EO: 200 BYE: 202103

State of Oregon

108 DS 005.00

Employment Appeals Board

875 Union St. N.E. Salem, OR 97311

EMPLOYMENT APPEALS BOARD DECISION 2020-EAB-0305

Affirmed Disqualification

PROCEDURAL HISTORY: On February 25, 2020, the Oregon Employment Department (the Department) served notice of an administrative decision concluding the employer discharged claimant for misconduct and claimant was disqualified from receiving unemployment insurance benefits effective January 26, 2020 (decision # 155311). Claimant filed a timely request for hearing. On April 1, 2020, ALJ Jarry conducted a hearing and issued Order No. 20-UI-147276, affirming the Department's decision. On April 17, 2020, claimant filed an application for review with the Employment Appeals Board (EAB).

FINDINGS OF FACT: (1) RLC Industries employed claimant as a millwright apprentice from July 24, 2017 to January 30, 2020.

- (2) The employer expected its employees to report for work as scheduled and clock in and out on their Kronos time keeping system at the beginning and end of their shifts. The employer also expected its employees to be honest about work related matters, and refrain from making misrepresentations to the employer at the risk of being discharged if a misrepresentation was made. The employer's expectations were set forth in its employee handbook which claimant acknowledged receiving at hire. Claimant was aware of and understood the employer's expectations regarding attendance and honesty.
- (3) On January 30, 2020, the employer expected claimant to report for work at the start of his regular 7:00 a.m. shift and attend a required meeting at that time. Claimant did not report for work until approximately 7:15 a.m., did not clock in, and failed to attend the meeting. When questioned that morning by a supervisor regarding why he had been late and failed to attend the meeting, claimant denied that he had been tardy, and explained that he was on-site at the employer at 7:00 a.m. but did not clock in or attend the meeting because he was having "some bathroom related issues." Audio Record at 6:45 to 7:15.
- (4) Later that day, the employer again questioned claimant about why he had been late for work that day and claimant again denied that he had been late. After further discussion, claimant admitted that he had

been late for work and had not arrived until approximately 7:15 a.m. Claimant explained at that time that he "was hoping to get away with [not clocking in]...by telling [the employer] that he was here on site... when he was...actually tardy." Audio Record at 22:20 to 22:45.

(5) On January 30, 2020, the employer discharged claimant for making misrepresentations to the employer about when he had arrived at work.

CONCLUSIONS AND REASONS: The employer discharged claimant for misconduct.

ORS 657.176(2)(a) requires a disqualification from unemployment insurance benefits if the employer discharged claimant for misconduct connected with work. "As used in ORS 657.176(2)(a) . . . a willful or wantonly negligent violation of the standards of behavior which an employer has the right to expect of an employee is misconduct. An act or series of actions that amount to a willful or wantonly negligent disregard of an employer's interest is misconduct." OAR 471-030-0038(3)(a) (December 23, 2018). "[W]antonly negligent' means indifference to the consequences of an act or series of actions, or a failure to act or a series of failures to act, where the individual acting or failing to act is conscious of his or her conduct and knew or should have known that his or her conduct would probably result in a violation of the standards of behavior which an employer has the right to expect of an employee." OAR 471-030-0038(1)(c). Isolated instances of poor judgment and good faith errors are not misconduct. OAR 471-030-0038(3)(b).

The employer had the right to expect claimant to be honest regarding work related matters and refrain from making misrepresentations to the employer. Claimant admitted that he was aware of the employer's expectations regarding employee honesty. Audio Record at 16:40 to 16:50. On January 30, 2020, claimant violated that expectation not once, but twice when he was questioned about when he had arrived at work and why he had missed the 7:00 a.m. meeting. At hearing, claimant did not dispute that he had told the employer he "was hoping to get away with [not clocking in]...by telling [the employer] that he was here on site... when he was...actually tardy." By making the misrepresentations in question, knowing they were false, claimant willfully disregarded the employer's known expectation that he be honest regarding work related matters.

Claimant's conduct cannot be excused as the result of a good faith error in his understanding of the employer's expectations under OAR 471-030-0038(3)(b). Claimant admitted that he understood those expectations and did not assert or show that he sincerely believed, or had a factual basis for believing, the employer would tolerate his intentional misrepresentations about when he had arrived at work and why he had missed the morning meeting on January 30, 2020.

