EO: 200
BYE: 202113

State of Oregon
Employment Appeals Board
875 Union St. N.E.
Salem, OR 97311

868
DS 005.00

EMPLOYMENT APPEALS BOARD DECISION
2021-EAB-0058

Affirmed
No Disqualification

PROCEDURAL HISTORY: On December 4, 2020, the Oregon Employment Department (the Department) served notice of an administrative decision concluding the employer discharged claimant for misconduct, disqualifying claimant from receiving benefits effective August 30, 2020 (decision # 74808). Claimant filed a timely request for hearing. On January 13, 2021, ALJ Wyatt conducted a hearing, and on January 15, 2021 issued Order No. 21-UI-159221, concluding that claimant's discharge was not for misconduct, and therefore did not disqualify claimant from receiving benefits. On January 28, 2021, the employer filed an application for review with the Employment Appeals Board (EAB).

FINDINGS OF FACT: (1) Portland Container Repair Corporation employed claimant as a truck driver until August 31, 2020.

(2) The employer had a points-based professional driver policy. Under the policy, a driver accrued a specified number of occurrence points for each driving incident or accident the driver was involved in, based on its severity. A driver was subject to discharge if the driver accrued twelve or more occurrence points in a rolling twenty-four month period. Claimant was aware of the employer's professional driver policy and how occurrence points were accrued.

(3) As of June 19, 2020, claimant had accrued six occurrence points in a rolling twenty-four month period under the employer's professional driver policy.

(4) On August 31, 2020, claimant was driving an employer vehicle along Interstate 5, near Olympia, Washington. Claimant was traveling in accordance with the posted speed limit when the driver of the vehicle directly in front of claimant suddenly applied their vehicle's brakes, which left claimant with insufficient time to avoid rear-ending that vehicle. The resulting collision initiated a multi-vehicle accident, which caused claimant to accrue six occurrence points under the employer's driver policy. The Washington State Patrol cited claimant for "following too close," although claimant believed he was maintaining a safe distance behind the vehicle in front of him at the time its driver suddenly applied the brakes. Transcript at 9. Claimant contested the citation, which had not been resolved at the time of the hearing.

(5) On August 31, 2020, the employer discharged claimant for accruing twelve occurrence points in a rolling twenty-four month period under its professional driver policy.

CONCLUSIONS AND REASONS: The employer discharged claimant, but not for misconduct.

ORS 657.176(2)(a) requires a disqualification from unemployment insurance benefits if the employer discharged claimant for misconduct connected with work. "As used in ORS 657.176(2)(a) . . . a willful or wantonly negligent violation of the standards of behavior which an employer has the right to expect of an employee is misconduct. An act or series of actions that amount to a willful or wantonly negligent disregard of an employer's interest is misconduct." OAR 471-030-0038(3)(a) (September 22, 2020). "'[W]antonly negligent' means indifference to the consequences of an act or series of actions, or a failure to act or a series of failures to act, where the individual acting or failing to act is conscious of his or her conduct and knew or should have known that his or her conduct would probably result in a violation of the standards of behavior which an employer has the right to expect of an employee." OAR 471-030-0038(1)(c). In a discharge case, the employer has the burden to establish misconduct by a preponderance of evidence. *Babcock v. Employment Division*, 25 Or App 661, 550 P2d 1233 (1976).

The employer discharged claimant for exceeding the number of occurrence points allowed in a 24-month period under its professional driver's policy. However, the proper focus of the misconduct analysis is the final incident before claimant's discharge - claimant's traffic accident on August 31, 2020. *See, e.g. Appeals Board Decision* 12-AB-0434, March 16, 2012 (discharge analysis focuses on proximate cause of the discharge, which is generally the last incident of potential misconduct before the discharge); *Appeals Board Decision* 09-AB-1767, June 29, 2009 (discharge analysis focuses on proximate cause of discharge, which is the incident without which the discharge would not have occurred when it did).

