

**EMPLOYMENT APPEALS BOARD DECISION**  
**2019-EAB-0491**

*Reversed & Remanded*

**PROCEDURAL HISTORY:** On April 17, 2019, the Oregon Employment Department (the Department) served notice of an administrative decision concluding claimant voluntarily left work without good cause (decision # 155236). Claimant filed a timely request for hearing. On May 16, 2019, ALJ Murdock conducted a hearing, and on May 24, 2019, issued Order No. 19-UI-130556, affirming the Department's decision. On May 30, 2019, claimant filed an application for review with the Employment Appeals Board (EAB).

EAB did not consider claimant's written argument when reaching this decision because they did not include a statement declaring that they provided a copy of their argument to the opposing party or parties as required by OAR 471-041-0080(2)(a) (May 13, 2019).

**FINDINGS OF FACT:** (1) Durk V. Irwin, DMD, PC, employed claimant from June 29, 2017, until March 5, 2019, as a dental assistant in Pendleton, Oregon. Claimant worked part time, six to eight days per month.

(2) Claimant had experienced domestic violence by her boyfriend. Claimant lived with her boyfriend in Enterprise, Oregon.

(3) Claimant decided she would leave the home she shared with her boyfriend in Enterprise to separate herself from the domestic violence.

(4) On March 5, 2019, claimant quit work.

**CONCLUSION AND REASONS:** Order No. 19-UI-130556 is reversed and this matter is remanded for further development of the record.

A claimant who leaves work voluntarily is disqualified from the receipt of benefits unless they prove, by a preponderance of the evidence, that they had good cause for leaving work when they did. ORS 657.176(2)(c); *Young v. Employment Department*, 170 Or App 752, 13 P3d 1027 (2000). OAR 471-030-0038(5)(g) defines "good cause" to include "compelling family reasons." OAR 471-030-0038(1)(e)

defines “compelling family reasons” to include domestic violence that “causes the individual reasonably to believe that the individual’s continued employment would jeopardize the safety of the individual.” “Domestic violence” means, in pertinent part, the physical injury, sexual assault or forced imprisonment, or threat thereof, of a person by another who . . . has a significant relationship with the other person at the present . . . to the extent that the person’s health, safety or welfare is harmed or threatened thereby.” OAR 471-030-0150(2) (January 11, 2018).

Notwithstanding ORS 657.176(2), ORS 657.176(12) provides, in relevant part, that an individual may not be disqualified from receiving benefits if “the individual leaves work . . . to protect the individual from domestic violence . . . that the individual reasonably believes will occur as a result of the individual’s continued employment . . .”

There is no dispute in the record that claimant experienced domestic violence from her boyfriend. However, the record does not show if claimant believed that her continued employment with Durk V. Irwin, DMD, PC would jeopardize her safety due to domestic violence, and if so, whether that belief was reasonable. The record does not show if claimant’s boyfriend knew where claimant worked, or why she believed he would be a threat to her health, safety or welfare if she were to remain in Enterprise and continue commuting to Pendleton, or if she moved closer to the employer’s place of business in Pendleton. The record does not show if claimant’s boyfriend followed her to or from work, or if she had unwanted contact or threats from him at work. The record does not show if claimant sought refuge where her boyfriend could not find her to avoid abuse, and if it was more difficult to do if she stayed in Enterprise. Claimant moved to a new location, but the record does not show why it was necessary for her to move to promote her safety, including but not limited to for financial reasons. The record does not show if claimant feared retaliation or escalated abuse if she left the home with her boyfriend, if that affected her decision to discontinue working for the employer, and why.

ORS 657.270 requires the ALJ to give all parties a reasonable opportunity for a fair hearing. That obligation necessarily requires the ALJ to ensure that the record developed at the hearing shows a full and fair inquiry into the facts necessary for consideration of all issues properly before the ALJ in a case. ORS 657.270(3); *see accord Dennis v. Employment Division*, 302 Or 160, 728 P2d 12 (1986). Because further development of the record is necessary for a determination of whether claimant voluntarily left work “to protect the individual from domestic violence . . . that the individual reasonably believes will occur as a result of the individual’s continued employment,” Order No. 19-UI-130556 is reversed, and this matter is remanded.

**DECISION:** Order No. 19-UI-130556 is set aside, and this matter remanded for further proceedings consistent with this order.

J. S. Cromwell and S. Alba;  
D. P. Hettle, not participating.

**DATE of Service:** July 2, 2019

**NOTE:** The failure of any party to appear at the hearing on remand will not reinstate Order No. 19-UI-130556 or return this matter to EAB. Only a timely application for review of the subsequent order will cause this matter to return to EAB.

**Please help us improve our service by completing an online customer service survey.** To complete the survey, please go to <https://www.surveymonkey.com/s/5WQXNJH>. If you are unable to complete the survey online and wish to have a paper copy of the survey, please contact our office.



# Understanding Your Employment Appeals Board Decision

## English

Attention – This decision affects your unemployment benefits. If you do not understand this decision, contact the Employment Appeals Board immediately. If you do not agree with this decision, you may file a Petition for Judicial Review with the Oregon Court of Appeals following the instructions written at the end of the decision.

