

**EMPLOYMENT APPEALS BOARD DECISION**  
**2022-EAB-1119**

*Reversed & Remanded*

**PROCEDURAL HISTORY AND FINDINGS OF FACT:** On December 7, 2021, the Oregon Employment Department (the Department) served notice of an administrative decision assessing an overpayment of \$1,500 of Lost Wages Assistance (LWA) benefits that claimant was liable to repay the Department (decision # 0559744). On December 27, 2021, decision # 0559744 became final without claimant having filed a request for hearing. On June 23, 2022, claimant filed a late request for hearing. ALJ Kangas considered claimant's request, and on September 29, 2022 issued Order No. 22-UI-203904, dismissing the request as late, subject to claimant's right to renew the request by responding to an appellant questionnaire by October 13, 2022. On October 17, 2022, claimant filed a late response to the appellant questionnaire and a timely application for review of Order No. 22-UI-203904 with the Employment Appeals Board (EAB). On November 10, 2022, ALJ Kangas mailed a letter stating that the Office of Administrative Hearings (OAH) would not consider claimant's questionnaire response or issue another order regarding this matter because the questionnaire response was late. This matter comes before EAB based upon claimant's October 17, 2022 application for review of Order No. 22-UI-203904.

**EVIDENTIARY MATTER:** EAB has considered additional evidence when reaching this decision under OAR 471-041-0090(1) (May 13, 2019). The additional evidence is claimant's response to the appellant questionnaire from Order No. 22-UI-204674 and the appellant questionnaire from this case, marked collectively as EAB Exhibit 1, and a copy provided to the parties with this decision. Any party that objects to our admitting EAB Exhibit 1 must submit such objection to this office in writing, setting forth the basis of the objection in writing, within ten days of our mailing this decision. OAR 471-041-0090(2). Unless such objection is received and sustained, the exhibit will remain in the record.

**CONCLUSIONS AND REASONS:** Order No. 22-UI-203904 is set aside and this matter remanded for a hearing on whether claimant's late request for hearing on decision # 0559744 should be allowed, and if so, the merits of that decision.

ORS 657.269 provides that the Department's decisions become final unless a party files a request for hearing within 20 days after the date the decision is mailed. ORS 657.875 provides that the 20-day deadline may be extended a "reasonable time" upon a showing of "good cause." OAR 471-040-0010 (February 10, 2012) provides that "good cause" includes factors beyond an applicant's reasonable

control or an excusable mistake, and defines “reasonable time” as seven days after those factors ceased to exist. Good cause does not include “[f]ailure to receive a document due to not notifying the Employment Department or Office of Administrative Hearings of an updated address while the person is claiming benefits or if the person knows, or reasonably should know, of a pending appeal[.]” OAR 471-040-0010(1)(b)(A).

On December 7, 2021, the Department mailed decision # 0559744 to claimant at claimant’s address of record on file with the Department. The 20-day deadline for claimant to file a timely request for hearing on that decision was December 27, 2021. Claimant did not file a request for hearing until June 23, 2022. Accordingly, claimant’s request for hearing was late.

In their appellant questionnaire response from an accompanying case, Order No. 22-UI-204674, claimant indicated that they moved to Arkansas on December 1, 2020, and did not receive notification of the administrative decision in that case at their new address. EAB Exhibit 1 at 4. The fact that claimant did not receive notification of the administrative decision in Order No. 22-UI-204674 suggests that they did not receive notification of decision # 0559744 as well. If claimant did not receive decision # 0559744 at their address in Arkansas, claimant’s late request for hearing may have been due to factors beyond their reasonable control. However, further inquiry is needed to determine whether claimant had good cause to file the late request for hearing, and whether they filed the late request for hearing within a reasonable time. On remand, the ALJ should develop the record to confirm when claimant moved to Arkansas, and if that move caused claimant to be unaware of decision # 0559744 such that it constituted a factor beyond his reasonable control that prevented a timely filing. The ALJ should ask questions to assess the applicability of OAR 471-040-0010(1)(b)(A) to claimant’s circumstances. The ALJ should also inquire into when claimant learned of the existence of decision # 0559744, and whether the circumstances (if any) that prevented a timely filing ceased to exist at that point, and, if so, whether claimant’s June 23, 2022 request for hearing was filed within a seven-day “reasonable time” thereafter.

Order No. 22-UI-203904 therefore is reversed, and this matter remanded for a hearing on whether claimant’s late request for hearing should be allowed, and if so, the merits of decision # 0559744.

**DECISION:** Order No. 22-UI-203904 is set aside, and this matter remanded for further proceedings consistent with this order.

S. Serres and A. Steger-Bentz;  
D. Hettle, not participating.

**DATE of Service:** December 16, 2022

**NOTE:** The failure of any party to appear at the hearing on remand will not reinstate Order No. 22-UI-203904 or return this matter to EAB. Only a timely application for review of the subsequent order will cause this matter to return to EAB.

**Please help us improve our service by completing an online customer service survey.** To complete the survey, please go to <https://www.surveygizmo.com/s3/5552642/EAB-Customer-Service-Survey>. You can access the survey using a computer, tablet, or smartphone. If you are unable to complete the survey online and need a paper copy of the survey, please contact our office.



# Understanding Your Employment Appeals Board Decision

## English

Attention – This decision affects your unemployment benefits. If you do not understand this decision, contact the Employment Appeals Board immediately. If you do not agree with this decision, you may file a Petition for Judicial Review with the Oregon Court of Appeals following the instructions written at the end of the decision.