On August 31, 2020, claimant was operating an employer vehicle along Interstate 5 in Washington when he rear-ended a vehicle directly in front of him, after its driver suddenly applied the brakes, initiating a multi-vehicle accident. Although claimant was cited by the Washington State Patrol for "following too close," claimant challenged the citation, which had not yet been resolved by the time of the hearing, because he believed that he was not following that vehicle too close to safely operate his vehicle. Transcript at 21. The employer asserted that its instruments showed that at the time of the accident claimant was operating the employer's vehicle within the posted speed limit. Transcript at 12. Viewed objectively, the record fails to show that claimant's conduct in the final incident that led to his discharge was either a willful or wantonly negligent violation of a standard of behavior the employer had the right to expect of claimant. Although claimant may have been careless, arguably negligent, his conduct did not rise to the level of wanton negligence as defined under OAR 471-030-0038(3)(a).

The employer discharged claimant, but not for misconduct under ORS 657.176(2)(a). Claimant is not disqualified from receiving unemployment insurance benefits on the basis of his work separation.

DECISION: Order No. 21-UI-159221 is affirmed.

S. Alba and D. P. Hettle.

DATE of Service: <u>March 3, 2021</u>

NOTE: You may appeal this decision by filing a Petition for Judicial Review with the Oregon Court of Appeals within 30 days of the date of service listed above. *See* ORS 657.282. For forms and information, you may write to the Oregon Court of Appeals, Records Section, 1163 State Street, Salem, Oregon 97310 or visit the Court of Appeals website at courts.oregon.gov. Once on the website, use the 'search' function to search for 'petition for judicial review employment appeals board'. A link to the forms and information will be among the search results.

Please help us improve our service by completing an online customer service survey. To complete the survey, please go to https://www.surveygizmo.com/s3/5552642/EAB-Customer-Service-Survey. You can access the survey using a computer, tablet, or smartphone. If you are unable to complete the survey online and need a paper copy of the survey, please contact our office.

Understanding Your Employment Appeals Board Decision

English

Attention – This decision affects your unemployment benefits. If you do not understand this decision, contact the Employment Appeals Board immediately. If you do not agree with this decision, you may file a Petition for Judicial Review with the Oregon Court of Appeals following the instructions written at the end of the decision.

Simplified Chinese

注意 – 本判决会影响您的失业救济金。 如果您不明白本判决， 请立即联系就业上诉委员会。 如果您不同意此判决，您可以按照该判决结尾所写的说明，向俄勒冈州上诉法院提出司法复审申请。

Traditional Chinese

注意 – 本判決會影響您的失業救濟金。 如果您不明白本判決， 請立即聯繫就業上訴委員會。 如果您不同意此判決，您可以按照該判決結尾所寫的說明， 向俄勒岡州上訴法院提出司法複審申請。

Tagalog

Paalala – Nakakaapekto ang desisyong ito sa iyong mga benepisyo sa pagkawala ng trabaho. Kung hindi mo naiintindihan ang desisyong ito, makipag-ugnayan kaagad sa Lupon ng mga Apela sa Trabaho (Employment Appeals Board). Kung hindi ka sumasang-ayon sa desisyong ito, maaari kang maghain ng isang Petisyon sa Pagsusuri ng Hukuman (Petition for Judicial Review) sa Hukuman sa Paghahabol (Court of Appeals) ng Oregon na sinusunod ang mga tagubilin na nakasulat sa dulo ng desisyon.

Vietnamese

Chú ý - Quyết định này ảnh hưởng đến trợ cấp thất nghiệp của quý vị. Nếu quý vị không hiểu quyết định này, hãy liên lạc với Ban Kháng Cáo Việc Làm ngay lập tức. Nếu quý vị không đồng ý với quyết định này, quý vị có thể nộp Đơn Xin Tái Xét Tư Pháp với Tòa Kháng Cáo Oregon theo các hướng dẫn được viết ra ở cuối quyết định này.

