EO: 990 BYE: 202351

### State of Oregon **Employment Appeals Board**

658 DS 005.00

875 Union St. N.E. Salem, OR 97311

## EMPLOYMENT APPEALS BOARD DECISION 2023-EAB-0484

Affirmed No Disqualification

**PROCEDURAL HISTORY:** On January 17, 2023, the Oregon Employment Department (the Department) served notice of an administrative decision concluding that claimant quit work without good cause and was disqualified from receiving unemployment insurance benefits effective November 6, 2022 (decision # 75059). Claimant filed a timely request for hearing. On March 31, 2023, ALJ Blam conducted a hearing, and on April 5, 2023 issued Order No. 23-UI-221093, reversing decision # 75059, by concluding that claimant was discharged, but not for misconduct, and was not disqualified from receiving benefits based on the work separation. On April 24, 2023, the employer filed an application for review with the Employment Appeals Board (EAB).

**WRITTEN ARGUMENT:** EAB did not consider the employer's written argument when reaching this decision because they did not include a statement declaring that they provided a copy of their argument to the opposing party as required by OAR 471-041-0080(2)(a) (May 13, 2019).

**FINDINGS OF FACT:** (1) Ondiem was a temporary staffing agency that employed claimant as a dental hygienist on various work assignments for different client dental offices.

- (2) The employer maintained a website that listed one-day work assignments at different dental offices in 48 states, including Oregon and Texas. While claimant worked for the employer, she would log on and apply to a dental office for each of the days that she was available to work. Each work assignment lasted one day.
- (3) In January 2022, the property owner of claimant's residence informed claimant that they would no longer be renting the residence, and that claimant and her husband would need to leave by April 2022. This date was later extended to May 31, 2022.
- (4) On May 31, 2022, claimant moved out of her home and began living in a relative's house. During this time, she continued to attempt to secure permanent housing in Oregon. Claimant remained unable to secure permanent housing, and eventually needed to move out of her relative's home.

- (5) On November 11, 2022, claimant worked her final work assignment for the employer. Afterwards, she moved to Texas, where she had relatives that could assist her with housing and where she had employment prospects once she could obtain her dental hygienist credentials in Texas.
- (6) After claimant completed her last work assignment for the employer, the employer continued to have additional work assignments available in Oregon. The employer also had work assignments available to claimant in Texas, but claimant could not accept this work until she was appropriately licensed in Texas.

**CONCLUSIONS AND REASONS:** The employer discharged claimant, but not for misconduct.

**Nature of the Work Separation.** If the employee could have continued to work for the same employer for an additional period of time, the work separation is a voluntary leaving. OAR 471-030-0038(2)(a) (September 22, 2020). If the employee is willing to continue to work for the same employer for an additional period of time but is not allowed to do so by the employer, the separation is a discharge. OAR 471-030-0038(2)(b). In the case of individuals working for temporary agencies, employee leasing companies, or governmental programs where a state agency serves as the employer of record for individuals performing home care services, the employment relationship "shall be deemed severed at the time that a work assignment ends." OAR 471-030-0038(1)(a) (September 22, 2020).

Though claimant and the employer both characterized the work separation as a voluntary quit, the record shows that it was a discharge that occurred on November 11, 2022. The employer was a temporary staffing agency, and therefore a work separation occurred each time a work assignment ended pursuant to the administrative rules. On November 11, 2022, the work assignment that claimant began that day ended. Under the rules, the employment relationship was severed when the work assignment ended that day, regardless of whether the employer had additional alternative work assignments available. Because the employer did not allow claimant to continue working for an additional period of time at her work assignment, the work separation was a discharge.

**Discharge.** ORS 657.176(2)(a) requires a disqualification from unemployment insurance benefits if the employer discharged claimant for misconduct connected with work. "As used in ORS 657.176(2)(a) . . . a willful or wantonly negligent violation of the standards of behavior which an employer has the right to expect of an employee is misconduct. An act or series of actions that amount to a willful or wantonly negligent disregard of an employer's interest is misconduct." OAR 471-030-0038(3)(a) (September 22, 2020). "[W]antonly negligent' means indifference to the consequences of an act or series of actions, or a failure to act or a series of failures to act, where the individual acting or failing to act is conscious of his or her conduct and knew or should have known that his or her conduct would probably result in a violation of the standards of behavior which an employer has the right to expect of an employee." OAR 471-030-0038(1)(c). In a discharge case, the employer has the burden to establish misconduct by a preponderance of evidence. *Babcock v. Employment Division*, 25 Or App 661, 550 P2d 1233 (1976).

