

EMPLOYMENT APPEALS BOARD DECISION
2019-EAB-1120

Affirmed
No Disqualification

PROCEDURAL HISTORY: On October 11, 2019, the Oregon Employment Department (the Department) served notice of an administrative decision concluding claimant was discharged for misconduct connected to work (decision #125647). Claimant filed a timely request for hearing. On October 30, 2019, ALJ Murdock conducted a hearing, and on November 6, 2019 issued Order No. 19-UI-139328, setting aside decision #125647 and concluding claimant was discharged, but not for misconduct connected to work. On November 26, 2019, the employer filed an application for review with the Employment Appeals Board (EAB).

The employer's written argument contained information that was not part of the hearing record, and did not show that factors or circumstances beyond the employer's reasonable control prevented them from offering the information during the hearing. Under ORS 657.275(2) and OAR 471-041-0090 (May 13, 2019), EAB considered only information received into evidence at the hearing when reaching this decision.

FINDINGS OF FACT: (1) Laguna Industries LLC, employed claimant as an administrative assistant from August 5, 2019 to September 11, 2019.

(2) Claimant used QuickBooks to invoice customers, but did not receive training on the specific way the employer wanted her to use the software program. The employer had three to four QuickBooks user licenses. Claimant's QuickBooks login credentials were known by and could be used by other assistants.

(3) The employer thought claimant made repeated mistakes performing her work and brought the mistakes to her attention. The employer expected claimant, as a new employee, to make some mistakes, but expected claimant to accept that she had made mistakes and not to dispute that she was responsible for them. When the employer brought mistakes to claimant's attention, however, claimant disputed that she was responsible for them. The employer asked that claimant be more diligent in her work and avoid making mistakes. The employer did not tell claimant that disputing mistakes violated the employer's expectations, or that the employer would fire her if she continued to dispute or refused to acknowledge that she made mistakes.

(4) On September 6, 2019, an invoicing mistake occurred. Claimant denied making the mistake, but she had been the only administrative assistant working that day so the employer attributed the mistake to claimant. Claimant suggested that someone else had gone into the computer system and changed the books to make the mistake happen.

(5) On September 9, 2019, the employer reviewed several invoicing mistakes with claimant, including the mistake made on September 6, 2019. Claimant disagreed with the employer that she had made the mistakes. The employer thought she was argumentative in her demeanor.

(6) On September 11, 2019, the employer discharged claimant. The employer told claimant she had made a few errors and was not a good fit for the company.

CONCLUSIONS AND REASONS: Claimant was discharged, but not for misconduct connected to work.

ORS 657.176(2)(a) requires a disqualification from unemployment insurance benefits if the employer discharged claimant for misconduct connected with work. “As used in ORS 657.176(2)(a) . . . a willful or wantonly negligent violation of the standards of behavior which an employer has the right to expect of an employee is misconduct. An act or series of actions that amount to a willful or wantonly negligent disregard of an employer's interest is misconduct.” OAR 471-030-0038(3)(a) (December 23, 2018). “[W]antonly negligent’ means indifference to the consequences of an act or series of actions, or a failure to act or a series of failures to act, where the individual acting or failing to act is conscious of his or her conduct and knew or should have known that his or her conduct would probably result in a violation of the standards of behavior which an employer has the right to expect of an employee.” OAR 471-030-0038(1)(c).

The employer discharged claimant, but not for misconduct connected to work, because the record does not show that claimant engaged in an act or series of actions that amount to a willful or wantonly negligent disregard of the employer's interest. While the record shows that the employer believed claimant made numerous mistakes on the job, the employer expected a new employee to make some mistakes. The employer did not discharge claimant because she made mistakes, but rather because of her denials that she was responsible for them. On this record, disputing that she made mistakes and refusing to take ownership of them is not misconduct, especially since the record suggests that the employer never notified claimant, via warnings or a policy, that she would be fired if she continued to dispute that she was responsible for the mistakes the employer brought to her attention. Transcript at 9.

