# EMPLOYMENT APPEALS BOARD DECISION 2019-EAB-0060 

Affirmed<br>Late Request for Hearing Dismissed

PROCEDURAL HISTORY AND FNDINGS OF FACT: On October 18, 2018, the Oregon
Employment Department (the Department) served notice of an administrative decision concluding that claimant quit working for the employer without good cause (decision \# 84406). On November 7, 2018, decision \# 84406 became final without claimant having filed a request for hearing. On November 29, 2018, claimant filed a late request for hearing. On December 4, 2018, ALJ Kangas issued Order No. 18-UI-120710, dismissing claimant's request for hearing as untimely without a showing of good cause, subject to her right to renew her request by responding to an appellant questionnaire by December 18, 2018. Claimant filed a timely response to the appellant questionnaire. ALJ Kangas reviewed claimant's response, and on December 26, 2018 issued Order No. 18-UI-121763, re-dismissing claimant's request for hearing as untimely without good cause. On January 15, 2019, claimant filed a timely application for review of Order No. 18-UI-121763 with the Employment Appeals Board (EAB).

CONCLUSIONS AND REASONS: Claimant's late request for hearing on decision \# 84406 is dismissed.

ORS 657.269 provides that the Department's decisions become final unless a party files a request for hearing within 20 days after the date it is mailed. A request for hearing may be filed on forms provided by the Department or similar offices in other states. OAR 471-040-0005(1) (July 14, 2011). Use of the form is not required provided the party specifically requests a hearing or otherwise expresses a present intent to appeal and it can determined what issue or decision is being appealed. Id. ORS 657.875 provides that the 20-day filing deadline may be extended a "reasonable time" upon a showing of "good cause." OAR 471-040-0010 (February 10, 2012) provides that "good cause" includes factors or circumstances beyond an applicant's reasonable control or an excusable mistake, and defines "reasonable time" as seven days after those factors or circumstances ceased to exist.

In her November 29, 2018 late request for hearing on decision \# 84406, claimant asserted that she already had faxed a "request" to the Office of Administrative Hearings (OAH) on November 14, 2018, two days after she received the "denial decision." Exhibit 2. According to claimant, "someone"
telephoned her on November $29^{\text {th }}$ and stated that her "appeal had ended up at the TUI [Training Unemployment Insurance] dept instead of the correct dept. and [she] needed to request a late appeal on a quit decision from [her] school district [the employer]." Id. Department records do show that on November 2, 2018, claimant told a Department representative that she had not received decision \# 84406, and that the Department representative advised claimant to check her mail and request a hearing if she disagreed with the decision. However, Department records also show that on November 28, 2018, claimant told a Department representative that she did receive decision \# 84406, but did not file a timely request for hearing because she was not sure how to correctly do so. Department records do not show any contact with claimant on November $29^{\text {th }} .^{1}$

Claimant's response to the appellant questionnaire provided no additional information regarding her late request for hearing, such as a fax confirmation showing that she faxed a document to OAH on November $14^{\text {th }}$, a copy of the document she allegedly faxed, or the name or department of the person who allegedly telephoned her on November $29^{\text {th }}$. Absent such information, claimant failed to show that she filed a request for hearing on decision \# 84406 before November 29th. Thus, even if claimant did not receive decision \# 84406 until November $12^{\text {th }}$, she did not file her late request for hearing within seven days after the factors or circumstances that allegedly prevented a timely filing ceased to exist. Claimant therefore did not file her late request for hearing within a reasonable time.

In her application for review, claimant submitted new information regarding her late request for hearing, asserting that decision $\# 84406$ was "never conveyed to [her]" until her conversation with the Department representative on November 28, 2018. However, new information may be considered only when the party offering the information establishes that factors or circumstances beyond the party's reasonable control prevented the party from offering the information into the hearing record. OAR 471-041-0090 (October 29, 2006). Here, claimant failed to establish that it was beyond her reasonable control to offer her new information into the hearing record when responding to the appellant questionnaire attached to Order No. Order No. 18-UI-120710. EAB therefore did not consider claimant's new information when reaching this decision. And even if we did, the outcome of this decision would remain the same, given that claimant's new information is contradicted not only by Department records, but by claimant's November 29, 2018 request for hearing.

Claimant's late request for hearing on decision \# 84406 therefore is denied.
DECISION: Order No. 18-UI-121763 is affirmed.
D. P. Hettle and S. Alba;
J. S. Cromwell, not participating.

## DATE of Service: February 1,2019

NOTE: You may appeal this decision by filing a Petition for Judicial Review with the Oregon Court of Appeals within 30 days of the date of service listed above. See ORS 657.282. For forms and

[^0]information, you may write to the Oregon Court of Appeals, Records Section, 1163 State Street, Salem, Oregon 97310 or visit the Court of Appeals website at courts.oregon.gov. Once on the website, use the 'search' function to search for 'petition for judicial review employment appeals board'. A link to the forms and information will be among the search results.

