

**EMPLOYMENT APPEALS BOARD DECISION**  
**2021-EAB-0067**

*Affirmed*  
*Late Request for Hearing Dismissed*

**PROCEDURAL HISTORY:** On November 12, 2020, the Oregon Employment Department (the Department) served notice of an administrative decision concluding the employer discharged claimant for misconduct and that claimant was disqualified from receiving unemployment insurance benefits effective May 31, 2020 (decision # 143130). On December 2, 2020, decision # 143130 became final without claimant having filed a timely request for hearing. On December 3, 2020, claimant filed a late request for hearing. On December 16, 2020, ALJ Kangas issued Order No. 20-UI-157720, dismissing claimant's request for hearing as late, subject to claimant's right to renew the request by responding to an appellant questionnaire by December 30, 2020. On January 5, 2021, claimant filed a late response to the appellant questionnaire and a timely application for review of Order No. 20-UI-157720 with the Employment Appeals Board (EAB). On January 12, 2021, ALJ Kangas mailed a letter stating that the Office of Administrative Hearings (OAH) would not consider claimant's questionnaire response or issue another order regarding this matter because the questionnaire response was late. This matter is before EAB based upon claimant's timely application for review of Order No. 20-UI-157720.

**EVIDENTIARY MATTER:** EAB has considered additional evidence when reaching this decision under OAR 471-041-0090(1) (May 13, 2019). The additional evidence consists of claimant's response to the appellant questionnaire, and has been marked as EAB Exhibit 1, and a copy provided to the parties with this decision. Any party that objects to our admitting EAB Exhibit 1 must submit such objection to this office in writing, setting forth the basis of the objection in writing, within ten days of our mailing this decision. OAR 471-041-0090(2). Unless such objection is received and sustained, the exhibit will remain in the record.

**FINDINGS OF FACT:** (1) On November 12, 2020, the Department served notice to claimant of decision # 143130. Decision # 143130 stated, "You have the right to appeal this decision if you do not believe it is correct. Your request for appeal must be received no later than December 2, 2020." Claimant received the decision shortly after it was served.

(2) Claimant waited until December 2, 2020 to attempt to request a hearing because he was trying to "get any info or transcripts" regarding decision # 143130 before the deadline to request a hearing. EAB

Exhibit 1. Claimant was not able to obtain additional information despite “multiple attempts” to contact the Department. EAB Exhibit 1. “Before midnight” on December 2, 2020, claimant emailed a request for hearing. Claimant was “unaware it had not gone through for unknown reason . . .” EAB Exhibit 1. A “couple hours” later, claimant checked to confirm the email had been received and saw that it “didn’t go” and immediately resent the request for hearing “approx. 2 hrs. after Midnight of deadline.” EAB Exhibit 1. Claimant saw that the second email “was successful.” EAB Exhibit 1.

**CONCLUSIONS AND REASONS:** Claimant’s late request for hearing on decision # 143130 is dismissed.

ORS 657.269 provides that the Department’s decisions become final unless a party files a request for hearing within 20 days after the date the decision is mailed. ORS 657.875 provides that the 20-day deadline may be extended a “reasonable time” upon a showing of “good cause.” OAR 471-040-0010 (February 10, 2012) provides that “good cause” includes factors beyond an applicant’s reasonable control or an excusable mistake, and defines “reasonable time” as seven days after those factors ceased to exist.

Claimant filed a late request for hearing on decision # 143130. The request for hearing deadline was December 2, 2020. Although claimant attempted to send a request for hearing “before midnight” on December 2, 2020, he later determined that the emailed request “had not gone through.” Claimant did not successfully send a request for hearing until December 3, 2020. Because the first attempt to send the request for hearing was unsuccessful, claimant’s December 3, 2020 request for hearing was late.

The record does not show that claimant had good cause to extend the deadline to request a hearing. Claimant received the decision stating that the deadline to request a hearing was December 2, 2020. Claimant delayed sending a request for hearing until late on December 2, 2020 because he had been trying to obtain additional information from the Department about the decision. Claimant’s desire to obtain additional information about the decision was not a factor beyond his reasonable control that caused claimant to miss the December 2, 2020 deadline. Although claimant may have preferred to have additional information about the decision, claimant’s preference did not prevent him from requesting a hearing by the deadline. Similarly, claimant’s failure to send a timely email requesting a hearing was not a factor beyond claimant’s reasonable control. It was within claimant’s control to confirm that his first email was successful. Having sent it so close to the deadline, it would have been reasonable for claimant to verify the email was successful before the deadline. Claimant checked his email two hours later, and the record does not show that claimant was not able to check his email sooner.

