EO: 200
BYE: 201945

State of Oregon
Employment Appeals Board
875 Union St. N.E.
Salem, OR 97311

103
VQ 005.00

EMPLOYMENT APPEALS BOARD DECISION
2019-EAB-0053

Affirmed
Disqualification

PROCEDURAL HISTORY: On December 4, 2018, the Oregon Employment Department (the Department) served notice of an administrative decision concluding that claimant voluntarily left work without good cause (decision # 143739). Claimant filed a timely request for hearing. On January 3, 2019, ALJ Frank conducted a hearing, and on January 4, 2019 issued Order No. 19-UI-122102, affirming the Department's decision. On January 10, 2019, claimant filed an application for review with the Employment Appeals Board (EAB).

FINDINGS OF FACT: (1) Ingredion Incorporated dba Kerr Concentrates employed claimant as an evaporator operator from June 2013 until October 30, 2018.

(2) Sometime around early September 2018, the employer assigned a new supervisor to oversee claimant's work. Claimant did not get along with the new supervisor. The new supervisor sometimes asked claimant to perform tasks that claimant believed were not properly within the scope of his duties as an evaporator operator and were unfamiliar to him. Claimant sometimes felt overwhelmed when the supervisor assigned new tasks to him. Claimant thought it was not safe for him to perform tasks with which he was unfamiliar.

(3) Claimant never complained to the employer's human resources department that the supervisor was asking him to work under unsafe conditions. Had claimant done so, the employer would have investigated the complaint and would have corrected any lack of safety it discovered.

(4) On October 30, 2018 at around 8:30 a.m., the supervisor told claimant to adjust a finish tank. Many other plant employees could not complete their assigned work until the finish tank was adjusted. At around 4:00 p.m., claimant finally adjusted the finish tank. Shortly after 4:00 p.m., the supervisor issued a verbal warning to claimant for not timely adjusting the finish tank after being instructed to do so. The supervisor told claimant that he needed to work faster in performing tasks after they were assigned to him.

(5) On October 30, 2018, at around 5:00 p.m., claimant notified the employer that he was quitting work. Claimant decided to leave work because the supervisor's comment to him earlier that day about completing tasks faster made him feel unsafe.

CONCLUSIONS AND REASONS: Claimant voluntarily left work without good cause.

A claimant who leaves work voluntarily is disqualified from the receipt of benefits unless he proves, by a preponderance of the evidence, that he had good cause for leaving work when he did. ORS 657.176(2)(c); *Young v. Employment Department*, 170 Or App 752, 13 P3d 1027 (2000). "Good cause" is defined, in relevant part, as a reason of such gravity that a reasonable and prudent person of normal sensitivity, exercising ordinary common sense, would have no reasonable alternative but to leave work. OAR 471-030-0038(4) (January 11, 2018). The standard is objective. *McDowell v. Employment Department*, 348 Or 605, 612, 236 P3d 722 (2010). A claimant who quits work must show that no reasonable and prudent person would have continued to work for his employer for an additional period of time.

Claimant quit work on October 30 because the supervisor warned him that he should have more quickly adjusted the finish tank that day after he was asked to do so. Claimant contended that the supervisor's comment made him he feel unsafe because the risks of workplace accidents generally increased when workers felt rushed. Audio at ~13:48. However, claimant did not identify concrete dangers that he faced in adjusting the finish tank by being asked to more quickly accomplish that task, but only an abstract possibility that existed if he was sufficiently rushed. Absent a showing that there was a direct connection between the supervisor's comment to claimant to work faster and a tangible increase in accident risk, claimant did not show that a reasonable and prudent person under the same circumstances would have considered his situation grave. In addition, claimant did not ask the human resources department to intervene to correct any dangers he perceived from the way the supervisor wanted him to perform his work. A reasonable and prudent person in claimant's circumstances would not have decided to leave work due to a perceived lack of safety until that person had first requested that the human resources department correct the situation and it was not rectified. There were reasonable alternatives available to claimant other than leaving work when he did.

Claimant did not show good cause for leaving work when he did. Claimant is disqualified from receiving unemployment insurance benefits.

DECISION: Order No. 19-UI-122102 is affirmed.

D. P. Hettle and S. Alba;
J. S. Cromwell, not participating.

DATE of Service: <u>February 12, 2019</u>

NOTE: You may appeal this decision by filing a Petition for Judicial Review with the Oregon Court of Appeals within 30 days of the date of service listed above. *See* ORS 657.282. For forms and information, you may write to the Oregon Court of Appeals, Records Section, and 1163 State Street, Salem, Oregon 97310 or visit the Court of Appeals website at courts.oregon.gov. Once on the website, use the 'search' function to search for 'petition for judicial review employment appeals board'. A link to the forms and information will be among the search results.

Please help us improve our service by completing an online customer service survey. To complete the survey, please go to https://www.surveymonkey.com/s/5WQXNJH. If you are unable to complete the survey online and wish to have a paper copy of the survey, please contact our office.

.

Understanding Your Employment Appeals Board Decision

English

Attention – This decision affects your unemployment benefits. If you do not understand this decision, contact the Employment Appeals Board immediately. If you do not agree with this decision, you may file a Petition for Judicial Review with the Oregon Court of Appeals following the instructions written at the end of the decision.