Please help us improve our service by completing an online customer service survey. To complete the survey, please go to https://www.surveymonkey.com/s/5WQXNJH. If you are unable to complete the survey online and wish to have a paper copy of the survey, please contact our office.

## Understanding Your Employment Appeals Board Decision

## English

Attention－This decision affects your unemployment benefits．If you do not understand this decision，contact the Employment Appeals Board immediately．If you do not agree with this decision，you may file a Petition for Judicial Review with the Oregon Court of Appeals following the instructions written at the end of the decision．

## Simplified Chinese

注意－本判决会影响您的失业救济金。 如果您不明白本判决，请立即联系就业上诉委员会。 如果您不同意此判决，您可以按照该判决结尾所写的说明，向俄勒冈州上诉法院提出司法复审申请。

## Traditional Chinese

注意－本判決會影響您的失業救濟金。 如果您不明白本判決，請立即聯繫就業上訴委員會。 如果您不同意此判決，您可以按照該判決結尾所寫的說明，向俄勒岡州上訴法院提出司法複審申請。

## Tagalog

Paalala－Nakakaapekto ang desisyong ito sa iyong mga benepisyo sa pagkawala ng trabaho．Kung hindi mo naiintindihan ang desisyong ito，makipag－ugnayan kaagad sa Lupon ng mga Apela sa Trabaho（Employment Appeals Board）．Kung hindi ka sumasang－ayon sa desisyong ito，maaari kang maghain ng isang Petisyon sa Pagsusuri ng Hukuman（Petition for Judicial Review）sa Hukuman sa Paghahabol（Court of Appeals）ng Oregon na sinusunod ang mga tagubilin na nakasulat sa dulo ng desisyon．

## Vietnamese

Chú ý－Quyết định này ảnh hưởng đến trợ cấp thất nghiệp của quý vị．Nếu quý vị không hiểu quyết định này，hãy liên lạc với Ban Kháng Cáo Việc Làm ngay lập tức．Nểu quý vị không đồng ý với quyết định này，quý vị có thể nộp Đơn XXin Tái Xét Tư Pháp với Tòa Kháng Cáo Oregon theo các hướng dẫn được viết ra ở cuối quyết định này．

## Spanish

Atención－Esta decisión afecta sus beneficios de desempleo．Si no entiende esta decisión，comuníquese inmediatamente con la Junta de Apelaciones de Asuntos Laborales．Si no está de acuerdo con esta decisión， puede presentar una Petición de Revisión Judicial ante el Tribunal de Apelaciones de Oregon siguiendo las instrucciones escritas al final de la decisión．

## Russian

Внимание－Данное решение влияет на ваше пособие по безработице．Если решение Вам непонятно－ немедленно обратитесь в Апелляционный Комитет по Трудоустройству．Если Вы не согласны с принятым решением，вы можете подать Ходатайство о Пересмотре Судебного Решения в Апелляционный Суд штата Орегон，следуя инструкциям，описанным в конце решения．
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## Khmer






## Laotian


 โดยปะติบักตามถำఁบะบำนั่บองไอ้ยุ่ตยบข้ายอองถำตักสิบบิ้.

## Arabic

هذا القر ار قد يؤثر على منحة البطلة الخاصـة بكك، إذا لم تقهم هذا القرار، إتصل بمجلس مناز عات العمل فور ا، و إذا كنت لا تو افق على هذا القر ار، يمكنك رفع شُكو ى للمر اجعة القانونية بمحكمة الإستثئناف بأوريغون و ذلك بإتباع الإرشادات المدرجة أسفلل القرار.

## Farsi

توجه - اين حكم بر مز اياى بيكارى شما تاثير مى كذارد. اگر با اين تصميم مو افق نيستيد، بلافاصله با هيأت فرجام خواهى استخدام تمـاس بڭيريد. اگر از اين حكم رضـايت نداريد، مميتو انيد با استفاده از دستّور العمل موجود در هايان آن، از دادكاه تجديد نظر اورگان درخو است تُجديد نظر كنيد.
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The Oregon Employment Department is an equal opportunity employer/program. Auxiliary aids and services are available upon request to individuals w ith disabilities. Language assistance is available to persons w ith limited English proficiency at no cost.

日 Departamento de Empleo de Oregon es un programa que respeta la igualdad de oportunidades. Disponemos de servicios o ayudas auxiliares, formatos alternos y asistencia de idiomas para personas con discapacidades o conocimiento limitado del inglés, a pedido y sin costo.


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ We take notice of these facts, which are contained in Employment Department records. Any party that objects to our doing so must submit such objection to this office in writing, setting forth the basis of the objection in writing, within ten days of our mailing this decision. OAR 471-041-0090(3) (October 29, 2006). Unless such objection is received and sustained, the noticed facts will remain in the record.