Although claimant’s failure to make sure the first email was successful and if not, resend it before the deadline was likely the result of a mistake on claimant’s part, it was not an “excusable mistake” within the meaning of the administrative rules because it did not, for example, raise a due process issue, and was not the result of inadequate notice, reasonable reliance on another, or the inability to follow directions despite substantial efforts to comply.

Because claimant failed to show that factors beyond his reasonable control or an excusable mistake prevented him from filing a hearing request by the December 2, 2020 deadline, claimant failed to establish good cause to extend the deadline to file a hearing request beyond that date. Accordingly, claimant’s late request for hearing is dismissed.

**DECISION:** Order No. 20-UI-157720 is affirmed.

S. Alba and D. P. Hettle.

**DATE of Service: February 10, 2021**

**NOTE:** You may appeal this decision by filing a Petition for Judicial Review with the Oregon Court of Appeals within 30 days of the date of service listed above. *See* ORS 657.282. For forms and information, you may write to the Oregon Court of Appeals, Records Section, 1163 State Street, Salem, Oregon 97310 or visit the Court of Appeals website at [courts.oregon.gov](http://courts.oregon.gov). Once on the website, use the ‘search’ function to search for ‘petition for judicial review employment appeals board’. A link to the forms and information will be among the search results.

**NOTE:** This decision denies payment of your Unemployment Insurance (UI) benefits.

However, you may be eligible for Pandemic Unemployment Assistance (PUA) benefits for the period you are not eligible for other benefits as long as you are unable to work, unavailable for work, or unemployed due to the COVID-19 public health emergency. PUA is a new unemployment benefits program available through the Oregon Employment Department in response to the COVID-19 pandemic.

Visit <https://unemployment.oregon.gov> for more information, to apply for PUA, or to contact the Oregon Employment Department using the “Contact Us” form. You can also apply for PUA by calling 1-833-410-1004, but please be aware that the PUA staff cannot answer questions about this decision that denies payment of regular Unemployment Insurance (UI) benefits.

**Please help us improve our service by completing an online customer service survey.** To complete the survey, please go to <https://www.surveygizmo.com/s3/5552642/EAB-Customer-Service-Survey>. You can access the survey using a computer, tablet, or smartphone. If you are unable to complete the survey online and need a paper copy of the survey, please contact our office.



# Understanding Your Employment Appeals Board Decision

## English

Attention – This decision affects your unemployment benefits. If you do not understand this decision, contact the Employment Appeals Board immediately. If you do not agree with this decision, you may file a Petition for Judicial Review with the Oregon Court of Appeals following the instructions written at the end of the decision.

## Simplified Chinese

注意 – 本判決會影響您的失業救濟金。如果您不明白本判決，請立即聯繫就業上訴委員會。如果您不同意此判決，您可以按照該判決結尾所寫的說明，向俄勒岡州上訴法院提出司法複審申請。

## Traditional Chinese

注意 – 本判決會影響您的失業救濟金。如果您不明白本判決，請立即聯繫就業上訴委員會。如果您不同意此判決，您可以按照該判決結尾所寫的說明，向俄勒岡州上訴法院提出司法複審申請。

## Tagalog

Paalala – Nakakaapekto ang desisyong ito sa iyong mga benepisyo sa pagkawala ng trabaho. Kung hindi mo naiintindihan ang desisyong ito, makipag-ugnayan kaagad sa Lupon ng mga Apela sa Trabaho (Employment Appeals Board). Kung hindi ka sumasang-ayon sa desisyong ito, maaari kang maghain ng isang Petisyon sa Pagsusuri ng Hukuman (Petition for Judicial Review) sa Hukuman sa Paghahabol (Court of Appeals) ng Oregon na sinusunod ang mga tagubilin na nakasulat sa dulo ng desisyong ito.

## Vietnamese

Chú ý - Quyết định này ảnh hưởng đến trợ cấp thất nghiệp của quý vị. Nếu quý vị không hiểu quyết định này, hãy liên lạc với Ban Kháng Cáo Việc Làm ngay lập tức. Nếu quý vị không đồng ý với quyết định này, quý vị có thể nộp Đơn Xin Tái Xét Tư Pháp với Tòa Kháng Cáo Oregon theo các hướng dẫn được viết ra ở cuối quyết định này.