The parties’ testimony was irreconcilably different as it related to claimant’s alleged mistakes, warnings, and the training the employer provided to claimant. Absent a basis for concluding either party’s evidence was not credible, the evidence at the hearing is no more than equally balanced. Accordingly, the employer failed to meet its burden to establish, by a preponderance of the evidence, that claimant willfully or with wanton negligence engaged in argumentative behavior that she knew or should have known was a violation of the standards of behavior, which an employer has the right to expect of an employee. *Babcock v. Employment Division*, 25 Or App 661, 550 P2d 1233 (1976) (in a discharge case, the employer has the burden to establish misconduct by a preponderance of evidence).

The employer discharged claimant, but not for misconduct under ORS 657.176(2)(a). Claimant is not disqualified from receiving unemployment insurance benefits on the basis of her work separation.

DECISION: Order No. 19-UI-139328 is affirmed.

J. S. Cromwell and S. Alba;
D. P. Hettle, not participating.

DATE of Service: December 24, 2019

NOTE: You may appeal this decision by filing a Petition for Judicial Review with the Oregon Court of Appeals within 30 days of the date of service listed above. *See* ORS 657.282. For forms and information, you may write to the Oregon Court of Appeals, Records Section, 1163 State Street, Salem, Oregon 97310 or visit the Court of Appeals website at courts.oregon.gov. Once on the website, use the ‘search’ function to search for ‘petition for judicial review employment appeals board’. A link to the forms and information will be among the search results.

Please help us improve our service by completing an online customer service survey. To complete the survey, please go to <https://www.surveymonkey.com/s/5WQXNJH>. If you are unable to complete the survey online and wish to have a paper copy of the survey, please contact our office.



Understanding Your Employment Appeals Board Decision

English

Attention – This decision affects your unemployment benefits. If you do not understand this decision, contact the Employment Appeals Board immediately. If you do not agree with this decision, you may file a Petition for Judicial Review with the Oregon Court of Appeals following the instructions written at the end of the decision.

Simplified Chinese

注意 – 本判決會影響您的失業救濟金。如果您不明白本判決，請立即聯繫就業上訴委員會。如果您不同意此判決，您可以按照該判決結尾所寫的說明，向俄勒岡州上訴法院提出司法複審申請。

Traditional Chinese

注意 – 本判決會影響您的失業救濟金。如果您不明白本判決，請立即聯繫就業上訴委員會。如果您不同意此判決，您可以按照該判決結尾所寫的說明，向俄勒岡州上訴法院提出司法複審申請。

Tagalog

Paalala – Nakakaapekto ang desisyong ito sa iyong mga benepisyo sa pagkawala ng trabaho. Kung hindi mo naiintindihan ang desisyong ito, makipag-ugnayan kaagad sa Lupon ng mga Apela sa Trabaho (Employment Appeals Board). Kung hindi ka sumasang-ayon sa desisyong ito, maaari kang maghain ng isang Petisyon sa Pagsusuri ng Hukuman (Petition for Judicial Review) sa Hukuman sa Paghahabol (Court of Appeals) ng Oregon na sinusunod ang mga tagubilin na nakasulat sa dulo ng desisyon.

Vietnamese

Chú ý - Quyết định này ảnh hưởng đến trợ cấp thất nghiệp của quý vị. Nếu quý vị không hiểu quyết định này, hãy liên lạc với Ban Kháng Cáo Việc Làm ngay lập tức. Nếu quý vị không đồng ý với quyết định này, quý vị có thể nộp Đơn Xin Tái Xét Tư Pháp với Tòa Kháng Cáo Oregon theo các hướng dẫn được viết ra ở cuối quyết định này.

Spanish

Atención – Esta decisión afecta sus beneficios de desempleo. Si no entiende esta decisión, comuníquese inmediatamente con la Junta de Apelaciones de Empleo. Si no está de acuerdo con esta decisión, puede presentar una Aplicación de Revisión Judicial ante el Tribunal de Apelaciones de Oregon siguiendo las instrucciones escritas al final de la decisión.

