EO: 200 BYE: 202015

State of Oregon **Employment Appeals Board** 875 Union St. N.E. Salem, OR 97311

113 DS 005.00

EMPLOYMENT APPEALS BOARD DECISION 2019-EAB-0653

Affirmed Disqualification

PROCEDURAL HISTORY: On May 17, 2019, the Oregon Employment Department (the Department) served notice of an administrative decision concluding the employer discharged claimant but not for misconduct (decision # 84618). The employer filed a timely request for hearing. On June 17, 2019, ALJ Snyder conducted a hearing at which claimant did not appear, and on June 25, 2019 issued Order No. 19-UI-132253, reversing the Department's decision. On July 15, 2019, claimant filed an application for review with the Employment Appeals Board (EAB).

FINDINGS OF FACT: (1) Paradise Home Care employed claimant as a caregiver until April 6, 2019.

(2) The employer expected claimant to arrive on time and to work until the end of the scheduled shift. The employer also expected claimant to perform her work satisfactorily and not to access private information about other employees. The employer further expected that claimant would not engage in physical displays of anger in the workplace. Claimant understood the employer's expectations as a matter of common sense.

(3) On several occasions throughout her employment, claimant left work early to pick up her children from school. Claimant often brought the children to the workplace to stay until her shift ended. The employer's owner told claimant multiple times that she was not allowed to leave work early to pick up her children. The owner initially allowed claimant to bring the children to the workplace if the children stayed in the living room. The owner later told claimant that she could not bring the children to the workplace because they distracted claimant too much from her work and she did not finish her daily tasks.

(4) Throughout her employment, claimant often arrived late to work without notice to the employer. Claimant sometimes did not have access to a car and had to walk or bike five or six miles to work. The employer's owner told claimant multiple times that she needed to report for work on time.

(5) During her employment, claimant was in an abusive relationship. Claimant's boyfriend would call her excessively while she was at work and would sometimes come to the workplace and demand that

claimant leave early with him. The boyfriend's calls and workplace visits would disrupt the workplace, cause claimant to become upset or to cry, and resulted in deterioration in the quality of claimant's work.

(6) While she was employed, claimant did not finish reading workbooks that were assigned to her and did not complete online trainings.

(7) By March 2019, the owner was concerned that claimant was neglecting the residents and performing inadequate work. Around March 22, 2019, the owner told claimant that claimant had two weeks to concentrate on her job, resolve her personal issues, and improve her performance. The owner thought claimant was struggling with various personal issues that were affecting her work performance.

(8) On April 6, 2019, claimant entered the employer's office, and she accessed and took private information about other employees, including Social Security numbers. When the owner confronted claimant and told her that she was not allowed to access and take other employee's information, claimant became angry and threw a pen and paper in the owner's face. On April 6, 2019, the owner employer discharged claimant for this behavior as well as for not resolving her personal issues or improving her performance after March 22.

CONCLUSIONS AND REASONS: The employer discharged claimant for misconduct.

ORS 657.176(2)(a) requires a disqualification from unemployment insurance benefits if the employer discharged claimant for misconduct connected with work. "As used in ORS 657.176(2)(a) . . . a willful or wantonly negligent violation of the standards of behavior which an employer has the right to expect of an employee is misconduct. An act or series of actions that amount to a willful or wantonly negligent disregard of an employer's interest is misconduct." OAR 471-030-0038(3)(a) (December 23, 2018). "[W]antonly negligent' means indifference to the consequences of an act or series of actions, or a failure to act or a series of failures to act, where the individual acting or failing to act is conscious of his or her conduct and knew or should have known that his or her conduct would probably result in a violation of the standards of behavior which an employer has the right to expect of an employee." OAR 471-030-0038(1)(c).In a discharge case, the employer has the burden to establish misconduct by a preponderance of evidence. *Babcock v. Employment Division*, 25 Or App 661, 550 P2d 1233 (1976).

Claimant did not appear at the hearing to rebut the testimony of the employer's owner, so the only evidence presented was that of the employer. As a matter of common sense, claimant knew or should have known that it would violate the employer's standards to access and take other employee's private information, including Social Security numbers, and then throw a pen and paper in the owner's face when the owner was correcting her behavior. Claimant's behavior on April 6 violated the employer's standards with at least wanton negligence. However, claimant's behavior on that day would not be considered disqualifying if it were an isolated instance of poor judgment.

Isolated instances of poor judgment are not misconduct. OAR 471-030-0038(3)(b). The following standards apply to determine whether an "isolated instance of poor judgment" occurred:

(A) The act must be isolated. The exercise of poor judgment must be a single or infrequent occurrence rather than a repeated act or pattern of other willful or wantonly negligent behavior.

