EO: 200 BYE: 202303

State of Oregon

058 DS 005.00

Employment Appeals Board

875 Union St. N.E. Salem, OR 97311

EMPLOYMENT APPEALS BOARD DECISION 2022-EAB-1148

Affirmed No Disqualification

PROCEDURAL HISTORY: On September 19, 2022, the Oregon Employment Department (the Department) served notice of an administrative decision concluding that claimant was discharged for misconduct and was disqualified from receiving unemployment insurance benefits effective August 28, 2022 (decision # 142055). Claimant filed a timely request for hearing. On November 7, 2022, ALJ Chiller conducted a hearing, and on November 10, 2022 issued Order No. 22-UI-207102, reversing decision # 142055 by concluding that claimant was discharged, but not for misconduct, and was not disqualified from receiving unemployment insurance benefits based on the work separation. On November 18, 2022, the employer filed an application for review with the Employment Appeals Board (EAB).

WRITTEN ARGUMENT: The employer did not declare that they provided a copy of their argument to the opposing party as required by OAR 471-041-0080(2)(a) (May 13, 2019). The argument also contained information that was not part of the hearing record, and did not show that factors or circumstances beyond the employer's reasonable control prevented them from offering the information during the hearing as required by OAR 471-041-0090 (May 13, 2019). EAB considered only information received into evidence at the hearing when reaching this decision. *See* ORS 657.275(2).

EAB considered claimant's written argument to the extent that it was based on the hearing record.

FINDINGS OF FACT: (1) ONPACE employed claimant as an administrative assistant from July 18, 2022 until September 1, 2022.

- (2) After hiring claimant, the owner of the company spent two days training claimant. Following this, the individual who formerly held claimant's position trained claimant for eight days.
- (3) In the month following this training period, the owner believed that claimant had not learned her position sufficiently and was only showing "very slow growth." Transcript at 9. The owner believed that claimant was progressing slowly because she was preoccupied with personal matters. The owner did not

provide claimant with performance reviews or any warnings explicitly notifying her of this, but did review training materials with claimant when claimant made mistakes.

- (4) Around August 30, 2022, the employer made the decision to discharge claimant.
- (5) On September 1, 2022, claimant received a call from her mother's assisted living facility regarding an emergency medical situation. Claimant spent roughly one hour talking with facility staff, emergency room physicians, and family members. Claimant met with the owner of the employer later that day, informed the owner of the emergency calls, and stated that the employer should dock her pay for one hour for the time she spent dealing with the emergency. The owner decided this was "the straw that broke the camel's back" and then discharged claimant. Transcript at 15.

CONCLUSIONS AND REASONS: The employer discharged claimant, but not for misconduct.

ORS 657.176(2)(a) requires a disqualification from unemployment insurance benefits if the employer discharged claimant for misconduct connected with work. "As used in ORS 657.176(2)(a) . . . a willful or wantonly negligent violation of the standards of behavior which an employer has the right to expect of an employee is misconduct. An act or series of actions that amount to a willful or wantonly negligent disregard of an employer's interest is misconduct." OAR 471-030-0038(3)(a) (September 22, 2020). "[W]antonly negligent' means indifference to the consequences of an act or series of actions, or a failure to act or a series of failures to act, where the individual acting or failing to act is conscious of his or her conduct and knew or should have known that his or her conduct would probably result in a violation of the standards of behavior which an employer has the right to expect of an employee." OAR 471-030-0038(1)(c). In a discharge case, the employer has the burden to establish misconduct by a preponderance of evidence. *Babcock v. Employment Division*, 25 Or App 661, 550 P2d 1233 (1976). Isolated instances of poor judgment, good faith errors, unavoidable accidents, absences due to illness or other physical or mental disabilities, or mere inefficiency resulting from lack of job skills or experience are not misconduct. OAR 471-030-0038(3)(b).

The discharge analysis focuses on the proximate cause of the discharge. See e.g. Appeals Board Decision 12-AB-0434, March 16, 2012 (discharge analysis focuses on proximate cause of the discharge, which is generally the last incident of misconduct before the discharge); Appeals Board Decision 09-EAB-1767, June 29, 2009 (discharge analysis focuses on proximate cause of discharge, which is the incident without which the discharge would not have occurred when it did). Here, the owner of the employer testified that claimant taking personal calls on September 1, 2022, was "the straw that broke the camel's back." Transcript at 14. However, to the extent that the employer discharged claimant for taking personal calls on September 1, 2022, the record does not show that this amounted to misconduct. While it is reasonable for an employer to have a policy generally prohibiting personal calls during work hours, the employer does not have the right to expect that an employee will not take calls regarding family medical emergencies. Claimant testified that the phone calls she took on September 1, 2022, were related to her mother's medical emergency. Transcript at 35. Therefore, taking these calls during work hours did not violate an expectation the employer had a right to expect, and did not constitute misconduct.

