EO: 200
BYE: 202040

State of Oregon
Employment Appeals Board
875 Union St. N.E.
Salem, OR 97311

243
VQ 005.00

EMPLOYMENT APPEALS BOARD DECISION
2020-EAB-0001

Reversed & Remanded

PROCEDURAL HISTORY: On November 5, 2019, the Oregon Employment Department (the Department) served notice of an administrative decision concluding claimant quit work without good cause (decision # 114356). Claimant filed a timely request for hearing. On December 5, 2019, ALJ Frank conducted a hearing, and on December 13, 2019 issued Order No. 19-UI-141207, affirming decision # 114356. On December 30, 2019, claimant filed an application for review with the Employment Appeals Board (EAB).

CONCLUSIONS AND REASONS: Order No. 19-UI-141207 is reversed and this matter is remanded.

ORS 657.176(2)(a) and (c) provide that claimant may be disqualified from benefits if the employer discharged her for misconduct or if she quit work without good cause. Depending on whether the work separation was a quit or a discharge, different standards apply. The first issue is therefore whether a claimant quit work or was discharged. If the employee could have continued to work for the same employer for an additional period of time, the work separation is a voluntary leaving. OAR 471-030-0038(2)(a) (December 23, 2018). If the employee is willing to continue to work for the same employer for an additional period of time but is not allowed to do so by the employer, the separation is a discharge. OAR 471-030-0038(2)(b).

The order under review concluded that claimant voluntarily left her job. Order No. 19-UI-141207 at 2, 3. The order reasoned that although claimant's work separation was "somewhat difficult to determine," it was more likely than not that claimant quit her job because she had submitted a resignation and made her willingness to continue working an additional period of time past her agreed-upon resignation date contingent on the employer allowing her a pay raise. Order No. 19-UI-141207 at 3. The record does not support that conclusion.

On remand, the record must be developed about whether the employer was unwilling to allow claimant to continue working if she had not asked for a raise. The record must also be developed about whether or not the employer told claimant she would be permitted to rescind her resignation at any time, and whether or not the employer wanted to continue its work relationship with claimant or had actually decided to move on without her.

If on remand it is again decided that claimant quit her job, a claimant who leaves work voluntarily is disqualified from the receipt of benefits unless they prove, by a preponderance of the evidence, that they had good cause for leaving work when they did. ORS 657.176(2)(c); *Young v. Employment Department*, 170 Or App 752, 13 P3d 1027 (2000). "Good cause . . . is such that a reasonable and prudent person of normal sensitivity, exercising ordinary common sense, would leave work." OAR 471-030-0038(4). "[T]he reason must be of such gravity that the individual has no reasonable alternative but to leave work." OAR 471-030-0038(4). The standard is objective. *McDowell v. Employment Department*, 348 Or 605, 612, 236 P3d 722 (2010). A claimant who quits work must show that no reasonable and prudent person would have continued to work for their employer for an additional period of time.

The order under review concluded that claimant voluntarily left work without good cause. Order No. 19-UI-141207 at 3-4. The order reasoned that to the extent claimant quit work due to sexual harassment that issue "was resolved well prior to her resignation," and to the extent she quit work because the employer would not allow her a pay raise that situation was not grave. Order No. 19-UI-141207 at 4-5. The record does not support those conclusions.

Although the record shows that the employer remedied claimant's sexual harassment complaint, the record does not suggest that occurred "well prior to her resignation." Rather, the evidence suggests that the employer only resolved claimant's complaint by moving her away from the coworker who harassed her *after* she told the employer she was quitting work because of that coworker. The record should be developed about the dates and nature of any prior complaints claimant made to the employer about being harassed at work. The record should be developed about what claimant told the employer when she initially submitted her resignation, and what reason(s) claimant gave for resigning. The record should also be developed as to how the employer responded to any complaints claimant might have made, or how the employer responded to the resignation. Claimant's testimony suggested she told the employer that she was quitting due to harassment; the record should be developed as to whether the employer agrees with that testimony, how the employer responded, and whether or not claimant gave the employer an opportunity to resolve the situation before she gave her two-week notice.

ORS 657.270 requires the ALJ to give all parties a reasonable opportunity for a fair hearing. That obligation necessarily requires the ALJ to ensure that the record developed at the hearing shows a full and fair inquiry into the facts necessary for consideration of all issues properly before the ALJ in a case. ORS 657.270(3); *see accord Dennis v. Employment Division*, 302 Or 160, 728 P2d 12 (1986). Because further development of the record is necessary for a determination of whether claimant quit work without good cause, Order No. 19-UI-141207 is reversed, and this matter is remanded.

DECISION: Order No. 19-UI-141207 is set aside, and this matter remanded for further proceedings consistent with this order.

DATE of Service: <u>January 30, 2020</u>

D. P. Hettle and S. Alba;
J. S. Cromwell, not participating.

NOTE: The failure of any party to appear at the hearing on remand will not reinstate Order No. 19-UI-141207 or return this matter to EAB. Only a timely application for review of the subsequent order will cause this matter to return to EAB.

Please help us improve our service by completing an online customer service survey. To complete the survey, please go to https://www.surveymonkey.com/s/5WQXNJH. If you are unable to complete the survey online and wish to have a paper copy of the survey, please contact our office.

Understanding Your Employment Appeals Board Decision

English

Attention – This decision affects your unemployment benefits. If you do not understand this decision, contact the Employment Appeals Board immediately. If you do not agree with this decision, you may file a Petition for Judicial Review with the Oregon Court of Appeals following the instructions written at the end of the decision.