(B) The act must involve judgment. A judgment is an evaluation resulting from discernment and comparison. Every conscious decision to take an action (to act or not to act) in the context of an employment relationship is a judgment for purposes of OAR 471-030-0038(3).

(C) The act must involve poor judgment. A decision to willfully violate an employer's reasonable standard of behavior is poor judgment. A conscious decision to take action that results in a wantonly negligent violation of an employer's reasonable standard of behavior is poor judgment. A conscious decision not to comply with an unreasonable employer policy is not misconduct.

(D) Acts that violate the law, acts that are tantamount to unlawful conduct, acts that create irreparable breaches of trust in the employment relationship or otherwise make a continued employment relationship impossible exceed mere poor judgment and do not fall within the exculpatory provisions of OAR 471-030-0038(3).

OAR 471-030-0038(1)(d).Claimant's behavior in accessing and taking other employee's personal information, including Social Security numbers, and then throwing a pen and paper in the owner's face when the owner was correcting her behavior, exceeded mere poor judgment. Given claimant's behavior, a reasonable employer would conclude that claimant could not be trusted in the future to comply with the employer's standards. Because claimant's behavior caused an irreparable breach of trust in the employment relationship, it exceeded mere poor judgment and may not be excused from constituting misconduct as an isolated instance of poor judgment.

Nor may claimant's behavior be excused as a good faith error under OAR 471-030-0038(3)(b). The record did not show that claimant accessed and took other employee's personal information and then threw a pen and paper in the owner's face due to misunderstanding the employer's standards, or a belief that was the sort of behavior the employer would allow. There was insufficient evidence in the record to show that the behavior for which claimant was discharged was based on a good faith error.

The employer discharged claimant for unexcused misconduct. Claimant is disqualified from receiving unemployment insurance benefits.

DECISION: Order No. 19-UI-132253 is affirmed.

D. P. Hettle and S. Alba; J. S. Cromwell, not participating.

DATE of Service: <u>August 20, 2019</u>

NOTE: You may appeal this decision by filing a Petition for Judicial Review with the Oregon Court of Appeals within 30 days of the date of service listed above. *See* ORS 657.282.For forms and information, you may write to the Oregon Court of Appeals, Records Section, 1163 State Street, Salem, Oregon 97310 or visit the Court of Appeals website at courts.oregon.gov. Once on the website, use the 'search' function to search for 'petition for judicial review employment appeals board'. A link to the forms and information will be among the search results.

<u>Please help us improve our service by completing an online customer service survey</u>. To complete the survey, please go to https://www.surveymonkey.com/s/5WQXNJH.If you are unable to complete the survey online and wish to have a paper copy of the survey, please contact our office.



Understanding Your Employment Appeals Board Decision

English

Attention – This decision affects your unemployment benefits. If you do not understand this decision, contact the Employment Appeals Board immediately. If you do not agree with this decision, you may file a Petition for Judicial Review with the Oregon Court of Appeals following the instructions written at the end of the decision.

Simplified Chinese

注意 – 本判决会影响您的失业救济金。如果您不明白本判决,请立即联系就业上诉委员会。如果您不同意此判决,您可以按照该判决结尾所写的说明,向俄勒冈州上诉法院提出司法复审申请。

Traditional Chinese

注意 – 本判決會影響您的失業救濟金。如果您不明白本判決,請立即聯繫就業上訴委員會。如果您不同意此判決,您可以按照該判決結尾所寫的說明,向俄勒岡州上訴法院提出司法複審申請。

Tagalog

Paalala – Nakakaapekto ang desisyong ito sa iyong mga benepisyo sa pagkawala ng trabaho. Kung hindi mo naiintindihan ang desisyong ito, makipag-ugnayan kaagad sa Lupon ng mga Apela sa Trabaho (Employment Appeals Board). Kung hindi ka sumasang-ayon sa desisyong ito, maaari kang maghain ng isang Petisyon sa Pagsusuri ng Hukuman (Petition for Judicial Review) sa Hukuman sa Paghahabol (Court of Appeals) ng Oregon na sinusunod ang mga tagubilin na nakasulat sa dulo ng desisyon.

Vietnamese

Chú ý - Quyết định này ảnh hưởng đến trợ cấp thất nghiệp của quý vị. Nếu quý vị không hiểu quyết định này, hãy liên lạc với Ban Kháng Cáo Việc Làm ngay lập tức. Nếu quý vị không đồng ý với quyết định này, quý vị có thể nộp Đơn Xin Tái Xét Tư Pháp với Tòa Kháng Cáo Oregon theo các hướng dẫn được viết ra ở cuối quyết định này.