The following standards apply to determine whether an "isolated instance of poor judgment" occurred:

- (A) The act must be isolated. The exercise of poor judgment must be a single or infrequent occurrence rather than a repeated act or pattern of other willful or wantonly negligent behavior.
- (B) The act must involve judgment. A judgment is an evaluation resulting from discernment and comparison. Every conscious decision to take an action (to act or not to

- act) in the context of an employment relationship is a judgment for purposes of OAR 471-030-0038(3).
- (C) The act must involve poor judgment. A decision to willfully violate an employer's reasonable standard of behavior is poor judgment. A conscious decision to take action that results in a wantonly negligent violation of an employer's reasonable standard of behavior is poor judgment. A conscious decision not to comply with an unreasonable employer policy is not misconduct.
- (D) Acts that violate the law, acts that are tantamount to unlawful conduct, acts that create irreparable breaches of trust in the employment relationship or otherwise make a continued employment relationship impossible exceed mere poor judgment and do not fall within the exculpatory provisions of OAR 471-030-0038(3).

OAR 471-030-0038(1)(d). Here, claimant did not dispute that he twice misrepresented the facts regarding his arrival at work on January 30, 2020 and admitted that his decision to do so constituted a "serious misjudgment" on his part. Audio Record at 15:45 to 16:30. Accordingly, under the above standards, claimant's conduct on January 30, 2020 was not a single occurrence, but a repeated exercise of poor judgment that cannot be excused as an isolated instance of poor judgment.

The employer discharged claimant for misconduct. Claimant is disqualified from receiving unemployment insurance benefits based on his work separation until he has earned at least four times his weekly benefit amount from work in subject employment.

DECISION: Order No. 20-UI-147276 is affirmed.

J. S. Cromwell and S. Alba; D. P. Hettle, not participating.

DATE of Service: May 8, 2020

NOTE: You may appeal this decision by filing a Petition for Judicial Review with the Oregon Court of Appeals within 30 days of the date of service listed above. *See* ORS 657.282. For forms and information, you may write to the Oregon Court of Appeals, Records Section, 1163 State Street, Salem, Oregon 97310 or visit the Court of Appeals website at courts.oregon.gov. Once on the website, use the 'search' function to search for 'petition for judicial review employment appeals board'. A link to the forms and information will be among the search results.

<u>Please help us improve our service by completing an online customer service survey</u>. To complete the survey, please go to https://www.surveymonkey.com/s/5WQXNJH. If you are unable to complete the survey online and wish to have a paper copy of the survey, please contact our office.



Understanding Your Employment Appeals Board Decision

English

Attention – This decision affects your unemployment benefits. If you do not understand this decision, contact the Employment Appeals Board immediately. If you do not agree with this decision, you may file a Petition for Judicial Review with the Oregon Court of Appeals following the instructions written at the end of the decision.

Simplified Chinese

注意 - 本判决会影响您的失业救济金。 如果您不明白本判决, 请立即联系就业上诉委员会。 如果您不同意此判决,您可以按照该判决结尾所写的说明,向俄勒冈州上诉法院提出司法复审申请。

Traditional Chinese

注意 - 本判決會影響您的失業救濟金。 如果您不明白本判決, 請立即聯繫就業上訴委員會。 如果您不同意此判決,您可以按照該判決結尾所寫的說明, 向俄勒岡州上訴法院提出司法複審申請。

Tagalog

Paalala – Nakakaapekto ang desisyong ito sa iyong mga benepisyo sa pagkawala ng trabaho. Kung hindi mo naiintindihan ang desisyong ito, makipag-ugnayan kaagad sa Lupon ng mga Apela sa Trabaho (Employment Appeals Board). Kung hindi ka sumasang-ayon sa desisyong ito, maaari kang maghain ng isang Petisyon sa Pagsusuri ng Hukuman (Petition for Judicial Review) sa Hukuman sa Paghahabol (Court of Appeals) ng Oregon na sinusunod ang mga tagubilin na nakasulat sa dulo ng desisyon.

Vietnamese

Chú ý - Quyết định này ảnh hưởng đến trợ cấp thất nghiệp của quý vị. Nếu quý vị không hiểu quyết định này, hãy liên lạc với Ban Kháng Cáo Việc Làm ngay lập tức. Nếu quý vị không đồng ý với quyết định này, quý vị có thể nộp Đơn Xin Tái Xét Tư Pháp với Tòa Kháng Cáo Oregon theo các hướng dẫn được viết ra ở cuối quyết định này.

