EO: 200 BYE: 202132

State of Oregon **Employment Appeals Board** 875 Union St. N.E. Salem, OR 97311

649 VQ 005.00

EMPLOYMENT APPEALS BOARD DECISION 2022-EAB-0234

Affirmed Disqualification

PROCEDURAL HISTORY: On December 21, 2021, the Oregon Employment Department (the Department) served notice of an administrative decision concluding that claimant quit working for the employer without good cause and was disqualified from receiving unemployment insurance benefits effective December 29, 2019 (decision # 111533). Claimant filed a timely request for hearing. On January 24, 2022, ALJ Davis conducted a hearing, and on January 25, 2022 issued Order No. 22-UI-184759, affirming decision # 111533. On February 11, 2022, claimant filed an application for review with the Employment Appeals Board (EAB).

WRITTEN ARGUMENT: Claimant filed written arguments on February 14, 2022 and March 9, 2022. EAB did not consider claimant's February 14, 2022 written argument when reaching this decision because she did not include a statement declaring that she provided a copy of her argument to the opposing party or parties as required by OAR 471-041-0080(2)(a) (May 13, 2019). Claimant's March 9, 2022 written argument contained information that was not part of the hearing record, and did not show that factors or circumstances beyond claimant's reasonable control prevented her from offering the information during the hearing. Under ORS 657.275(2) and OAR 471-041-0090 (May 13, 2019), EAB considered only information received into evidence at the hearing when reaching this decision. EAB considered claimant's March 9, 2022 argument to the extent it was based on the record.

FINDINGS OF FACTS: (1) Integrated Health Services Inc. employed claimant, most recently as a mental health therapist, from August 2013 until December 31, 2019.

(2) Prior to January 1, 2018, claimant worked on a contract basis, which allowed her a degree of independence from the employer's owner. After claimant transitioned into a non-contract role, the owner thought claimant struggled to adapt to the owner's leadership style.

(3) In early November 2019, claimant heard from a coworker that the employer was planning to terminate the employment of therapists who had a low number of clients, which made claimant concerned that she might lose her job. Shortly thereafter, she and the owner arranged to have a meeting.

(4) On November 15, 2019, claimant met with the owner. The owner told claimant that she needed to improve in adapting to the owner's leadership style. Claimant became "a bit defensive" and interpreted the owner's comments to mean that claimant was "pretty much . . . not welcome" to work for the employer. Audio Record at 9:15. However, the owner had no plans to terminate claimant's employment and was unaware of claimant's concern that she may lose her job due to having a low number of clients.

(5) After the November 15, 2019 meeting ended, claimant decided to quit working for the employer because of her concern that she may lose her job due to having a low number of clients. On November 27, 2019, claimant tendered notice of her resignation. Claimant worked her last day for the employer on December 31, 2019.

CONCLUSIONS AND REASONS: Claimant voluntarily left work without good cause.

A claimant who leaves work voluntarily is disqualified from the receipt of benefits unless they prove, by a preponderance of the evidence, that they had good cause for leaving work when they did. ORS 657.176(2)(c); *Young v. Employment Department*, 170 Or App 752, 13 P3d 1027 (2000). "Good cause . . . is such that a reasonable and prudent person of normal sensitivity, exercising ordinary common sense, would leave work." OAR 471-030-0038(4) (December 23, 2018). "[T]he reason must be of such gravity that the individual has no reasonable alternative but to leave work." OAR 471-030-0038(4). The standard is objective. *McDowell v. Employment Department*, 348 Or 605, 612, 236 P3d 722 (2010). A claimant who quits work must show that no reasonable and prudent person would have continued to work for their employer for an additional period of time.

Claimant quit working for the employer without good cause. The record shows that claimant quit work because she believed that the employer would terminate her employment due to claimant having a low number of clients. Under certain circumstances, it is possible for a claimant to have good cause to quit work to avoid being discharged. *See McDowell v. Employment Dep't.*, 348 Or 605, 236 P3d 722 (2010) (claimant had good cause to quit work to avoid being discharged, not for misconduct, when the discharge was imminent, inevitable, and would be the "kiss of death" to claimant's future job prospects).