The record fails to show that the employer discharged claimant because she had engaged in conduct that the employer considered a willful or wantonly negligent violation of the standards of behavior the employer had a right to expect. The record does not suggest that claimant violated any expectations of the employer. Rather, the record suggests that claimant's discharge resulted from the scheduled end of the temporary work assignment that claimant began on November 11, 2022. Accordingly, the employer did not discharge claimant for misconduct under ORS 657.176(2)(a).

For these reasons, the employer discharged claimant but not for misconduct, and she is not disqualified from benefits based on the work separation.

**DECISION:** Order No. 23-UI-221093 is affirmed.

D. Hettle and A. Steger-Bentz; S. Serres, not participating.

DATE of Service: May 31, 2023

**NOTE:** You may appeal this decision by filing a Petition for Judicial Review with the Oregon Court of Appeals within 30 days of the date of service listed above. *See* ORS 657.282. For forms and information, you may write to the Oregon Court of Appeals, Records Section, 1163 State Street, Salem, Oregon 97310 or visit the Court of Appeals website at courts.oregon.gov. Once on the website, use the 'search' function to search for 'petition for judicial review employment appeals board'. A link to the forms and information will be among the search results.

<u>Please help us improve our service by completing an online customer service survey</u>. To complete the survey, please go to <a href="https://www.surveygizmo.com/s3/5552642/EAB-Customer-Service-Survey">https://www.surveygizmo.com/s3/5552642/EAB-Customer-Service-Survey</a>. You can access the survey using a computer, tablet, or smartphone. If you are unable to complete the survey online and need a paper copy of the survey, please contact our office.



# **Understanding Your Employment Appeals Board Decision**

#### **English**

Attention – This decision affects your unemployment benefits. If you do not understand this decision, contact the Employment Appeals Board immediately. If you do not agree with this decision, you may file a Petition for Judicial Review with the Oregon Court of Appeals following the instructions written at the end of the decision.

#### **Simplified Chinese**

注意 - 本判决会影响您的失业救济金。 如果您不明白本判决, 请立即联系就业上诉委员会。 如果您不同意此判决,您可以按照该判决结尾所写的说明,向俄勒冈州上诉法院提出司法复审申请。

#### **Traditional Chinese**

注意 - 本判決會影響您的失業救濟金。 如果您不明白本判決, 請立即聯繫就業上訴委員會。 如果您不同意此判決,您可以按照該判決結尾所寫的說明, 向俄勒岡州上訴法院提出司法複審申請。

#### **Tagalog**

Paalala – Nakakaapekto ang desisyong ito sa iyong mga benepisyo sa pagkawala ng trabaho. Kung hindi mo naiintindihan ang desisyong ito, makipag-ugnayan kaagad sa Lupon ng mga Apela sa Trabaho (Employment Appeals Board). Kung hindi ka sumasang-ayon sa desisyong ito, maaari kang maghain ng isang Petisyon sa Pagsusuri ng Hukuman (Petition for Judicial Review) sa Hukuman sa Paghahabol (Court of Appeals) ng Oregon na sinusunod ang mga tagubilin na nakasulat sa dulo ng desisyon.

#### **Vietnamese**

Chú ý - Quyết định này ảnh hưởng đến trợ cấp thất nghiệp của quý vị. Nếu quý vị không hiểu quyết định này, hãy liên lạc với Ban Kháng Cáo Việc Làm ngay lập tức. Nếu quý vị không đồng ý với quyết định này, quý vị có thể nộp Đơn Xin Tái Xét Tư Pháp với Tòa Kháng Cáo Oregon theo các hướng dẫn được viết ra ở cuối quyết định này.

#### **Spanish**

Atención – Esta decisión afecta sus beneficios de desempleo. Si no entiende esta decisión, comuníquese inmediatamente con la Junta de Apelaciones de Empleo. Si no está de acuerdo con esta decisión, puede presentar una Aplicación de Revisión Judicial ante el Tribunal de Apelaciones de Oregon siguiendo las instrucciones escritas al final de la decisión.

