

**EMPLOYMENT APPEALS BOARD DECISION**  
**2023-EAB-0828-R**

*Request for Reconsideration Allowed*  
*EAB Decision 2023-EAB-0828 Adhered to on Reconsideration*

**PROCEDURAL HISTORY AND FINDINGS OF FACT:** On January 23, 2023, the Department served notice of an administrative decision concluding that claimant was overpaid \$16,037 in regular unemployment insurance (regular UI) and \$8,700 in Federal Pandemic Unemployment Compensation (FPUC) benefits that claimant was required to repay (decision # 160520). Claimant filed a timely request for hearing. On July 20, 2023, ALJ Scott conducted a hearing, and on July 21, 2023, issued Order No. 23-UI-231125, affirming decision # 160520. On July 27, 2023, claimant filed an application for review with the Employment Appeals Board (EAB).<sup>1</sup> On September 8, 2023, EAB issued EAB Decision 2023-EAB-0828, reversing Order No. 23-UI-231125 by concluding that claimant was not liable for an overpayment of benefits that he was required to repay the Department. On September 27, 2023, the Department filed a request for reconsideration of EAB Decision 2023-EAB-0828. This decision is issued pursuant to EAB's authority under ORS 657.290(3).

**CONCLUSIONS AND REASONS:** The Department's request for reconsideration is allowed. On reconsideration, EAB Decision 2023-EAB-0828 is adhered to as clarified herein.

ORS 657.290(3) authorizes EAB to reconsider any previous EAB decision, including "the making of a new decision to the extent necessary and appropriate for the correction of previous error of fact or law." "Any party may request reconsideration to correct an error of material fact or law, or to explain any unexplained inconsistency with Employment Department rule, or officially stated Employment Department position, or prior Employment Department practice." OAR 471-041-0145(1) (May 13, 2019). The request is subject to dismissal unless it includes a statement that a copy was provided to the other parties, and is filed on or before the 20<sup>th</sup> day after the decision sought to be reconsidered was mailed. OAR 471-041-0145(2).

<sup>1</sup> EAB originally consolidated this matter with UI Case No. 2023-UI-84463, addressed in EAB Decision 2023-EAB-0827, regarding the underlying work separation decision which led to the overpayment assessed in decision # 160520. As no party has requested reconsideration of EAB Decision 2023-EAB-0827, that matter will not be further addressed in this decision except as necessary to address the Department's request for reconsideration of EAB Decision 2023-EAB-0828.

The Department filed its request for reconsideration consistent with the requirements set forth in OAR 471-041-0145. The request for reconsideration therefore is allowed.

On January 23, 2023, the Department issued an administrative decision concluding that claimant received benefits to which she was not entitled and assessed an overpayment totaling \$24,737 in combined benefits that claimant was required to repay for the time period of March through September 2021 (decision # 160520). After a hearing on the merits of that decision, OAH issued Order No. 23-UI-231125, which affirmed the administrative overpayment decision. The decision under reconsideration reversed Order No. 23-UI-231125 because the overpayment decision amended the decisions to pay claimant more than a year after the decisions to pay had been made, and is barred by ORS 657.267(4). EAB Decision 2023-EAB-0828 at 3–5.

In its request for reconsideration, the Department assigns error to this decision, asserting that despite the fact that more than a year had passed after the original decisions to allow payment, it nevertheless had authority to issue the overpayment decision, explaining, in relevant part:

As stated in the EAB order, OED issued an overpayment decision (# 160520) on January 23, 2023[.]

While it's correct that this overpayment decision was issued more than 12 months after the weeks paid, it merely informed [claimant] of an overpayment that resulted from a **prior** denying separation decision. Nothing about decision # 160520 amended or altered the prior separation decision that had been issued on December 22, 2021. The decision issued December 22, 2021 (# 130656) found that [claimant] had quit work without good cause and [was] disqualified from receiving benefits effective February 7, 2021. Prior payments for the period at issue were made within 12 months of the voluntary leaving disqualification. Therefore, the Department had authority to deny the weeks at issue with no continuous jurisdiction necessary and the overpayment should stand.

Oregon Employment Department's Request for Reconsideration at 2 (emphasis in original).