Spanish

Atención – Esta decisión afecta sus beneficios de desempleo. Si no entiende esta decisión, comuníquese inmediatamente con la Junta de Apelaciones de Empleo. Si no está de acuerdo con esta decisión, puede presentar una Aplicación de Revisión Judicial ante el Tribunal de Apelaciones de Oregon siguiendo las instrucciones escritas al final de la decisión.

Russian

Внимание — Данное решение влияет на ваше пособие по безработице. Если решение Вам непонятно — немедленно обратитесь в Апелляционный Комитет по Трудоустройству. Если Вы не согласны с принятым решением, вы можете подать Ходатайство о Пересмотре Судебного Решения в Апелляционный Суд штата Орегон, следуя инструкциям, описанным в конце решения.

Oregon Employment Department • www.Employment.Oregon.gov • FORM200 (1018) • Page 1 of 2

Khmer

ចំណុចសំខាន់ – សេចក្តីសម្រេចនេះមានផលប៉ះពាល់ដល់អត្ថប្រយោជន៍គ្មានការងារធ្វើរបស់លោកអ្នក។ ប្រសិនបើលោកអ្នកមិន យល់អំពីសេចក្តីសម្រេចនេះ សូមទាក់ទងគណៈកម្មការឧទ្ធរណ៍ការងារភ្លាមៗ។ ប្រសិនបើលោកអ្នកមិនយល់ស្របចំពោះសេចក្តី សម្រេចនេះទេ លោកអ្នកអាចដាក់ពាក្យប្តឹងសុំឲ្យមានការពិនិត្យរឿងក្តីឡើងវិញជាមួយតុលារឧទ្ធរណ៍រដ្ឋ Oregon ដោយអនុវត្តតាម សេចក្តីណែនាំដែលសរសេរនៅខាងចុងបញ្ចប់នៃសេចក្តីសម្រេចនេះ។

Laotian

ເອົາໃຈໃສ່ – ຄຳຕັດສິນນີ້ມີຜິນກະທົບຕໍ່ກັບເງິນຊ່ວຍເຫຼືອການຫວ່າງງານຂອງທ່ານ. ຖ້າທ່ານບໍ່ເຂົ້າໃຈຄຳຕັດສິນນີ້, ກະລຸນາຕິດຕໍ່ຫາຄະນະກຳມະການ ອຸທອນການຈ້າງງານໃນທັນທີ. ຖ້າທ່ານບໍ່ເຫັນດີນຳຄຳຕັດສິນນີ້, ທ່ານສາມາດຍື່ນຄຳຮ້ອງຂໍການທົບທວນຄຳຕັດສິນນຳສານອຸທອນລັດ Oregon ໄດ້ ໂດຍປະຕິບັດຕາມຄຳແນະນຳທີ່ບອກໄວ້ຢູ່ຕອນທ້າຍຂອງຄຳຕັດສິນນີ້.

Arabic

هذا القرار قد يؤثر على منحة البطالة الخاصة بك، إذا لم تفهم هذا القرار، إتصل بمجلس منازعات العمل فورا، و إذا كنت لا توافق على هذا القرار، يمكنك رفع شكوى للمراجعة القانونية بمحكمة الإستئناف بأوريغون و ذلك بإتباع الإرشادات المدرجة أسفل القرار.

Farsi

توجه - این حکم بر مزایای بیکاری شما تاثیر می گذارد. اگر با این تصمیم موافق نیستید، بالفاصله با هیأت فرجام خواهی استخدام تماس بگیرید. اگر از این حکم رضایت ندارید، میتوانید با استفاده از دستور العمل موجود در پایان آن، از دادگاه تجدید نظر اورگان در خواست تجدید نظر کنید.

Employment Appeals Board - 875 Union Street NE | Salem, OR 97311 Phone: (503) 378-2077 | 1-800-734-6949 | Fax: (503) 378-2129 | TDD: 711 www.Oregon.gov/Employ/eab

The Oregon Employment Department is an equal opportunity employer/program. Auxiliary aids and services are available upon request to individuals with disabilities. Language assistance is available to persons with limited English proficiency at no cost.

El Departamento de Empleo de Oregon es un programa que respeta la igualdad de oportunidades. Disponemos de servicios o ayudas auxiliares, formatos alternos y asistencia de idiomas para personas con discapacidades o conocimiento limitado del inglés, a pedido y sin costo.

Oregon Employment Department • www.Employment.Oregon.gov • FORM200 (1018) • Page 2 of 2