

EMPLOYMENT APPEALS BOARD DECISION
2020-EAB-0669

Reversed
No Disqualification

PROCEDURAL HISTORY: On August 24, 2020, the Oregon Employment Department (the Department) served notice of an administrative decision concluding that claimant quit work without good cause and was disqualified from receiving unemployment insurance benefits effective May 31, 2020 (decision # 153207). Claimant filed a timely request for hearing. On September 22, 2020, ALJ Schmidt conducted a hearing, and on September 28, 2020 issued Order No. 20-UI-154467, affirming decision # 153207. On October 17, 2020, claimant filed an application for review with the Employment Appeals Board (EAB).

WRITTEN ARGUMENT: Claimant's argument contained information that was not part of the hearing record, and did not show that factors or circumstances beyond claimant's reasonable control prevented them from offering the information during the hearing. Under ORS 657.275(2) and OAR 471-041-0090 (May 13, 2019), EAB considered only information received into evidence at the hearing when reaching this decision.

FINDINGS OF FACT: (1) The Oregon Employment Department employed claimant as a claims specialist from May 4, 2020 until May 31, 2020.

(2) Claimant suffers from moderate asthma. Audio Record at 9:23.

(3) During claimant's second week of work, the employer assigned her to a cubicle workspace in a large room with approximately 50 to 80 other employees. Audio Record at 12:04 to 12:27. The employer spaced employees' cubicles there six feet apart, pursuant to government guidelines at the time. Audio Record at 12:04 to 13:20. Claimant and a large number of other employees took breaks at the same times, resulting in crowds of people together in the hallways during breaks. Audio Record at 12:04 to 13:20. At that time, the employer did not require employees to wear face coverings at work. Audio Record at 21:55 to 22:14.

(4) On May 29, 2020, claimant attended an appointment with her physician because she was concerned that her asthma put her at higher risk for contracting COVID-19, and that transmission of the virus would likely increase as Oregon began to reopen. Audio Record at 10:52 to 11:22. Claimant's physician advised her that she should not work in an environment where her coworkers were not required to wear masks. Audio Record at 8:00.

(5) Claimant did not speak with her manager or the employer's human resources department to discuss how to address her concerns in light of her asthma diagnosis and doctor's recommendation. Had she done so, the employer may have been able to offer her up to 80 hours of paid COVID-19 related leave, and up to 400 hours of other leave, depending on her individual circumstances. Audio Record at 26:08.

(6) On May 31, 2020, claimant voluntarily quit based on her doctor's recommendation.

CONCLUSIONS AND REASONS: Claimant quit work with good cause.

A claimant who leaves work voluntarily is disqualified from the receipt of benefits unless they prove, by a preponderance of the evidence, that they had good cause for leaving work when they did. ORS 657.176(2)(c); *Young v. Employment Department*, 170 Or App 752, 13 P3d 1027 (2000). "Good cause . . . is such that a reasonable and prudent person of normal sensitivity, exercising ordinary common sense, would leave work." OAR 471-030-0038(4) (September 22, 2020). "[T]he reason must be of such gravity that the individual has no reasonable alternative but to leave work." OAR 471-030-0038(4). The standard is objective. *McDowell v. Employment Department*, 348 Or 605, 612, 236 P3d 722 (2010). Claimant had asthma, a permanent or long-term "physical or mental impairment"¹ as defined at 29 CFR §1630.2(h). A claimant with an impairment who quits work must show that no reasonable and prudent person with the characteristics and qualities of an individual with such an impairment would have continued to work for their employer for an additional period of time.

The order under review concluded that, "Because claimant's doctor recommended against working in the workspace provided by the employer, the evidence is persuasive that claimant faced a grave situation," but that because claimant had the reasonable alternative of requesting COVID-19 leave² "for a minimum of two weeks," she did not have good cause to quit. Order No. 20-UI-154467 at 2. The record supports the conclusion that claimant faced a grave situation because she suffered from asthma, a

¹ 29 C.F.R. §1630.2(h) defines "physical or mental impairment" as:

(1) Any physiological disorder, or condition, cosmetic disfigurement, or anatomical loss affecting one or more of the following body systems: neurological, musculoskeletal, special sense organs, respiratory (including speech organs), cardiovascular, reproductive, digestive, genito-urinary, hemic and lymphatic, skin, and endocrine; or

(2) Any mental or psychological disorder, such as an intellectual disability (formerly termed "mental retardation"), organic brain syndrome, emotional or mental illness, and specific learning disabilities.

