

**EMPLOYMENT APPEALS BOARD DECISION**  
**2021-EAB-0999**

*Reversed & Remanded*

**PROCEDURAL HISTORY AND FINDINGS OF FACT:** On July 6, 2021, the Oregon Employment Department (the Department) served notice of an administrative decision concluding that claimant failed to register for work in accordance with the Department's rules and therefore was ineligible to receive unemployment insurance benefits for the week of June 13, 2021 through June 19, 2021 (week 24-21) and until the reason for the denial ended. On July 26, 2021, the July 6, 2021 administrative decision became final without claimant having filed a request for hearing. On August 29, 2021, claimant filed a late request for hearing on the July 6, 2021 administrative decision.

ALJ Kangas considered claimant's request, and on October 20, 2021 issued Order No. 21-UI-177576, dismissing claimant's request for hearing as late, subject to claimant's right to renew the request by responding to an appellant questionnaire by November 3, 2021. On November 8, 2021, claimant filed a late response to the appellant questionnaire and a timely application for review of Order No. 21-UI-177576 with the Employment Appeals Board (EAB). On November 29, 2021, ALJ Kangas mailed a letter stating that the Office of Administrative Hearings (OAH) would not consider claimant's questionnaire response or issue another order regarding this matter because the questionnaire response was late. This matter comes before EAB based upon claimant's November 8, 2021 application for review of Order No. 21-UI-177576.

**EVIDENTIARY MATTER:** EAB has considered additional evidence when reaching this decision under OAR 471-041-0090(1) (May 13, 2019). The additional evidence is claimant's response to the appellant questionnaire and attached documentation, marked as EAB Exhibit 1, and a copy provided to the parties with this decision. Any party that objects to our admitting EAB Exhibit 1 must submit such objection to this office in writing, setting forth the basis of the objection in writing, within ten days of our mailing this decision. OAR 471-041-0090(2). Unless such objection is received and sustained, the exhibit will remain in the record.

**CONCLUSIONS AND REASONS:** Order No. 21-UI-177576 is set aside and this matter remanded for a hearing on whether claimant's late request for hearing on the July 6, 2021 administrative decision should be allowed and, if so, the merits of that decision.

ORS 657.269 provides that the Department's decisions become final unless a party files a request for hearing within 20 days after the date the decision is mailed. ORS 657.875 provides that the 20-day deadline may be extended a "reasonable time" upon a showing of "good cause." OAR 471-040-0010 (February 10, 2012) provides that "good cause" includes factors beyond an applicant's reasonable control or an excusable mistake, and defines "reasonable time" as seven days after those factors ceased to exist.

Claimant's request for hearing on the July 6, 2021 administrative decision was due by July 26, 2021. Because claimant did not submit their request for hearing until August 29, 2021, the request was late. However, the record under review indicates that claimant may have had good cause to file their request for hearing late. On their appellant questionnaire response, claimant stated, "I thought I had requested a hearing before the deadline" through one or more online attempts and that they did not learn that their hearing request had not been received until they "finally [were] able to speak [with] someone on the phone" who told claimant "to request one." EAB Exhibit 1 at 2. They also stated that they "actually had to do it 2 more times" before they heard back from the Department. EAB Exhibit 1 at 2. When asked if there was anything that claimant could have done to meet the deadline, claimant responded that they "could have been more persistent" but also attributed the delay in requesting a hearing to "technology barriers" without further elaboration. EAB Exhibit 1 at 2.