The employer also stated that claimant taking personal calls was part of an ongoing problem where claimant was "very preoccupied with other things" which led her to make "very slow growth" in her

position. Transcript at 7, 9. To the extent that this preoccupation was the reason for claimant's discharge, the employer has also failed to meet their burden to show that this was misconduct. At hearing, the owner stated that claimant would talk about personal struggles, when she "should be checking that stuff at the door." Transcript at 16. However, the employer never notified claimant that this was their expectation, and never provided her with any kind of warning or performance review that would have alerted her to this issue. Because claimant did not know or have reason to know she was violating an employer expectation, this violation does not show a willful disregard of this expectation or an indifference to the consequences of violating it. Additionally, claimant did not have the opportunity to make adjustments to meet this expectation because she never knew she was violating it.

Further, while claimant may have progressed slower than the employer desired, inefficiency in one's position because of a lack of job skills or experience in the position is not misconduct. OAR 471-030-0038(3)(b). Therefore, to the extent that claimant's slow growth in her position was the result of this inefficiency the employer has not proven misconduct.

For the above reasons, the employer discharged claimant, but not for misconduct, and claimant is therefore not disqualified from receiving unemployment insurance benefits based on the work separation.

DECISION: Order No. 22-UI-207102 is affirmed.

D. Hettle and A. Steger-Bentz; S. Serres, not participating.

DATE of Service: January 19, 2023

NOTE: You may appeal this decision by filing a Petition for Judicial Review with the Oregon Court of Appeals within 30 days of the date of service listed above. *See* ORS 657.282. For forms and information, you may write to the Oregon Court of Appeals, Records Section, 1163 State Street, Salem, Oregon 97310 or visit the Court of Appeals website at courts.oregon.gov. Once on the website, use the 'search' function to search for 'petition for judicial review employment appeals board'. A link to the forms and information will be among the search results.

<u>Please help us improve our service by completing an online customer service survey</u>. To complete the survey, please go to https://www.surveygizmo.com/s3/5552642/EAB-Customer-Service-Survey. You can access the survey using a computer, tablet, or smartphone. If you are unable to complete the survey online and need a paper copy of the survey, please contact our office.



Understanding Your Employment Appeals Board Decision

English

Attention – This decision affects your unemployment benefits. If you do not understand this decision, contact the Employment Appeals Board immediately. If you do not agree with this decision, you may file a Petition for Judicial Review with the Oregon Court of Appeals following the instructions written at the end of the decision.

Simplified Chinese

注意 - 本判决会影响您的失业救济金。 如果您不明白本判决, 请立即联系就业上诉委员会。 如果您不同意此判决,您可以按照该判决结尾所写的说明,向俄勒冈州上诉法院提出司法复审申请。

Traditional Chinese

注意 - 本判決會影響您的失業救濟金。 如果您不明白本判決, 請立即聯繫就業上訴委員會。 如果您不同意此判決,您可以按照該判決結尾所寫的說明, 向俄勒岡州上訴法院提出司法複審申請。

Tagalog

Paalala – Nakakaapekto ang desisyong ito sa iyong mga benepisyo sa pagkawala ng trabaho. Kung hindi mo naiintindihan ang desisyong ito, makipag-ugnayan kaagad sa Lupon ng mga Apela sa Trabaho (Employment Appeals Board). Kung hindi ka sumasang-ayon sa desisyong ito, maaari kang maghain ng isang Petisyon sa Pagsusuri ng Hukuman (Petition for Judicial Review) sa Hukuman sa Paghahabol (Court of Appeals) ng Oregon na sinusunod ang mga tagubilin na nakasulat sa dulo ng desisyon.

Vietnamese

Chú ý - Quyết định này ảnh hưởng đến trợ cấp thất nghiệp của quý vị. Nếu quý vị không hiểu quyết định này, hãy liên lạc với Ban Kháng Cáo Việc Làm ngay lập tức. Nếu quý vị không đồng ý với quyết định này, quý vị có thể nộp Đơn Xin Tái Xét Tư Pháp với Tòa Kháng Cáo Oregon theo các hướng dẫn được viết ra ở cuối quyết định này.