² OAR 471-030-0070(1) (effective March 8, 2020 through September 12, 2020) defines "COVID-19 related situations" which constitute exceptions to standard eligibility criteria. Per the rule, a person who quits work because of a COVID-19 related situation is not disqualified from benefits. OAR 471-030-0070(2)(b). However, because claimant did not quit for a COVID-19 related situation as defined by the rule, this separation is addressed here under the "standard" gravity analysis per OAR 471-030-0038(4).

chronic respiratory disease, and the risk of COVID-19 transmission is heightened in crowded indoor spaces where others are not wearing facial coverings.³

The record does not, however, support the conclusion that requesting leave would have constituted a reasonable alternative to quitting. As discussed above, asthma is a long-term physical impairment. The record does not indicate that claimant's asthma would have been likely to resolve within either the 80-hour period of COVID-19 leave or any other longer period of leave that may have been available to claimant. Taking temporary leave due to a condition that was not likely to resolve during the leave period was therefore not a reasonable alternative to quitting because the same grave circumstances would likely still have been present when claimant returned from leave. Further, while the employer testified that the employer began to require their employees to wear face coverings at work in July 2020, the record does not show that claimant would have had any reason to know this at the time she quit. Audio Record at 21:55 to 22:14. A reasonable and prudent person with asthma therefore would have quit work under the circumstances known to claimant at the time she quit.

For the foregoing reasons, claimant quit work with good cause and is not disqualified from receiving benefits based on this work separation.

DECISION: Order No. 20-UI-154467 is set aside, as outlined above.

D. P. Hettle and S. Alba;
J. S. Cromwell, not participating.

DATE of Service: November 20, 2020

NOTE: This decision reverses an order that denied benefits. Please note that payment of benefits, if any are owed, may take approximately a week for the Department to complete.

NOTE: You may appeal this decision by filing a Petition for Judicial Review with the Oregon Court of Appeals within 30 days of the date of service listed above. *See* ORS 657.282. For forms and information, you may write to the Oregon Court of Appeals, Records Section, 1163 State Street, Salem, Oregon 97310 or visit the Court of Appeals website at courts.oregon.gov. Once on the website, use the 'search' function to search for 'petition for judicial review employment appeals board'. A link to the forms and information will be among the search results.

Please help us improve our service by completing an online customer service survey. To complete the survey, please go to <https://www.surveygizmo.com/s3/5552642/EAB-Customer-Service-Survey>. You can access the survey using a computer, tablet, or smartphone. If you are unable to complete the survey online and need a paper copy of the survey, please contact our office.

³ EAB has taken notice of these facts, which are generally cognizable facts. OAR 471-041-0090(1) (May 13, 2019). A copy of the information is available to the parties at <https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/prevent-getting-sick/how-covid-spreads.html>. Any party that objects to our taking notice of this information must submit such objection to this office in writing, setting forth the basis of the objection in writing, within ten days of our mailing this decision. OAR 471-041-0090(2). Unless such objection is received and sustained, the noticed fact will remain in the record.



Understanding Your Employment Appeals Board Decision

English

Attention – This decision affects your unemployment benefits. If you do not understand this decision, contact the Employment Appeals Board immediately. If you do not agree with this decision, you may file a Petition for Judicial Review with the Oregon Court of Appeals following the instructions written at the end of the decision.

Simplified Chinese

注意 – 本判決會影響您的失業救濟金。如果您不明白本判決，請立即聯繫就業上訴委員會。如果您不同意此判決，您可以按照該判決結尾所寫的說明，向俄勒岡州上訴法院提出司法複審申請。

Traditional Chinese

注意 – 本判決會影響您的失業救濟金。如果您不明白本判決，請立即聯繫就業上訴委員會。如果您不同意此判決，您可以按照該判決結尾所寫的說明，向俄勒岡州上訴法院提出司法複審申請。

Tagalog

Paalala – Nakakaapekto ang desisyong ito sa iyong mga benepisyo sa pagkawala ng trabaho. Kung hindi mo naiintindihan ang desisyong ito, makipag-ugnayan kaagad sa Lupon ng mga Apela sa Trabaho (Employment Appeals Board). Kung hindi ka sumasang-ayon sa desisyong ito, maaari kang maghain ng isang Petisyon sa Pagsusuri ng Hukuman (Petition for Judicial Review) sa Hukuman sa Paghahabol (Court of Appeals) ng Oregon na sinusunod ang mga tagubilin na nakasulat sa dulo ng desisyon.