That claimant was not able to file a timely hearing request may have been due to an excusable mistake or caused by factors beyond claimant's reasonable control. On remand, the ALJ should clarify the date claimant received the Department's July 6, 2021 administrative decision and whether they were aware of the July 26, 2021 deadline for filing a hearing request. The record should be developed to determine the dates on which claimant attempted to submit hearing requests and by what methods, as claimant's attachments to the appellant questionnaire do not demonstrate that emails were sent on the dates highlighted by claimant. EAB Exhibit 1 at 3-4. The record should be clarified to show that if claimant sent emails to the Department on those dates, the content of those emails, and if claimant did not send emails, how claimant's requests for hearing were submitted. The record also fails to show the date or dates on which claimant spoke to the Department's representatives, what they were told about their past attempts to submit hearing requests, what they needed to do at that time to request a hearing and whether the representatives in question offered to assist them in that regard. Claimant should also be asked what they meant by their statement that they "could have been more persistent" in attempting to meet the deadline and that they encountered "technology barriers" that interfered with their hearing requests. Further, to the extent that claimant may have had good cause for filing a late request for hearing, the record is insufficient to determine whether claimant's August 29, 2021 late request for hearing was filed within a reasonable time, that is, within seven days after the circumstances that prevented a timely filing ceased to exist.

Because further development of the record is necessary for a determination of whether claimant's late request for hearing should be allowed, this matter is remanded for a hearing and order. If the ALJ concludes that claimant's late request for hearing should be allowed, the ALJ must then develop a record on the merits of the July 6, 2021 administrative decision.

**DECISION:** Order No. 21-UI-177576 is set aside, and this matter remanded for further proceedings consistent with this order.

S. Alba and A. Steger-Bentz;  
D. Hettle, not participating.

**DATE of Service: December 14, 2021**

**NOTE:** The failure of any party to appear at the hearing on remand will not reinstate Order No. 21-UI-177576 or return this matter to EAB. Only a timely application for review of the subsequent order will cause this matter to return to EAB.

**Please help us improve our service by completing an online customer service survey.** To complete the survey, please go to <https://www.surveygizmo.com/s3/5552642/EAB-Customer-Service-Survey>. You can access the survey using a computer, tablet, or smartphone. If you are unable to complete the survey online and need a paper copy of the survey, please contact our office.



# Understanding Your Employment Appeals Board Decision

## English

Attention – This decision affects your unemployment benefits. If you do not understand this decision, contact the Employment Appeals Board immediately. If you do not agree with this decision, you may file a Petition for Judicial Review with the Oregon Court of Appeals following the instructions written at the end of the decision.

## Simplified Chinese

注意 – 本判決會影響您的失業救濟金。如果您不明白本判決，請立即聯繫就業上訴委員會。如果您不同意此判決，您可以按照該判決結尾所寫的說明，向俄勒岡州上訴法院提出司法複審申請。

## Traditional Chinese

注意 – 本判決會影響您的失業救濟金。如果您不明白本判決，請立即聯繫就業上訴委員會。如果您不同意此判決，您可以按照該判決結尾所寫的說明，向俄勒岡州上訴法院提出司法複審申請。

## Tagalog

Paalala – Nakakaapekto ang desisyong ito sa iyong mga benepisyo sa pagkawala ng trabaho. Kung hindi mo naiintindihan ang desisyong ito, makipag-ugnayan kaagad sa Lupon ng mga Apela sa Trabaho (Employment Appeals Board). Kung hindi ka sumasang-ayon sa desisyong ito, maaari kang maghain ng isang Petisyon sa Pagsusuri ng Hukuman (Petition for Judicial Review) sa Hukuman sa Paghahabol (Court of Appeals) ng Oregon na sinusunod ang mga tagubilin na nakasulat sa dulo ng desisyon.

## Vietnamese

Chú ý - Quyết định này ảnh hưởng đến trợ cấp thất nghiệp của quý vị. Nếu quý vị không hiểu quyết định này, hãy liên lạc với Ban Kháng Cáo Việc Làm ngay lập tức. Nếu quý vị không đồng ý với quyết định này, quý vị có thể nộp Đơn Xin Tái Xét Tư Pháp với Tòa Kháng Cáo Oregon theo các hướng dẫn được viết ra ở cuối quyết định này.

## Spanish

Atención – Esta decisión afecta sus beneficios de desempleo. Si no entiende esta decisión, comuníquese inmediatamente con la Junta de Apelaciones de Empleo. Si no está de acuerdo con esta decisión, puede presentar una Aplicación de Revisión Judicial ante el Tribunal de Apelaciones de Oregon siguiendo las instrucciones escritas al final de la decisión.