The Department does not cite to any authority to support its argument that the overpayment decision “merely informed” claimant about the overpayment, or that decision # 130656 is the amendment to the Department's previous decisions to pay claimant. Decision # 130656 does not reverse the decisions to pay claimant. Instead, decision # 130656 only concludes that claimant was denied benefits effective February 7, 2021, based on a disqualifying work separation. Decision # 130656 did not conclude that claimant was denied, overpaid, or required to repay benefits for any of the weeks at issue. The overpayment decision, however, amends the decision to pay by reversing the payment decisions and requiring claimant to repay benefits received. The overpayment decision therefore does not “merely inform.” Instead, it creates a financial liability to claimant, to the tune of thousands of dollars, *years* after payment was made, when the payments were not made due to a willful misrepresentation or fraud. The plain language on the Department's administrative decision further strengthens this position because the overpayment decision issued by the Department cites to ORS 657.267. In contrast, decision # 130656 does not cite to ORS 657.267 as authority, but cites to state and federal law authorizing the program instead. Moreover, ORS 657.267(4) excludes amendments in cases of alleged fraud from the one-year time limitation. This implies that overpayment decisions, whether they be fraud, claimant fault

but non-fraud, or agency error, are what the statute contemplates as the amendment of the original decisions to allow payment. Since the one enumerated (but excepted) type of case, an alleged case of fraud, takes the form of an overpayment decision, it follows that the one-year time limitation applies to claimant fault but non-fraud and agency error cases, and that ORS 657.267(4) contemplates the overpayment decisions that give rise to those kinds of cases as the amendment.

A review of the legislative history of ORS 657.267(4) lends further support to the conclusion that overpayment decisions amend payment decisions. The one-year time limit was added to the language of the statute out of concern that it would be inequitable to allow OED to go years when there was an “honest mistake.” If ORS 657.267(4) is construed to permit the Department to assess non-fraud overpayments without a time limitation, the practical effect is that the Department could be allowed to assess overpayments years after the circumstances giving rise to the overpayment occurred, contrary to the addition of the one-year time limit in the language of the statute.

The Department’s request for reconsideration therefore fails to establish that EAB Decision 2023-EAB-0828 contained an error of material fact or law, and does not offer support for its position. EAB Decision 2023-EAB-0828 therefore is adhered to on reconsideration.

**DECISION:** The Department’s request for reconsideration is allowed. On reconsideration, EAB Decision 2023-EAB-0828 is adhered to as clarified herein.

D. Hettle and A. Steger-Bentz;  
S. Serres, not participating.

**DATE of Service: November 6, 2023**

**NOTE:** You may appeal this decision by filing a Petition for Judicial Review with the Oregon Court of Appeals within 30 days of the date of service listed above. *See* ORS 657.282. For forms and information, you may write to the Oregon Court of Appeals, Records Section, 1163 State Street, Salem, Oregon 97310 or visit the Court of Appeals website at [courts.oregon.gov](https://courts.oregon.gov). Once on the website, use the ‘search’ function to search for ‘petition for judicial review employment appeals board’. A link to the forms and information will be among the search results.

**Please help us improve our service by completing an online customer service survey.** To complete the survey, please go to <https://www.surveygizmo.com/s3/5552642/EAB-Customer-Service-Survey>. You can access the survey using a computer, tablet, or smartphone. If you are unable to complete the survey online and need a paper copy of the survey, please contact our office.



# Understanding Your Employment Appeals Board Decision

## English

Attention – This decision affects your unemployment benefits. If you do not understand this decision, contact the Employment Appeals Board immediately. If you do not agree with this decision, you may file a Petition for Judicial Review with the Oregon Court of Appeals following the instructions written at the end of the decision.

## Simplified Chinese

注意 – 本判決會影響您的失業救濟金。如果您不明白本判決，請立即聯繫就業上訴委員會。如果您不同意此判決，您可以按照該判決結尾所寫的說明，向俄勒岡州上訴法院提出司法複審申請。

## Traditional Chinese

注意 – 本判決會影響您的失業救濟金。如果您不明白本判決，請立即聯繫就業上訴委員會。如果您不同意此判決，您可以按照該判決結尾所寫的說明，向俄勒岡州上訴法院提出司法複審申請。

## Tagalog

Paalala – Nakakaapekto ang desisyong ito sa iyong mga benepisyo sa pagkawala ng trabaho. Kung hindi mo naiintindihan ang desisyong ito, makipag-ugnayan kaagad sa Lupon ng mga Apela sa Trabaho (Employment Appeals Board). Kung hindi ka sumasang-ayon sa desisyong ito, maaari kang maghain ng isang Petisyon sa Pagsusuri ng Hukuman (Petition for Judicial Review) sa Hukuman sa Paghahabol (Court of Appeals) ng Oregon na sinusunod ang mga tagubilin na nakasulat sa dulo ng desisyon.