Spanish

Atención – Esta decisión afecta sus beneficios de desempleo. Si no entiende esta decisión, comuníquese inmediatamente con la Junta de Apelaciones de Empleo. Si no está de acuerdo con esta decisión, puede presentar una Aplicación de Revisión Judicial ante el Tribunal de Apelaciones de Oregon siguiendo las instrucciones escritas al final de la decisión.

Russian

Внимание – Данное решение влияет на ваше пособие по безработице. Если решение Вам непонятно – немедленно обратитесь в Апелляционный Комитет по Трудоустройству. Если Вы не согласны с принятым решением, вы можете подать Ходатайство о Пересмотре Судебного Решения в Апелляционный Суд штата Орегон, следуя инструкциям, описанным в конце решения.

Khmer

ចំណុចសំខាន់ – សេចក្តីសម្រេចនេះមានផលប៉ះពាល់ដល់អត្ថប្រយោជន៍គ្មានការងារធ្វើរបស់លោកអ្នក។ ប្រសិនបើលោកអ្នកមិនយល់អំពីសេចក្តីសម្រេចនេះ សូមទាក់ទងគណៈកម្មការឧទ្ធរណ៍ការងារភ្លាមៗ។ ប្រសិនបើលោកអ្នកមិនយល់ស្របចំពោះសេចក្តីសម្រេចនេះទេ លោកអ្នកអាចដាក់ពាក្យប្តឹងសុំឲ្យមានការពិនិត្យរឿងក្តីឡើងវិញជាមួយតុលាការឧទ្ធរណ៍រដ្ឋ Oregon ដោយអនុវត្តតាមសេចក្តីណែនាំដែលសរសេរនៅខាងចុងបញ្ចប់នៃសេចក្តីសម្រេចនេះ។

Laotian

ເອົາໃຈໃສ່ – ຄຳຕັດສິນນີ້ມີຜົນກະທົບຕໍ່ກັບເງິນຊ່ວຍເຫຼືອການຫວ່າງງານຂອງທ່ານ. ຖ້າທ່ານບໍ່ເຂົ້າໃຈຄຳຕັດສິນນີ້, ກະລຸນາຕິດຕໍ່ຫາຄະນະກຳມະການອຸທອນການຈ້າງງານໃນທັນທີ. ຖ້າທ່ານບໍ່ເຫັນດີນຳຄຳຕັດສິນນີ້, ທ່ານສາມາດຍື່ນຄຳຮ້ອງຂໍການທົບທວນຄຳຕັດສິນນຳສານອຸທອນລັດ Oregon ໄດ້ ໂດຍປະຕິບັດຕາມຄຳແນະນຳທີ່ບອກໄວ້ຢູ່ຕອນທ້າຍຂອງຄຳຕັດສິນນີ້.

Arabic

هذا القرار قد يؤثر على منحة البطالة الخاصة بك، إذا لم تفهم هذا القرار، إتصل بمجلس منازعات العمل فورا، و إذا كنت لا توافق على هذا القرار، يمكنك رفع شكوى للمراجعة القانونية بمحكمة الإستئناف بأوريغون و ذلك بإتباع الإرشادات المدرجة أسفل القرار.

Farsi

توجه - این حکم بر مزایای بیکاری شما تاثیر می گذارد. اگر با این تصمیم موافق نیستید، بلافاصله با هیأت فرجام خواهی استخدام تماس بگیرید. اگر از این حکم رضایت ندارید، می‌توانید با استفاده از دستورالعمل موجود در پایان آن، از دادگاه تجدید نظر اورگان درخواست تجدید نظر کنید.

Employment Appeals Board - 875 Union Street NE | Salem, OR 97311
Phone: (503) 378-2077 | 1-800-734-6949 | Fax: (503) 378-2129 | TDD: 711
www.Oregon.gov/Employ/eab