## Simplified Chinese

注意 – 本判決會影響您的失業救濟金。如果您不明白本判決，請立即聯繫就業上訴委員會。如果您不同意此判決，您可以按照該判決結尾所寫的說明，向俄勒岡州上訴法院提出司法複審申請。

## Traditional Chinese

注意 – 本判決會影響您的失業救濟金。如果您不明白本判決，請立即聯繫就業上訴委員會。如果您不同意此判決，您可以按照該判決結尾所寫的說明，向俄勒岡州上訴法院提出司法複審申請。

## Tagalog

Paalala – Nakakaapekto ang desisyong ito sa iyong mga benepisyo sa pagkawala ng trabaho. Kung hindi mo naiintindihan ang desisyong ito, makipag-ugnayan kaagad sa Lupon ng mga Apela sa Trabaho (Employment Appeals Board). Kung hindi ka sumasang-ayon sa desisyong ito, maaari kang maghain ng isang Petisyon sa Pagsusuri ng Hukuman (Petition for Judicial Review) sa Hukuman sa Paghahabol (Court of Appeals) ng Oregon na sinusunod ang mga tagubilin na nakasulat sa dulo ng desisyon.

## Vietnamese

Chú ý - Quyết định này ảnh hưởng đến trợ cấp thất nghiệp của quý vị. Nếu quý vị không hiểu quyết định này, hãy liên lạc với Ban Kháng Cáo Việc Làm ngay lập tức. Nếu quý vị không đồng ý với quyết định này, quý vị có thể nộp Đơn Xin Tái Xét Tư Pháp với Tòa Kháng Cáo Oregon theo các hướng dẫn được viết ra ở cuối quyết định này.

## Spanish

Atención – Esta decisión afecta sus beneficios de desempleo. Si no entiende esta decisión, comuníquese inmediatamente con la Junta de Apelaciones de Empleo. Si no está de acuerdo con esta decisión, puede presentar una Aplicación de Revisión Judicial ante el Tribunal de Apelaciones de Oregon siguiendo las instrucciones escritas al final de la decisión.

## Russian

Внимание – Данное решение влияет на ваше пособие по безработице. Если решение Вам непонятно – немедленно обратитесь в Апелляционный Комитет по Трудоустройству. Если Вы не согласны с принятым решением, вы можете подать Ходатайство о Пересмотре Судебного Решения в Апелляционный Суд штата Орегон, следуя инструкциям, описанным в конце решения.

**Khmer**

ចំណុចសំខាន់ – សេចក្តីសម្រេចនេះមានផលប៉ះពាល់ដល់អត្ថប្រយោជន៍គ្មានការងារធ្វើរបស់លោកអ្នក។ ប្រសិនបើលោកអ្នកមិនយល់អំពីសេចក្តីសម្រេចនេះ សូមទាក់ទងគណៈកម្មការឧទ្ធរណ៍ការងារភ្លាមៗ។ ប្រសិនបើលោកអ្នកមិនយល់ស្របចំពោះសេចក្តីសម្រេចនេះទេ លោកអ្នកអាចដាក់ពាក្យប្តឹងសុំឲ្យមានការពិនិត្យរឿងក្តីឡើងវិញជាមួយតុលាការឧទ្ធរណ៍រដ្ឋ Oregon ដោយអនុវត្តតាមសេចក្តីណែនាំដែលសរសេរនៅខាងចុងបញ្ចប់នៃសេចក្តីសម្រេចនេះ។

**Laotian**

ເອົາໃຈໃສ່ – ຄໍາຕັດສິນນີ້ມີຜົນກະທົບຕໍ່ກັບເງິນຊ່ວຍເຫຼືອການຫວ່າງງານຂອງທ່ານ. ຖ້າທ່ານບໍ່ເຂົ້າໃຈຄໍາຕັດສິນນີ້, ກະລຸນາຕິດຕໍ່ຫາຄະນະກຳມະການອຸທອນການຈ້າງງານໃນທັນທີ. ຖ້າທ່ານບໍ່ເຫັນດີນໍາຄໍາຕັດສິນນີ້, ທ່ານສາມາດຍື່ນຄໍາຮ້ອງຂໍການທົບທວນຄໍາຕັດສິນນໍາສານອຸທອນລັດ Oregon ໄດ້ໂດຍປະຕິບັດຕາມຄໍາແນະນໍາທີ່ບອກໄວ້ຢູ່ຕອນທ້າຍຂອງຄໍາຕັດສິນນີ້.

**Arabic**

هذا القرار قد يؤثر على منحة البطالة الخاصة بك، إذا لم تفهم هذا القرار، إتصل بمجلس منازعات العمل فوراً، و إذا كنت لا توافق على هذا القرار، يمكنك رفع شكوى للمراجعة القانونية بمحكمة الإستئناف بأوريغون و ذلك بإتباع الإرشادات المدرجة أسفل القرار.

**Farsi**

توجه - این حکم بر مزایای بیکاری شما تاثیر می گذارد. اگر با این تصمیم موافق نیستید، بلافاصله با هیأت فرجام خواهی استخدام تماس بگیرید. اگر از این حکم رضایت ندارید، می‌توانید با استفاده از دستور العمل موجود در پایان آن، از دادگاه تجدید نظر اورگان درخواست تجدید نظر کنید.

**Employment Appeals Board - 875 Union Street NE | Salem, OR 97311**  
 Phone: (503) 378-2077 | 1-800-734-6949 | Fax: (503) 378-2129 | TDD: 711  
[www.Oregon.gov/Employ/eab](http://www.Oregon.gov/Employ/eab)

The Oregon Employment Department is an equal opportunity employer/program. Auxiliary aids and services are available upon request to individuals with disabilities. Language assistance is available to persons with limited English proficiency at no cost.

El Departamento de Empleo de Oregon es un programa que respeta la igualdad de oportunidades. Disponemos de servicios o ayudas auxiliares, formatos alternos y asistencia de idiomas para personas con discapacidades o conocimiento limitado del inglés, a pedido y sin costo.