#### Russian

Внимание — Данное решение влияет на ваше пособие по безработице. Если решение Вам непонятно — немедленно обратитесь в Апелляционный Комитет по Трудоустройству. Если Вы не согласны с принятым решением, вы можете подать Ходатайство о Пересмотре Судебного Решения в Апелляционный Суд штата Орегон, следуя инструкциям, описанным в конце решения.

Oregon Employment Department • www.Employment.Oregon.gov • FORM200 (1018) • Page 1 of 2

#### Khmer

ចំណុចសំខាន់ – សេចក្តីសម្រេចនេះមានផលប៉ះពាល់ដល់អត្ថប្រយោជន៍គ្មានការងារធ្វើរបស់លោកអ្នក។ ប្រសិនបើលោកអ្នកមិន យល់អំពីសេចក្តីសម្រេចនេះ សូមទាក់ទងគណៈកម្មការឧទ្ធរណ៍ការងារភ្លាមៗ។ ប្រសិនបើលោកអ្នកមិនយល់ស្របចំពោះសេចក្តី សម្រេចនេះទេ លោកអ្នកអាចដាក់ពាក្យប្តឹងសុំឲ្យមានការពិនិត្យរឿងក្តីឡើងវិញជាមួយតុលារឧទ្ធរណ៍រដ្ឋ Oregon ដោយអនុវត្តតាម សេចក្តីណែនាំដែលសរសេរនៅខាងចុងបញ្ចប់នៃសេចក្តីសម្រេចនេះ។

#### Laotian

ເອົາໃຈໃສ່ – ຄຳຕັດສິນນີ້ມີຜົນກະທົບຕໍ່ກັບເງິນຊ່ວຍເຫຼືອການຫວ່າງງານຂອງທ່ານ. ຖ້າທ່ານບໍ່ເຂົ້າໃຈຄຳຕັດສິນນີ້, ກະລຸນາຕິດຕໍ່ຫາຄະນະກຳມະການ ອຸທອນການຈ້າງງານໃນທັນທີ. ຖ້າທ່ານບໍ່ເຫັນດີນຳຄຳຕັດສິນນີ້, ທ່ານສາມາດຍື່ນຄຳຮ້ອງຂໍການທົບທວນຄຳຕັດສິນນຳສານອຸທອນລັດ Oregon ໄດ້ ໂດຍປະຕິບັດຕາມຄຳແນະນຳທີ່ບອກໄວ້ຢູ່ຕອນທ້າຍຂອງຄຳຕັດສິນນີ້.

#### **Arabic**

هذا القرار قد يؤثر على منحة البطالة الخاصة بك، إذا لم تفهم هذا القرار، إتصل بمجلس منازعات العمل فورا، و إذا كنت لا توافق على هذا القرار، يمكنك رفع شكوى للمراجعة القانونية بمحكمة الإستئناف بأوريغون و ذلك بإتباع الإرشادات المدرجة أسفل القرار.

#### Farsi

توجه - این حکم بر مزایای بیکاری شما تاثیر می گذارد. اگر با این تصمیم موافق نیستید، بلافاصله با هیأت فرجام خواهی استخدام تماس بگیرید. اگر از این حکم رضایت ندارید، میتوانید با استفاده از دستور العمل موجود در پایان آن، از دادگاه تجدید نظر اورگان درخواست تجدید نظر کنید.

**Employment Appeals Board -** 875 Union Street NE | Salem, OR 97311 Phone: (503) 378-2077 | 1-800-734-6949 | Fax: (503) 378-2129 | TDD: 711 www.Oregon.gov/Employ/eab

The Oregon Employment Department is an equal opportunity employer/program. Auxiliary aids and services are available upon request to individuals with disabilities. Language assistance is available to persons with limited English proficiency at no cost.

El Departamento de Empleo de Oregon es un programa que respeta la igualdad de oportunidades. Disponemos de servicios o ayudas auxiliares, formatos alternos y asistencia de idiomas para personas con discapacidades o conocimiento limitado del inglés, a pedido y sin costo.

Oregon Employment Department • www.Employment.Oregon.gov • FORM200 (1018) • Page 2 of 2