Russian

Внимание – Данное решение влияет на ваше пособие по безработице. Если решение Вам непонятно – немедленно обратитесь в Апелляционный Комитет по Трудоустройству. Если Вы не согласны с принятым решением, вы можете подать Ходатайство о Пересмотре Судебного Решения в Апелляционный Суд штата Орегон, следуя инструкциям, описанным в конце решения.

Khmer

ចំណុចសំខាន់ – សេចក្តីសម្រេចនេះមានផលប៉ះពាល់ដល់អត្ថប្រយោជន៍គ្មានការងារធ្វើរបស់លោកអ្នក។ ប្រសិនបើលោកអ្នកមិនយល់អំពីសេចក្តីសម្រេចនេះ សូមទាក់ទងគណៈកម្មការឧទ្ធរណ៍ការងារភ្លាមៗ។ ប្រសិនបើលោកអ្នកមិនយល់ស្របចំពោះសេចក្តីសម្រេចនេះទេ លោកអ្នកអាចដាក់ពាក្យប្តឹងសុំឲ្យមានការពិនិត្យរឿងក្តីឡើងវិញជាមួយតុលាការឧទ្ធរណ៍រដ្ឋ Oregon ដោយអនុវត្តតាមសេចក្តីណែនាំដែលសរសេរនៅខាងចុងបញ្ចប់នៃសេចក្តីសម្រេចនេះ។

Laotian

ເອົາໃຈໃສ່ – ຄໍາຕັດສິນນີ້ມີຜົນກະທົບຕໍ່ກັບເງິນຊ່ວຍເຫຼືອການຫວ່າງງານຂອງທ່ານ. ຖ້າທ່ານບໍ່ເຂົ້າໃຈຄໍາຕັດສິນນີ້, ກະລຸນາຕິດຕໍ່ຫາຄະນະກຳມະການອຸທອນການຈ້າງງານໃນທັນທີ. ຖ້າທ່ານບໍ່ເຫັນດີນໍາຄໍາຕັດສິນນີ້, ທ່ານສາມາດຍື່ນຄໍາຮ້ອງຂໍການທົບທວນຄໍາຕັດສິນນໍາສານອຸທອນລັດ Oregon ໄດ້ ໂດຍປະຕິບັດຕາມຄໍາແນະນໍາທີ່ບອກໄວ້ຢູ່ຕອນທ້າຍຂອງຄໍາຕັດສິນນີ້.

Arabic

هذا القرار قد يؤثر على منحة البطالة الخاصة بك، إذا لم تفهم هذا القرار، إتصل بمجلس منازعات العمل فوراً، و إذا كنت لا توافق على هذا القرار، يمكنك رفع شكوى للمراجعة القانونية بمحكمة الاستئناف بأوريغون و ذلك بإتباع الإرشادات المدرجة أسفل القرار.

Farsi

توجه - این حکم بر مزایای بیکاری شما تاثیر می گذارد. اگر با این تصمیم موافق نیستید، بلافاصله با هیأت فرجام خواهی استخدام تماس بگیرید. اگر از این حکم رضایت ندارید، می‌توانید با استفاده از دستور العمل موجود در پایان آن، از دادگاه تجدید نظر اورگان درخواست تجدید نظر کنید.

Employment Appeals Board - 875 Union Street NE | Salem, OR 97311
 Phone: (503) 378-2077 | 1-800-734-6949 | Fax: (503) 378-2129 | TDD: 711
www.Oregon.gov/Employ/eab

The Oregon Employment Department is an equal opportunity employer/program. Auxiliary aids and services are available upon request to individuals with disabilities. Language assistance is available to persons with limited English proficiency at no cost.

El Departamento de Empleo de Oregon es un programa que respeta la igualdad de oportunidades. Disponemos de servicios o ayudas auxiliares, formatos alternos y asistencia de idiomas para personas con discapacidades o conocimiento limitado del inglés, a pedido y sin costo.