Vietnamese

Chú ý - Quyết định này ảnh hưởng đến trợ cấp thất nghiệp của quý vị. Nếu quý vị không hiểu quyết định này, hãy liên lạc với Ban Kháng Cáo Việc Làm ngay lập tức. Nếu quý vị không đồng ý với quyết định này, quý vị có thể nộp Đơn Xin Tái Xét Tư Pháp với Tòa Kháng Cáo Oregon theo các hướng dẫn được viết ra ở cuối quyết định này.

Spanish

Atención – Esta decisión afecta sus beneficios de desempleo. Si no entiende esta decisión, comuníquese inmediatamente con la Junta de Apelaciones de Empleo. Si no está de acuerdo con esta decisión, puede presentar una Aplicación de Revisión Judicial ante el Tribunal de Apelaciones de Oregon siguiendo las instrucciones escritas al final de la decisión.

Russian

Внимание – Данное решение влияет на ваше пособие по безработице. Если решение Вам непонятно – немедленно обратитесь в Апелляционный Комитет по Трудоустройству. Если Вы не согласны с принятым решением, вы можете подать Ходатайство о Пересмотре Судебного Решения в Апелляционный Суд штата Орегон, следуя инструкциям, описанным в конце решения.

Khmer

ចំណុចសំខាន់ – សេចក្តីសម្រេចនេះមានផលប៉ះពាល់ដល់អត្ថប្រយោជន៍គ្មានការងារធ្វើរបស់លោកអ្នក។ ប្រសិនបើលោកអ្នកមិនយល់អំពីសេចក្តីសម្រេចនេះ សូមទាក់ទងគណៈកម្មការឧទ្ធរណ៍ការងារភ្លាមៗ។ ប្រសិនបើលោកអ្នកមិនយល់ស្របចំពោះសេចក្តីសម្រេចនេះទេ លោកអ្នកអាចដាក់ពាក្យប្តឹងសុំឲ្យមានការពិនិត្យរឿងក្តីឡើងវិញជាមួយតុលាការឧទ្ធរណ៍រដ្ឋ Oregon ដោយអនុវត្តតាមសេចក្តីណែនាំដែលសរសេរនៅខាងចុងបញ្ចប់នៃសេចក្តីសម្រេចនេះ។

Laotian

ເອົາໃຈໃສ່ – ຄໍາຕັດສິນນີ້ມີຜົນກະທົບຕໍ່ກັບເງິນຊ່ວຍເຫຼືອການຫວ່າງງານຂອງທ່ານ. ຖ້າທ່ານບໍ່ເຂົ້າໃຈຄໍາຕັດສິນນີ້, ກະລຸນາຕິດຕໍ່ຫາຄະນະກຳມະການອຸທອນການຈ້າງງານໃນທັນທີ. ຖ້າທ່ານບໍ່ເຫັນດີນໍາຄໍາຕັດສິນນີ້, ທ່ານສາມາດຍື່ນຄໍາຮ້ອງຂໍການທົບທວນຄໍາຕັດສິນນໍາສານອຸທອນລັດ Oregon ໄດ້ ໂດຍປະຕິບັດຕາມຄໍາແນະນໍາທີ່ບອກໄວ້ຢູ່ຕອນຫ້າຍຂອງຄໍາຕັດສິນນີ້.

Arabic

هذا القرار قد يؤثر على منحة البطالة الخاصة بك، إذا لم تفهم هذا القرار، إتصل بمجلس منازعات العمل فوراً، و إذا كنت لا توافق على هذا القرار، يمكنك رفع شكوى للمراجعة القانونية بمحكمة الإستئناف بأوريغون و ذلك بإتباع الإرشادات المدرجة أسفل القرار.

Farsi

توجه - این حکم بر مزایای بیکاری شما تاثیر می گذارد. اگر با این تصمیم موافق نیستید، بلافاصله با هیأت فرجام خواهی استخدام تماس بگیرید. اگر از این حکم رضایت ندارید، می‌توانید با استفاده از دستور العمل موجود در پایان آن، از دادگاه تجدید نظر اورگان درخواست تجدید نظر کنید.

Employment Appeals Board - 875 Union Street NE | Salem, OR 97311
 Phone: (503) 378-2077 | 1-800-734-6949 | Fax: (503) 378-2129 | TDD: 711
www.Oregon.gov/Employ/eab

The Oregon Employment Department is an equal opportunity employer/program. Auxiliary aids and services are available upon request to individuals with disabilities. Language assistance is available to persons with limited English proficiency at no cost.

El Departamento de Empleo de Oregon es un programa que respeta la igualdad de oportunidades. Disponemos de servicios o ayudas auxiliares, formatos alternos y asistencia de idiomas para personas con discapacidades o conocimiento limitado del inglés, a pedido y sin costo.