## Spanish

Atención – Esta decisión afecta sus beneficios de desempleo. Si no entiende esta decisión, comuníquese inmediatamente con la Junta de Apelaciones de Empleo. Si no está de acuerdo con esta decisión, puede presentar una Aplicación de Revisión Judicial ante el Tribunal de Apelaciones de Oregon siguiendo las instrucciones escritas al final de la decisión.

## Russian

Внимание – Данное решение влияет на ваше пособие по безработице. Если решение Вам непонятно – немедленно обратитесь в Апелляционный Комитет по Трудоустройству. Если Вы не согласны с принятым решением, вы можете подать Ходатайство о Пересмотре Судебного Решения в Апелляционный Суд штата Орегон, следуя инструкциям, описанным в конце решения.

**Khmer**

ចំណុចសំខាន់ – សេចក្តីសម្រេចនេះមានផលប៉ះពាល់ដល់អត្ថប្រយោជន៍គ្មានការងារធ្វើរបស់លោកអ្នក។ ប្រសិនបើលោកអ្នកមិនយល់អំពីសេចក្តីសម្រេចនេះ សូមទាក់ទងគណៈកម្មការឧទ្ធរណ៍ការងារភ្លាមៗ។ ប្រសិនបើលោកអ្នកមិនយល់ស្របចំពោះសេចក្តីសម្រេចនេះទេ លោកអ្នកអាចដាក់ពាក្យប្តឹងសុំឲ្យមានការពិនិត្យរឿងក្តីឡើងវិញជាមួយតុលាការឧទ្ធរណ៍រដ្ឋ Oregon ដោយអនុវត្តតាមសេចក្តីណែនាំដែលសរសេរនៅខាងចុងបញ្ចប់នៃសេចក្តីសម្រេចនេះ។

**Laotian**

ເອົາໃຈໃສ່ – ຄຳຕັດສິນນີ້ມີຜົນກະທົບຕໍ່ກັບເງິນຊ່ວຍເຫຼືອການຫວ່າງງານຂອງທ່ານ. ຖ້າທ່ານບໍ່ເຂົ້າໃຈຄຳຕັດສິນນີ້, ກະລຸນາຕິດຕໍ່ຫາຄະນະກຳມະການອຸທອນການຈ້າງງານໃນທັນທີ. ຖ້າທ່ານບໍ່ເຫັນດີນຳຄຳຕັດສິນນີ້, ທ່ານສາມາດຍື່ນຄຳຮ້ອງຂໍການທົບທວນຄຳຕັດສິນນຳສານອຸທອນລັດ Oregon ໄດ້ ໂດຍປະຕິບັດຕາມຄຳແນະນຳທີ່ບອກໄວ້ຢູ່ຕອນທ້າຍຂອງຄຳຕັດສິນນີ້.

**Arabic**

هذا القرار قد يؤثر على منحة البطالة الخاصة بك، إذا لم تفهم هذا القرار، إتصل بمجلس منازعات العمل فوراً، و إذا كنت لا توافق على هذا القرار، يمكنك رفع شكوى للمراجعة القانونية بمحكمة الاستئناف بأوريغون و ذلك بإتباع الإرشادات المدرجة أسفل القرار.

**Farsi**

توجه - این حکم بر مزایای بیکاری شما تاثیر می گذارد. اگر با این تصمیم موافق نیستید، بلافاصله با هیأت فرجام خواهی استخدام تماس بگیرید. اگر از این حکم رضایت ندارید، می‌توانید با استفاده از دستور العمل موجود در پایان آن، از دادگاه تجدید نظر اورگان درخواست تجدید نظر کنید.

**Employment Appeals Board - 875 Union Street NE | Salem, OR 97311**  
 Phone: (503) 378-2077 | 1-800-734-6949 | Fax: (503) 378-2129 | TDD: 711  
[www.Oregon.gov/Employ/eab](http://www.Oregon.gov/Employ/eab)

The Oregon Employment Department is an equal opportunity employer/program. Auxiliary aids and services are available upon request to individuals with disabilities. Language assistance is available to persons with limited English proficiency at no cost.

El Departamento de Empleo de Oregon es un programa que respeta la igualdad de oportunidades. Disponemos de servicios o ayudas auxiliares, formatos alternos y asistencia de idiomas para personas con discapacidades o conocimiento limitado del inglés, a pedido y sin costo.