However, the record here shows that claimant's belief that she may lose her job was based on information from a coworker that was rebutted by the employer's owner at hearing who testified that the employer had "tons of work" and that there was no issue with claimant having too few clients. Audio Record at 14:46. The owner further testified, unrebutted, that while she believed claimant struggled to adapt to the owner's leadership style, the owner had no plans to terminate claimant's employment and was unaware of claimant's concern that she may lose her job due to her number of clients. Audio Record at 19:03; 17:10. The record evidence therefore fails to show that claimant faced a likelihood of being discharged, let alone a likelihood of discharge that was imminent, inevitable, or would have hindered claimant's future job prospects. Furthermore, to the extent claimant believed she might be discharged despite never being told by the owner that she would, a reasonable and prudent person in claimant's position would have pursued the reasonable alternative of seeking clarification from the owner as to the possibility of any discharge prior to making the decision to quit. As a result, claimant did not meet her burden to show that she quit for a reason of such gravity that she had no reasonable alternative but to leave work when she did, and therefore failed to prove that she quit work with good cause.

Claimant quit work without good cause and is disqualified from receiving unemployment insurance benefits effective December 29, 2019.

DECISION: Order No. 22-UI-184759 is affirmed.

D. Hettle and A. Steger-Bentz;

S. Alba, not participating.

DATE of Service: April 15, 2022

NOTE: You may appeal this decision by filing a Petition for Judicial Review with the Oregon Court of Appeals within 30 days of the date of service listed above. *See* ORS 657.282. For forms and information, you may write to the Oregon Court of Appeals, Records Section, 1163 State Street, Salem, Oregon 97310 or visit the Court of Appeals website at courts.oregon.gov. Once on the website, use the 'search' function to search for 'petition for judicial review employment appeals board'. A link to the forms and information will be among the search results.

<u>Please help us improve our service by completing an online customer service survey</u>. To complete the survey, please go to <u>https://www.surveygizmo.com/s3/5552642/EAB-Customer-Service-Survey</u>. You can access the survey using a computer, tablet, or smartphone. If you are unable to complete the survey online and need a paper copy of the survey, please contact our office.



Understanding Your Employment Appeals Board Decision

English

Attention – This decision affects your unemployment benefits. If you do not understand this decision, contact the Employment Appeals Board immediately. If you do not agree with this decision, you may file a Petition for Judicial Review with the Oregon Court of Appeals following the instructions written at the end of the decision.

Simplified Chinese

注意 – 本判决会影响您的失业救济金。如果您不明白本判决,请立即联系就业上诉委员会。如果您不同意此判决,您可以按照该判决结尾所写的说明,向俄勒冈州上诉法院提出司法复审申请。

Traditional Chinese

注意 – 本判決會影響您的失業救濟金。如果您不明白本判決,請立即聯繫就業上訴委員會。如果您不同意此判決,您可以按照該判決結尾所寫的說明,向俄勒岡州上訴法院提出司法複審申請。

Tagalog

Paalala – Nakakaapekto ang desisyong ito sa iyong mga benepisyo sa pagkawala ng trabaho. Kung hindi mo naiintindihan ang desisyong ito, makipag-ugnayan kaagad sa Lupon ng mga Apela sa Trabaho (Employment Appeals Board). Kung hindi ka sumasang-ayon sa desisyong ito, maaari kang maghain ng isang Petisyon sa Pagsusuri ng Hukuman (Petition for Judicial Review) sa Hukuman sa Paghahabol (Court of Appeals) ng Oregon na sinusunod ang mga tagubilin na nakasulat sa dulo ng desisyon.

Vietnamese

Chú ý - Quyết định này ảnh hưởng đến trợ cấp thất nghiệp của quý vị. Nếu quý vị không hiểu quyết định này, hãy liên lạc với Ban Kháng Cáo Việc Làm ngay lập tức. Nếu quý vị không đồng ý với quyết định này, quý vị có thể nộp Đơn Xin Tái Xét Tư Pháp với Tòa Kháng Cáo Oregon theo các hướng dẫn được viết ra ở cuối quyết định này.

Spanish

Atención – Esta decisión afecta sus beneficios de desempleo. Si no entiende esta decisión, comuníquese inmediatamente con la Junta de Apelaciones de Empleo. Si no está de acuerdo con esta decisión, puede presentar una Aplicación de Revisión Judicial ante el Tribunal de Apelaciones de Oregon siguiendo las instrucciones escritas al final de la decisión.