Simplified Chinese

注意 – 本判决会影响您的失业救济金。 如果您不明白本判决， 请立即联系就业上诉委员会。 如果您不同意此判决，您可以按照该判决结尾所写的说明，向俄勒冈州上诉法院提出司法复审申请。

Traditional Chinese

注意 – 本判決會影響您的失業救濟金。 如果您不明白本判決， 請立即聯繫就業上訴委員會。 如果您不同意此判決，您可以按照該判決結尾所寫的說明， 向俄勒岡州上訴法院提出司法複審申請。

Tagalog

Paalala – Nakakaapekto ang desisyong ito sa iyong mga benepisyo sa pagkawala ng trabaho. Kung hindi mo naiintindihan ang desisyong ito, makipag-ugnayan kaagad sa Lupon ng mga Apela sa Trabaho (Employment Appeals Board). Kung hindi ka sumasang-ayon sa desisyong ito, maaari kang maghain ng isang Petisyon sa Pagsusuri ng Hukuman (Petition for Judicial Review) sa Hukuman sa Paghahabol (Court of Appeals) ng Oregon na sinusunod ang mga tagubilin na nakasulat sa dulo ng desisyon.

Vietnamese

Chú ý - Quyết định này ảnh hưởng đến trợ cấp thất nghiệp của quý vị. Nếu quý vị không hiểu quyết định này, hãy liên lạc với Ban Kháng Cáo Việc Làm ngay lập tức. Nếu quý vị không đồng ý với quyết định này, quý vị có thể nộp Đơn Xin Tái Xét Tư Pháp với Tòa Kháng Cáo Oregon theo các hướng dẫn được viết ra ở cuối quyết định này.

Spanish

Atención – Esta decisión afecta sus beneficios de desempleo. Si no entiende esta decisión, comuníquese inmediatamente con la Junta de Apelaciones de Asuntos Laborales. Si no está de acuerdo con esta decisión, puede presentar una Petición de Revisión Judicial ante el Tribunal de Apelaciones de Oregon siguiendo las instrucciones escritas al final de la decisión.

Russian

Внимание – Данное решение влияет на ваше пособие по безработице. Если решение Вам непонятно – немедленно обратитесь в Апелляционный Комитет по Трудоустройству. Если Вы не согласны с принятым решением, вы можете подать Ходатайство о Пересмотре Судебного Решения в Апелляционный Суд штата Орегон, следуя инструкциям, описанным в конце решения.

Khmer

ចំណុចសំខាន់ – សេចក្តីសម្រេចនេះមានផលប៉ះពាល់ដល់អត្ថប្រយោជន៍គ្មានការងារធ្វើរបស់លោកអ្នក។ ប្រសិនបើលោកអ្នកមិនយល់អំពីសេចក្តីសម្រេចនេះ សូមទាក់ទងគណៈកម្មការឧទ្ធរណ៍ការងារភ្លាមៗ។ ប្រសិនបើលោកអ្នកមិនយល់ស្របចំពោះសេចក្តីសម្រេចនេះទេ លោកអ្នកអាចដាក់ពាក្យប្តឹងសុំឲ្យមានការពិនិត្យរឿងក្តីឡើងវិញជាមួយតុលាការឧទ្ធរណ៍រដ្ឋ Oregon ដោយអនុវត្តតាមសេចក្តីណែនាំដែលសរសេរនៅខាងចុងបញ្ចប់នៃសេចក្តីសម្រេចនេះ។

Laotian

ເອົາໃຈໃສ່ – ຄຳຕັດສິນນີ້ມີຜົນກະທົບຕໍ່ກັບເງິນຊ່ວຍເຫຼືອການຫວ່າງງານຂອງທ່ານ. ຖ້າທ່ານບໍ່ເຂົ້າໃຈຄຳຕັດສິນນີ້, ກະລຸນາຕິດຕໍ່ຫາຄະນະກຳມະການອຸທອນການຈ້າງງານໃນທັນທີ. ຖ້າທ່ານບໍ່ເຫັນດີນຳຄຳຕັດສິນນີ້, ທ່ານສາມາດຍື່ນຄຳຮ້ອງຂໍການທົບທວນຄຳຕັດສິນນຳສານອຸທອນລັດ Oregon ໄດ້ ໂດຍປະຕິບັດຕາມຄຳແນະນຳທີ່ບອກໄວ້ຢູ່ຕອນທ້າຍຂອງຄຳຕັດສິນນີ້.

Arabic

هذا القرار قد يؤثر على منحة البطالة الخاصة بك، إذا لم تفهم هذا القرار، إتصل بمجلس منازعات العمل فورا، و إذا كنت لا توافق على هذا القرار، يمكنك رفع شكوى للمراجعة القانونية بمحكمة الإستئناف بأوريغون و ذلك بإتباع الإرشادات المدرجة أسفل القرار.

Farsi

توجه - این حکم بر مزایای بیکاری شما تاثیر می گذارد. اگر با این تصمیم موافق نیستید، بلافاصله با هیأت فرجام خواهی استخدام تماس بگیرید. اگر از این حکم رضایت ندارید، می‌توانید با استفاده از دستورالعمل موجود در پایان آن، از دادگاه تجدید نظر اورگان درخواست تجدید نظر کنید.

Employment Appeals Board - 875 Union Street NE | Salem, OR 97311
Phone: (503) 378-2077 | 1-800-734-6949 | Fax: (503) 378-2129 | TDD: 711
www.Oregon.gov/Employ/eab