, 612, 236 P3d 722 (2010). A claimant who quits work must show that no reasonable and prudent person would have continued to work for their employer for an additional period of time.

Claimant voluntarily quit work on February 18, 2024, during a meeting with the general manager and night manager, who were attempting to help claimant perform his work more efficiently in response to claimant's complaints that the employer was assigning him too much work. As noted above, claimant asserted at hearing that he did not voluntarily quit. As such, he did not explain why he quit. Likewise, claimant gave no such explanation to the employer at the time he quit.

However, it can be inferred from the series of events which led to claimant's decision that he was generally dissatisfied with the amount of work the employer expected him to perform, and upset with the managers' attempt to help him manage the workload. Although claimant's frustration was understandable, this did not constitute a grave reason for quitting. A reasonable and prudent person, faced with what they felt was an unmanageable workload, would have listened to their managers' advice

and implemented suggestions the managers made in an effort to improve their work efficiency, before determining that the problem was unsolvable and quitting. Claimant therefore had the reasonable alternative of attempting to implement his managers' suggestions to improve his productivity. Because he did not do so, claimant did not quit for a reason of such gravity that he had no reasonable alternative but to quit. Claimant therefore quit work without good cause and is disqualified from receiving unemployment insurance benefits effective February 18, 2024.

**DECISION:** Order No. 24-UI-257539 is affirmed.

D. Hettle and A. Steger-Bentz;S. Serres, not participating.

DATE of Service: July 31, 2024

**NOTE:** You may appeal this decision by filing a Petition for Judicial Review with the Oregon Court of Appeals within 30 days of the date of service listed above. *See* ORS 657.282. For forms and information, you may write to the Oregon Court of Appeals, Records Section, 1163 State Street, Salem, Oregon 97310 or visit the Court of Appeals website at courts.oregon.gov. Once on the website, use the 'search' function to search for 'petition for judicial review employment appeals board'. A link to the forms and information will be among the search results.

<u>Please help us improve our service by completing an online customer service survey</u>. To complete the survey, please go to <a href="https://www.surveygizmo.com/s3/5552642/EAB-Customer-Service-Survey">https://www.surveygizmo.com/s3/5552642/EAB-Customer-Service-Survey</a>. You can access the survey using a computer, tablet, or smartphone. If you are unable to complete the survey online and need a paper copy of the survey, please contact our office.



# **Understanding Your Employment Appeals Board Decision**

# **English**

Attention – This decision affects your unemployment benefits. If you do not understand this decision, contact the Employment Appeals Board immediately. If you do not agree with this decision, you may file a Petition for Judicial Review with the Oregon Court of Appeals following the instructions written at the end of the decision.

# **Simplified Chinese**

注意 - 本判决会影响您的失业救济金。 如果您不明白本判决, 请立即联系就业上诉委员会。 如果您不同意此判决,您可以按照该判决结尾所写的说明,向俄勒冈州上诉法院提出司法复审申请。

#### **Traditional Chinese**

注意 - 本判決會影響您的失業救濟金。 如果您不明白本判決, 請立即聯繫就業上訴委員會。 如果您不同意此判決,您可以按照該判決結尾所寫的說明, 向俄勒岡州上訴法院提出司法複審申請。

# **Tagalog**

Paalala – Nakakaapekto ang desisyong ito sa iyong mga benepisyo sa pagkawala ng trabaho. Kung hindi mo naiintindihan ang desisyong ito, makipag-ugnayan kaagad sa Lupon ng mga Apela sa Trabaho (Employment Appeals Board). Kung hindi ka sumasang-ayon sa desisyong ito, maaari kang maghain ng isang Petisyon sa Pagsusuri ng Hukuman (Petition for Judicial Review) sa Hukuman sa Paghahabol (Court of Appeals) ng Oregon na sinusunod ang mga tagubilin na nakasulat sa dulo ng desisyon.

# Vietnamese

Chú ý - Quyết định này ảnh hưởng đến trợ cấp thất nghiệp của quý vị. Nếu quý vị không hiểu quyết định này, hãy liên lạc với Ban Kháng Cáo Việc Làm ngay lập tức. Nếu quý vị không đồng ý với quyết định này, quý vị có thể nộp Đơn Xin Tái Xét Tư Pháp với Tòa Kháng Cáo Oregon theo các hướng dẫn được viết ra ở cuối quyết định này.