Spanish

Atención – Esta decisión afecta sus beneficios de desempleo. Si no entiende esta decisión, comuníquese inmediatamente con la Junta de Apelaciones de Empleo. Si no está de acuerdo con esta decisión, puede presentar una Aplicación de Revisión Judicial ante el Tribunal de Apelaciones de Oregon siguiendo las instrucciones escritas al final de la decisión.

Russian

Внимание – Данное решение влияет на ваше пособие по безработице. Если решение Вам непонятно – немедленно обратитесь в Апелляционный Комитет по Трудоустройству. Если Вы не согласны с принятым решением, вы можете подать Ходатайство о Пересмотре Судебного Решения в Апелляционный Суд штата Орегон, следуя инструкциям, описанным в конце решения.

Oregon Employment Department • www.Employment.Oregon.gov • FORM200 (1018) • Page 1 of 2

Khmer

ចំណុចសំខាន់ – សេចក្តីសម្រេចនេះមានផលប៉ះពាល់ដល់អត្ថប្រយោជន៍គ្មានការងារធ្វើរបស់លោកអ្នក។ ប្រសិនបើលោកអ្នកមិន យល់អំពីសេចក្តីសម្រេចនេះ សូមទាក់ទងគណៈកម្មការឧទ្ធរណ៍ការងារភ្លាមៗ។ ប្រសិនបើលោកអ្នកមិនយល់ស្របចំពោះសេចក្តី សម្រេចនេះទេ លោកអ្នកអាចដាក់ពាក្យប្តឹងសុំឲ្យមានការពិនិត្យរឿងក្តីឡើងវិញជាមួយតុលារឧទ្ធរណ៍រដ្ឋ Oregon ដោយអនុវត្តតាម សេចក្តីណែនាំដែលសរសេរនៅខាងចុងបញ្ចប់នៃសេចក្តីសម្រេចនេះ។

Laotian

ເອົາໃຈໃສ່ – ຄຳຕັດສິນນີ້ມີຜືນກະທົບຕໍ່ກັບເງິນຊ່ວຍເຫຼືອການຫວ່າງງານຂອງທ່ານ. ຖ້າທ່ານບໍ່ເຂົ້າໃຈຄຳຕັດສິນນີ້, ກະລຸນາຕິດຕໍ່ຫາຄະນະກຳມະການ ອຸທອນການຈ້າງງານໃນທັນທີ. ຖ້າທ່ານບໍ່ເຫັນດີນຳຄຳຕັດສິນນີ້, ທ່ານສາມາດຍື່ນຄຳຮ້ອງຂໍການທົບທວນຄຳຕັດສິນນຳສານອຸທອນລັດ Oregon ໄດ້ ໂດຍປະຕິບັດຕາມຄຳແນະນຳທີ່ບອກໄວ້ຢູ່ຕອນທ້າຍຂອງຄຳຕັດສິນນີ້.

Arabic

هذا القرار قد يؤثر على منحة البطالة الخاصة بك، إذا لم تفهم هذا القرار، إتصل بمجلس مناز عات العمل فورا، و إذا كنت لا توافق على هذا القرار، يمكنك رفع شكوى للمراجعة القانونية بمحكمة الإستئناف بأوريغون و ذلك بإتباع الإرشادات المدرجة أسفل القرار.

Farsi

توجه - این حکم بر مزایای بیکاری شما تاثیر می گذارد. اگر با این تصمیم موافق نیستید، بلافاصله با هیأت فرجام خواهی استخدام تماس بگیرید. اگر از این حکم رضایت ندارید، میتوانید با استفاده از دستور العمل موجود در پایان آن، از دادگاه تجدید نظر اور گان درخواست تجدید نظر کنید.

Employment Appeals Board - 875 Union Street NE | Salem, OR 97311 Phone: (503) 378-2077 | 1-800-734-6949 | Fax: (503) 378-2129 | TDD: 711 www.Oregon.gov/Employ/eab

The Oregon Employment Department is an equal opportunity employer/program. Auxiliary aids and services are available upon request to individuals with disabilities. Language assistance is available to persons with limited English proficiency at no cost.

El Departamento de Empleo de Oregon es un programa que respeta la igualdad de oportunidades. Disponemos de servicios o ayudas auxiliares, formatos alternos y asistencia de idiomas para personas con discapacidades o conocimiento limitado del inglés, a pedido y sin costo.

Oregon Employment Department • www.Employment.Oregon.gov • FORM200 (1018) • Page 2 of 2