Simplified Chinese

注意 – 本判决会影响您的失业救济金。 如果您不明白本判决， 请立即联系就业上诉委员会。 如果您不同意此判决，您可以按照该判决结尾所写的说明，向俄勒冈州上诉法院提出司法复审申请。

Traditional Chinese

注意 – 本判決會影響您的失業救濟金。 如果您不明白本判決， 請立即聯繫就業上訴委員會。 如果您不同意此判決，您可以按照該判決結尾所寫的說明， 向俄勒岡州上訴法院提出司法複審申請。

Tagalog

Paalala – Nakakaapekto ang desisyong ito sa iyong mga benepisyo sa pagkawala ng trabaho. Kung hindi mo naiintindihan ang desisyong ito, makipag-ugnayan kaagad sa Lupon ng mga Apela sa Trabaho (Employment Appeals Board). Kung hindi ka sumasang-ayon sa desisyong ito, maaari kang maghain ng isang Petisyon sa Pagsusuri ng Hukuman (Petition for Judicial Review) sa Hukuman sa Paghahabol (Court of Appeals) ng Oregon na sinusunod ang mga tagubilin na nakasulat sa dulo ng desisyon.

Vietnamese

Chú ý - Quyết định này ảnh hưởng đến trợ cấp thất nghiệp của quý vị. Nếu quý vị không hiểu quyết định này, hãy liên lạc với Ban Kháng Cáo Việc Làm ngay lập tức. Nếu quý vị không đồng ý với quyết định này, quý vị có thể nộp Đơn Xin Tái Xét Tư Pháp với Tòa Kháng Cáo Oregon theo các hướng dẫn được viết ra ở cuối quyết định này.

Spanish

Atención – Esta decisión afecta sus beneficios de desempleo. Si no entiende esta decisión, comuníquese inmediatamente con la Junta de Apelaciones de Empleo. Si no está de acuerdo con esta decisión, puede presentar una Aplicación de Revisión Judicial ante el Tribunal de Apelaciones de Oregon siguiendo las instrucciones escritas al final de la decisión.

Russian

Внимание – Данное решение влияет на ваше пособие по безработице. Если решение Вам непонятно – немедленно обратитесь в Апелляционный Комитет по Трудоустройству. Если Вы не согласны с принятым решением, вы можете подать Ходатайство о Пересмотре Судебного Решения в Апелляционный Суд штата Орегон, следуя инструкциям, описанным в конце решения.

Khmer

ចំណុចសំខាន់ – សេចក្តីសម្រេចនេះមានផលប៉ះពាល់ដល់អត្ថប្រយោជន៍គ្មានការងារធ្វើរបស់លោកអ្នក។ ប្រសិនបើលោកអ្នកមិនយល់អំពីសេចក្តីសម្រេចនេះ សូមទាក់ទងគណៈកម្មការឧទ្ធរណ៍ការងារភ្លាមៗ។ ប្រសិនបើលោកអ្នកមិនយល់ស្របចំពោះសេចក្តីសម្រេចនេះទេ លោកអ្នកអាចដាក់ពាក្យប្តឹងសុំឲ្យមានការពិនិត្យរឿងក្តីឡើងវិញជាមួយតុលាការឧទ្ធរណ៍រដ្ឋ Oregon ដោយអនុវត្តតាមសេចក្តីណែនាំដែលសរសេរនៅខាងចុងបញ្ចប់នៃសេចក្តីសម្រេចនេះ។

Laotian

ເອົາໃຈໃສ່ – ຄຳຕັດສິນນີ້ມີຜົນກະທົບຕໍ່ກັບເງິນຊ່ວຍເຫຼືອການຫວ່າງງານຂອງທ່ານ. ຖ້າທ່ານບໍ່ເຂົ້າໃຈຄຳຕັດສິນນີ້, ກະລຸນາຕິດຕໍ່ຫາຄະນະກຳມະການອຸທອນການຈ້າງງານໃນທັນທີ. ຖ້າທ່ານບໍ່ເຫັນດີນຳຄຳຕັດສິນນີ້, ທ່ານສາມາດຍື່ນຄຳຮ້ອງຂໍການທົບທວນຄຳຕັດສິນນຳສານອຸທອນລັດ Oregon ໄດ້ ໂດຍປະຕິບັດຕາມຄຳແນະນຳທີ່ບອກໄວ້ຢູ່ຕອນທ້າຍຂອງຄຳຕັດສິນນີ້.

Arabic

هذا القرار قد يؤثر على منحة البطالة الخاصة بك، إذا لم تفهم هذا القرار، إتصل بمجلس منازعات العمل فورا، و إذا كنت لا توافق على هذا القرار، يمكنك رفع شكوى للمراجعة القانونية بمحكمة الإستئناف بأوريغون و ذلك بإتباع الإرشادات المدرجة أسفل القرار.

Farsi

توجه - این حکم بر مزایای بیکاری شما تاثیر می گذارد. اگر با این تصمیم موافق نیستید، بلافاصله با هیأت فرجام خواهی استخدام تماس بگیرید. اگر از این حکم رضایت ندارید، می‌توانید با استفاده از دستورالعمل موجود در پایان آن، از دادگاه تجدید نظر اورگان درخواست تجدید نظر کنید.

Employment Appeals Board - 875 Union Street NE | Salem, OR 97311
Phone: (503) 378-2077 | 1-800-734-6949 | Fax: (503) 378-2129 | TDD: 711
www.Oregon.gov/Employ/eab