#### **Spanish**

Atención – Esta decisión afecta sus beneficios de desempleo. Si no entiende esta decisión, comuníquese inmediatamente con la Junta de Apelaciones de Empleo. Si no está de acuerdo con esta decisión, puede presentar una Aplicación de Revisión Judicial ante el Tribunal de Apelaciones de Oregon siguiendo las instrucciones escritas al final de la decisión.

#### Russian

Внимание — Данное решение влияет на ваше пособие по безработице. Если решение Вам непонятно — немедленно обратитесь в Апелляционный Комитет по Трудоустройству. Если Вы не согласны с принятым решением, вы можете подать Ходатайство о Пересмотре Судебного Решения в Апелляционный Суд штата Орегон, следуя инструкциям, описанным в конце решения.

Oregon Employment Department • www.Employment.Oregon.gov • FORM200 (1018) • Page 1 of 2

#### Khmer

ចំណុចសំខាន់ – សេចក្តីសម្រេចនេះមានផលប៉ះពាល់ដល់អត្ថប្រយោជន៍គ្មានការងារធ្វើរបស់លោកអ្នក។ ប្រសិនបើលោកអ្នកមិន យល់អំពីសេចក្តីសម្រេចនេះ សូមទាក់ទងគណៈកម្មការឧទ្ធរណ៍ការងារភ្លាមៗ។ ប្រសិនបើលោកអ្នកមិនយល់ស្របចំពោះសេចក្តី សម្រេចនេះទេ លោកអ្នកអាចដាក់ពាក្យប្តឹងសុំឲ្យមានការពិនិត្យរឿងក្តីឡើងវិញជាមួយតុលារឧទ្ធរណ៍រដ្ឋ Oregon ដោយអនុវត្តតាម សេចក្តីណែនាំដែលសរសេរនៅខាងចុងបញ្ចប់នៃសេចក្តីសម្រេចនេះ។

# Laotian

ເອົາໃຈໃສ່ – ຄຳຕັດສິນນີ້ມີຜິນກະທົບຕໍ່ກັບເງິນຊ່ວຍເຫຼືອການຫວ່າງງານຂອງທ່ານ. ຖ້າທ່ານບໍ່ເຂົ້າໃຈຄຳຕັດສິນນີ້, ກະລຸນາຕິດຕໍ່ຫາຄະນະກຳມະການ ອຸທອນການຈ້າງງານໃນທັນທີ. ຖ້າທ່ານບໍ່ເຫັນດີນຳຄຳຕັດສິນນີ້, ທ່ານສາມາດຍື່ນຄຳຮ້ອງຂໍການທົບທວນຄຳຕັດສິນນຳສານອຸທອນລັດ Oregon ໄດ້ ໂດຍປະຕິບັດຕາມຄຳແນະນຳທີ່ບອກໄວ້ຢູ່ຕອນທ້າຍຂອງຄຳຕັດສິນນີ້.

### Arabic

هذا القرار قد يؤثر على منحة البطالة الخاصة بك، إذا لم تفهم هذا القرار، إتصل بمجلس منازعات العمل فورا، و إذا كنت لا توافق على هذا القرار، يمكنك رفع شكوى للمراجعة القانونية بمحكمة الإستئناف بأوريغون و ذلك بإتباع الإرشادات المدرجة أسفل القرار.

#### Farsi

توجه - این حکم بر مزایای بیکاری شما تاثیر می گذارد. اگر با این تصمیم موافق نیستید، بلافاصله با هیأت فرجام خواهی استخدام تماس بگیرید. اگر از این حکم رضایت ندارید، میتوانید با استفاده از دستور العمل موجود در پایان آن، از دادگاه تجدید نظر اورگان در خواست تجدید نظر کنید.

**Employment Appeals Board -** 875 Union Street NE | Salem, OR 97311 Phone: (503) 378-2077 | 1-800-734-6949 | Fax: (503) 378-2129 | TDD: 711 www.Oregon.gov/Employ/eab

The Oregon Employment Department is an equal opportunity employer/program. Auxiliary aids and services are available upon request to individuals with disabilities. Language assistance is available to persons with limited English proficiency at no cost.

El Departamento de Empleo de Oregon es un programa que respeta la igualdad de oportunidades. Disponemos de servicios o ayudas auxiliares, formatos alternos y asistencia de idiomas para personas con discapacidades o conocimiento limitado del inglés, a pedido y sin costo.

Oregon Employment Department • www.Employment.Oregon.gov • FORM200 (1018) • Page 2 of 2