## Vietnamese

Chú ý - Quyết định này ảnh hưởng đến trợ cấp thất nghiệp của quý vị. Nếu quý vị không hiểu quyết định này, hãy liên lạc với Ban Kháng Cáo Việc Làm ngay lập tức. Nếu quý vị không đồng ý với quyết định này, quý vị có thể nộp Đơn Xin Tái Xét Tư Pháp với Tòa Kháng Cáo Oregon theo các hướng dẫn được viết ra ở cuối quyết định này.

## Spanish

Atención – Esta decisión afecta sus beneficios de desempleo. Si no entiende esta decisión, comuníquese inmediatamente con la Junta de Apelaciones de Empleo. Si no está de acuerdo con esta decisión, puede presentar una Aplicación de Revisión Judicial ante el Tribunal de Apelaciones de Oregon siguiendo las instrucciones escritas al final de la decisión.

## Russian

Внимание – Данное решение влияет на ваше пособие по безработице. Если решение Вам непонятно – немедленно обратитесь в Апелляционный Комитет по Трудоустройству. Если Вы не согласны с принятым решением, вы можете подать Ходатайство о Пересмотре Судебного Решения в Апелляционный Суд штата Орегон, следуя инструкциям, описанным в конце решения.

**Khmer**

ចំណុចសំខាន់ – សេចក្តីសម្រេចនេះមានផលប៉ះពាល់ដល់អត្ថប្រយោជន៍គ្មានការងារធ្វើរបស់លោកអ្នក។ ប្រសិនបើលោកអ្នកមិនយល់អំពីសេចក្តីសម្រេចនេះ សូមទាក់ទងគណៈកម្មការឧទ្ធរណ៍ការងារភ្លាមៗ។ ប្រសិនបើលោកអ្នកមិនយល់ស្របចំពោះសេចក្តីសម្រេចនេះទេ លោកអ្នកអាចដាក់ពាក្យប្តឹងសុំឲ្យមានការពិនិត្យរឿងក្តីឡើងវិញជាមួយតុលាការឧទ្ធរណ៍រដ្ឋ Oregon ដោយអនុវត្តតាមសេចក្តីណែនាំដែលសរសេរនៅខាងចុងបញ្ចប់នៃសេចក្តីសម្រេចនេះ។

**Laotian**

ເອົາໃຈໃສ່ – ຄໍາຕັດສິນນີ້ມີຜົນກະທົບຕໍ່ກັບເງິນຊ່ວຍເຫຼືອການຫວ່າງງານຂອງທ່ານ. ຖ້າທ່ານບໍ່ເຂົ້າໃຈຄໍາຕັດສິນນີ້, ກະລຸນາຕິດຕໍ່ຫາຄະນະກຳມະການອຸທອນການຈ້າງງານໃນທັນທີ. ຖ້າທ່ານບໍ່ເຫັນດີນຳຄໍາຕັດສິນນີ້, ທ່ານສາມາດຍື່ນຄໍາຮ້ອງຂໍການທົບທວນຄໍາຕັດສິນນຳສານອຸທອນລັດ Oregon ໄດ້ໂດຍປະຕິບັດຕາມຄໍາແນະນຳທີ່ບອກໄວ້ຢູ່ຕອນທ້າຍຂອງຄໍາຕັດສິນນີ້.

**Arabic**

هذا القرار قد يؤثر على منحة البطالة الخاصة بك، إذا لم تفهم هذا القرار، إتصل بمجلس منازعات العمل فوراً، و إذا كنت لا توافق على هذا القرار، يمكنك رفع شكوى للمراجعة القانونية بمحكمة الاستئناف بأوريغون و ذلك بإتباع الإرشادات المدرجة أسفل القرار.

**Farsi**

توجه - این حکم بر مزایای بیکاری شما تاثیر می گذارد. اگر با این تصمیم موافق نیستید، بلافاصله با هیأت فرجام خواهی استخدام تماس بگیرید. اگر از این حکم رضایت ندارید، می‌توانید با استفاده از دستور العمل موجود در پایان آن، از دادگاه تجدید نظر اورگان درخواست تجدید نظر کنید.

**Employment Appeals Board - 875 Union Street NE | Salem, OR 97311**  
 Phone: (503) 378-2077 | 1-800-734-6949 | Fax: (503) 378-2129 | TDD: 711  
[www.Oregon.gov/Employ/eab](http://www.Oregon.gov/Employ/eab)

The Oregon Employment Department is an equal opportunity employer/program. Auxiliary aids and services are available upon request to individuals with disabilities. Language assistance is available to persons with limited English proficiency at no cost.

El Departamento de Empleo de Oregon es un programa que respeta la igualdad de oportunidades. Disponemos de servicios o ayudas auxiliares, formatos alternos y asistencia de idiomas para personas con discapacidades o conocimiento limitado del inglés, a pedido y sin costo.