## Simplified Chinese

注意 – 本判決會影響您的失業救濟金。如果您不明白本判決，請立即聯繫就業上訴委員會。如果您不同意此判決，您可以按照該判決結尾所寫的說明，向俄勒岡州上訴法院提出司法複審申請。

## Traditional Chinese

注意 – 本判決會影響您的失業救濟金。如果您不明白本判決，請立即聯繫就業上訴委員會。如果您不同意此判決，您可以按照該判決結尾所寫的說明，向俄勒岡州上訴法院提出司法複審申請。

## Tagalog

Paalala – Nakakaapekto ang desisyong ito sa iyong mga benepisyo sa pagkawala ng trabaho. Kung hindi mo naiintindihan ang desisyong ito, makipag-ugnayan kaagad sa Lupon ng mga Apela sa Trabaho (Employment Appeals Board). Kung hindi ka sumasang-ayon sa desisyong ito, maaari kang maghain ng isang Petisyon sa Pagsusuri ng Hukuman (Petition for Judicial Review) sa Hukuman sa Paghahabol (Court of Appeals) ng Oregon na sinusunod ang mga tagubilin na nakasulat sa dulo ng desisyon.

## Vietnamese

Chú ý - Quyết định này ảnh hưởng đến trợ cấp thất nghiệp của quý vị. Nếu quý vị không hiểu quyết định này, hãy liên lạc với Ban Kháng Cáo Việc Làm ngay lập tức. Nếu quý vị không đồng ý với quyết định này, quý vị có thể nộp Đơn Xin Tái Xét Tư Pháp với Tòa Kháng Cáo Oregon theo các hướng dẫn được viết ra ở cuối quyết định này.

## Spanish

Atención – Esta decisión afecta sus beneficios de desempleo. Si no entiende esta decisión, comuníquese inmediatamente con la Junta de Apelaciones de Asuntos Laborales. Si no está de acuerdo con esta decisión, puede presentar una Petición de Revisión Judicial ante el Tribunal de Apelaciones de Oregon siguiendo las instrucciones escritas al final de la decisión.

## Russian

Внимание – Данное решение влияет на ваше пособие по безработице. Если решение Вам непонятно – немедленно обратитесь в Апелляционный Комитет по Трудоустройству. Если Вы не согласны с принятым решением, вы можете подать Ходатайство о Пересмотре Судебного Решения в Апелляционный Суд штата Орегон, следуя инструкциям, описанным в конце решения.

**Khmer**

ចំណុចសំខាន់ – សេចក្តីសម្រេចនេះមានផលប៉ះពាល់ដល់អត្ថប្រយោជន៍គ្មានការងារធ្វើរបស់លោកអ្នក។ ប្រសិនបើលោកអ្នកមិនយល់អំពីសេចក្តីសម្រេចនេះ សូមទាក់ទងគណៈកម្មការឧទ្ធរណ៍ការងារភ្លាមៗ។ ប្រសិនបើលោកអ្នកមិនយល់ស្របចំពោះសេចក្តីសម្រេចនេះទេ លោកអ្នកអាចដាក់ពាក្យប្តឹងសុំឲ្យមានការពិនិត្យរឿងក្តីឡើងវិញជាមួយតុលាការឧទ្ធរណ៍រដ្ឋ Oregon ដោយអនុវត្តតាមសេចក្តីណែនាំដែលសរសេរនៅខាងចុងបញ្ចប់នៃសេចក្តីសម្រេចនេះ។

**Laotian**

ເອົາໃຈໃສ່ – ຄຳຕັດສິນນີ້ມີຜົນກະທົບຕໍ່ກັບເງິນຊ່ວຍເຫຼືອການຫວ່າງງານຂອງທ່ານ. ຖ້າທ່ານບໍ່ເຂົ້າໃຈຄຳຕັດສິນນີ້, ກະລຸນາຕິດຕໍ່ຫາຄະນະກຳມະການອຸທອນການຈ້າງງານໃນທັນທີ. ຖ້າທ່ານບໍ່ເຫັນດີນຳຄຳຕັດສິນນີ້, ທ່ານສາມາດຍື່ນຄຳຮ້ອງຂໍການທົບທວນຄຳຕັດສິນນຳສານອຸທອນລັດ Oregon ໄດ້ ໂດຍປະຕິບັດຕາມຄຳແນະນຳທີ່ບອກໄວ້ຢູ່ຕອນທ້າຍຂອງຄຳຕັດສິນນີ້.

**Arabic**

هذا القرار قد يؤثر على منحة البطالة الخاصة بك، إذا لم تفهم هذا القرار، إتصل بمجلس منازعات العمل فوراً، و إذا كنت لا توافق على هذا القرار، يمكنك رفع شكوى للمراجعة القانونية بمحكمة الاستئناف بأوريغون و ذلك بإتباع الإرشادات المدرجة أسفل القرار.

**Farsi**

توجه - این حکم بر مزایای بیکاری شما تاثیر می گذارد. اگر با این تصمیم موافق نیستید، بلافاصله با هیأت فرجام خواهی استخدام تماس بگیرید. اگر از این حکم رضایت ندارید، می‌توانید با استفاده از دستور العمل موجود در پایان آن، از دادگاه تجدید نظر اورگان درخواست تجدید نظر کنید.

**Employment Appeals Board - 875 Union Street NE | Salem, OR 97311**  
 Phone: (503) 378-2077 | 1-800-734-6949 | Fax: (503) 378-2129 | TDD: 711  
[www.Oregon.gov/Employ/eab](http://www.Oregon.gov/Employ/eab)

The Oregon Employment Department is an equal opportunity employer/program. Auxiliary aids and services are available upon request to individuals with disabilities. Language assistance is available to persons with limited English proficiency at no cost.

El Departamento de Empleo de Oregon es un programa que respeta la igualdad de oportunidades. Disponemos de servicios o ayudas auxiliares, formatos alternos y asistencia de idiomas para personas con discapacidades o conocimiento limitado del inglés, a pedido y sin costo.