Spanish

Atención – Esta decisión afecta sus beneficios de desempleo. Si no entiende esta decisión, comuníquese inmediatamente con la Junta de Apelaciones de Empleo. Si no está de acuerdo con esta decisión, puede presentar una Aplicación de Revisión Judicial ante el Tribunal de Apelaciones de Oregon siguiendo las instrucciones escritas al final de la decisión.

Russian

Внимание — Данное решение влияет на ваше пособие по безработице. Если решение Вам непонятно — немедленно обратитесь в Апелляционный Комитет по Трудоустройству. Если Вы не согласны с принятым решением, вы можете подать Ходатайство о Пересмотре Судебного Решения в Апелляционный Суд штата Орегон, следуя инструкциям, описанным в конце решения.

Oregon Employment Department • www.Employment.Oregon.gov • FORM200 (1018) • Page 1 of 2

Khmer

ចំណុចសំខាន់ – សេចក្តីសម្រេចនេះមានផលប៉ះពាល់ដល់អត្ថប្រយោជន៍គ្មានការងារធ្វើរបស់លោកអ្នក។ ប្រសិនបើលោកអ្នកមិន យល់អំពីសេចក្តីសម្រេចនេះ សូមទាក់ទងគណៈកម្មការឧទ្ធរណ៍ការងារភ្លាមៗ។ ប្រសិនបើលោកអ្នកមិនយល់ស្របចំពោះសេចក្តី សម្រេចនេះទេ លោកអ្នកអាចដាក់ពាក្យប្តឹងសុំឲ្យមានការពិនិត្យរឿងក្តីឡើងវិញជាមួយតុលារឧទ្ធរណ៍រដ្ឋ Oregon ដោយអនុវត្តតាម សេចក្តីណែនាំដែលសរសេរនៅខាងចុងបញ្ចប់នៃសេចក្តីសម្រេចនេះ។

Laotian

ເອົາໃຈໃສ່ – ຄຳຕັດສິນນີ້ມີຜົນກະທົບຕໍ່ກັບເງິນຊ່ວຍເຫຼືອການຫວ່າງງານຂອງທ່ານ. ຖ້າທ່ານບໍ່ເຂົ້າໃຈຄຳຕັດສິນນີ້, ກະລຸນາຕິດຕໍ່ຫາຄະນະກຳມະການ ອຸທອນການຈ້າງງານໃນທັນທີ. ຖ້າທ່ານບໍ່ເຫັນດີນຳຄຳຕັດສິນນີ້, ທ່ານສາມາດຍື່ນຄຳຮ້ອງຂໍການທົບທວນຄຳຕັດສິນນຳສານອຸທອນລັດ Oregon ໄດ້ ໂດຍປະຕິບັດຕາມຄຳແນະນຳທີ່ບອກໄວ້ຢູ່ຕອນທ້າຍຂອງຄຳຕັດສິນນີ້.

Arabic

هذا القرار قد يؤثر على منحة البطالة الخاصة بك، إذا لم تفهم هذا القرار، إتصل بمجلس منازعات العمل فورا، و إذا كنت لا توافق على هذا القرار، يمكنك رفع شكوى للمراجعة القانونية بمحكمة الإستئناف بأوريغون و ذلك بإتباع الإرشادات المدرجة أسفل القرار.

Farsi

توجه - این حکم بر مزایای بیکاری شما تاثیر می گذارد. اگر با این تصمیم موافق نیستید، بلافاصله با هیأت فرجام خواهی استخدام تماس بگیرید. اگر از این حکم رضایت ندارید، میتوانید با استفاده از دستور العمل موجود در پایان آن، از دادگاه تجدید نظر اورگان در خواست تجدید نظر کنید.

Employment Appeals Board - 875 Union Street NE | Salem, OR 97311 Phone: (503) 378-2077 | 1-800-734-6949 | Fax: (503) 378-2129 | TDD: 711 www.Oregon.gov/Employ/eab

The Oregon Employment Department is an equal opportunity employer/program. Auxiliary aids and services are available upon request to individuals with disabilities. Language assistance is available to persons with limited English proficiency at no cost.

El Departamento de Empleo de Oregon es un programa que respeta la igualdad de oportunidades. Disponemos de servicios o ayudas auxiliares, formatos alternos y asistencia de idiomas para personas con discapacidades o conocimiento limitado del inglés, a pedido y sin costo.

Oregon Employment Department • www.Employment.Oregon.gov • FORM200 (1018) • Page 2 of 2