## Russian

Внимание – Данное решение влияет на ваше пособие по безработице. Если решение Вам непонятно – немедленно обратитесь в Апелляционный Комитет по Трудоустройству. Если Вы не согласны с принятым решением, вы можете подать Ходатайство о Пересмотре Судебного Решения в Апелляционный Суд штата Орегон, следуя инструкциям, описанным в конце решения.

**Khmer**

ចំណុចសំខាន់ – សេចក្តីសម្រេចនេះមានផលប៉ះពាល់ដល់អត្ថប្រយោជន៍គ្មានការងារធ្វើរបស់លោកអ្នក។ ប្រសិនបើលោកអ្នកមិនយល់អំពីសេចក្តីសម្រេចនេះ សូមទាក់ទងគណៈកម្មការឧទ្ធរណ៍ការងារភ្លាមៗ។ ប្រសិនបើលោកអ្នកមិនយល់ស្របចំពោះសេចក្តីសម្រេចនេះទេ លោកអ្នកអាចដាក់ពាក្យប្តឹងសុំឲ្យមានការពិនិត្យរឿងក្តីឡើងវិញជាមួយតុលាការឧទ្ធរណ៍រដ្ឋ Oregon ដោយអនុវត្តតាមសេចក្តីណែនាំដែលសរសេរនៅខាងចុងបញ្ចប់នៃសេចក្តីសម្រេចនេះ។

**Laotian**

ເອົາໃຈໃສ່ – ຄຳຕັດສິນນີ້ມີຜົນກະທົບຕໍ່ກັບເງິນຊ່ວຍເຫຼືອການຫວ່າງງານຂອງທ່ານ. ຖ້າທ່ານບໍ່ເຂົ້າໃຈຄຳຕັດສິນນີ້, ກະລຸນາຕິດຕໍ່ຫາຄະນະກຳມະການອຸທອນການຈ້າງງານໃນທັນທີ. ຖ້າທ່ານບໍ່ເຫັນດີນຳຄຳຕັດສິນນີ້, ທ່ານສາມາດຍື່ນຄຳຮ້ອງຂໍການທົບທວນຄຳຕັດສິນນຳສານອຸທອນລັດ Oregon ໄດ້ ໂດຍປະຕິບັດຕາມຄຳແນະນຳທີ່ບອກໄວ້ຢູ່ຕອນທ້າຍຂອງຄຳຕັດສິນນີ້.

**Arabic**

هذا القرار قد يؤثر على منحة البطالة الخاصة بك، إذا لم تفهم هذا القرار، إتصل بمجلس منازعات العمل فوراً، و إذا كنت لا توافق على هذا القرار، يمكنك رفع شكوى للمراجعة القانونية بمحكمة الإستئناف بأوريغون و ذلك بإتباع الإرشادات المدرجة أسفل القرار.

**Farsi**

توجه - این حکم بر مزایای بیکاری شما تاثیر می گذارد. اگر با این تصمیم موافق نیستید، بلافاصله با هیأت فرجام خواهی استخدام تماس بگیرید. اگر از این حکم رضایت ندارید، می‌توانید با استفاده از دستور العمل موجود در پایان آن، از دادگاه تجدید نظر اورگان درخواست تجدید نظر کنید.

**Employment Appeals Board - 875 Union Street NE | Salem, OR 97311**  
 Phone: (503) 378-2077 | 1-800-734-6949 | Fax: (503) 378-2129 | TDD: 711  
[www.Oregon.gov/Employ/eab](http://www.Oregon.gov/Employ/eab)

The Oregon Employment Department is an equal opportunity employer/program. Auxiliary aids and services are available upon request to individuals with disabilities. Language assistance is available to persons with limited English proficiency at no cost.

El Departamento de Empleo de Oregon es un programa que respeta la igualdad de oportunidades. Disponemos de servicios o ayudas auxiliares, formatos alternos y asistencia de idiomas para personas con discapacidades o conocimiento limitado del inglés, a pedido y sin costo.