Russian

Внимание – Данное решение влияет на ваше пособие по безработице. Если решение Вам непонятно – немедленно обратитесь в Апелляционный Комитет по Трудоустройству. Если Вы не согласны с принятым решением, вы можете подать Ходатайство о Пересмотре Судебного Решения в Апелляционный Суд штата Орегон, следуя инструкциям, описанным в конце решения.

Oregon Employment Department • www.Employment.Oregon.gov • FORM200 (1018) • Page 1 of 2

Khmer

ចំណុចសំខាន់ – សេចក្តីសម្រេចនេះមានផលប៉ះពាល់ដល់អត្ថប្រយោជន៍គ្មានការងារធ្វើរបស់លោកអ្នក។ ប្រសិនបើលោកអ្នកមិន យល់អំពីសេចក្តីសម្រេចនេះ សូមទាក់ទងគណៈកម្មការឧទ្ធរណ៍ការងារភ្លាមៗ។ ប្រសិនបើលោកអ្នកមិនយល់ស្របចំពោះសេចក្តី សម្រេចនេះទេ លោកអ្នកអាចដាក់ពាក្យប្តឹងសុំឲ្យមានការពិនិត្យរឿងក្តីឡើងវិញជាមួយតុលារឧទ្ធរណ៍រដ្ឋ Oregon ដោយអនុវត្តតាម សេចក្តីណែនាំដែលសរសេរនៅខាងចុងបញ្ចប់នៃសេចក្តីសម្រេចនេះ។

Laotian

ເອົາໃຈໃສ່ – ຄຳຕັດສິນນີ້ມີຜືນກະທົບຕໍ່ກັບເງິນຊ່ວຍເຫຼືອການຫວ່າງງານຂອງທ່ານ. ຖ້າທ່ານບໍ່ເຂົ້າໃຈຄຳຕັດສິນນີ້, ກະລຸນາຕິດຕໍ່ຫາຄະນະກຳມະການ ອຸທອນການຈ້າງງານໃນທັນທີ. ຖ້າທ່ານບໍ່ເຫັນດີນຳຄຳຕັດສິນນີ້, ທ່ານສາມາດຍື່ນຄຳຮ້ອງຂໍການທົບທວນຄຳຕັດສິນນຳສານອຸທອນລັດ Oregon ໄດ້ ໂດຍປະຕິບັດຕາມຄຳແນະນຳທີ່ບອກໄວ້ຢູ່ຕອນທ້າຍຂອງຄຳຕັດສິນນີ້.

Arabic

هذا القرار قد يؤثر على منحة البطالة الخاصة بك، إذا لم تفهم هذا القرار، إتصل بمجلس مناز عات العمل فورا، و إذا كنت لا توافق على هذا القرار، يمكنك رفع شكوى للمراجعة القانونية بمحكمة الإستئناف بأوريغون و ذلك بإتباع الإرشادات المدرجة أسفل القرار.

Farsi

توجه - این حکم بر مزایای بیکاری شما تاثیر می گذارد. اگر با این تصمیم موافق نیستید، بلافاصله با هیأت فرجام خواهی استخدام تماس بگیرید. اگر از این حکم رضایت ندارید، میتوانید با استفاده از دستور العمل موجود در پایان آن، از دادگاه تجدید نظر اورگان درخواست تجدید نظر کنید.

Employment Appeals Board - 875 Union Street NE | Salem, OR 97311 Phone: (503) 378-2077 | 1-800-734-6949 | Fax: (503) 378-2129 | TDD: 711 www.Oregon.gov/Employ/eab

The Oregon Employment Department is an equal opportunity employer/program. Auxiliary aids and services are available upon request to individuals with disabilities. Language assistance is available to persons with limited English proficiency at no cost.

El Departamento de Empleo de Oregon es un programa que respeta la igualdad de oportunidades. Disponemos de servicios o ayudas auxiliares, formatos alternos y asistencia de idiomas para personas con discapacidades o conocimiento limitado del inglés, a pedido y sin costo.

Oregon Employment Department • www.Employment.Oregon.gov • FORM200 (1018) • Page 2 of 2